Personal Genomics: Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

Mark Gerstein, Yale Slides freely downloadable from Lectures.GersteinLab.org & "tweetable" (via @markgerstein). See last slide for more info. Moore's Law: Exponential Scaling of Computer Technology

- Exponential increase in the number of transistors per chip.
- Led to improvements in speed and miniaturization.
- Drove widespread adoption and novel applications of computer technology.



Kryder's Law and S-curves underlying exponential growth

- Moore's & Kryder's Laws
 - As important as the increase in computer speed has been, the ability to store large amounts of information on computers is even more crucial
- Exponential increase seen in Kryder's law is a superposition of S-curves for different technologies



Sequencing Data Explosion: Faster than Moore's Law for a Time (or a S-curve)

- DNA sequencing has gone through technological S-curves
 - In the early 2000's, improvements in Sanger sequencing produced a scaling pattern similar to Moore's law.
 - The advent of NGS was a shift to a new technology with dramatic decrease in cost).



Sequencing cost reductions have resulted in an explosion of data

- The type of sequence data deposited has changed as well.
 - Protected data represents an increasing fraction of all submitted sequences.
 - Data from techniques utilizing NGS machines has replaced that generated via microarray.



Sequence Universe

SRA ~1 petabyte

Clinical

CGA

vtes

GTeX

etab

NHGRI LSSP

in CGHub

В

RNASeq

40

TB

ADSP

TCGA endpoint: ~2.5 Petabytes ~1.5 PB exome <u>~1 PB whole genome</u>

1000 Genomes A Deep Catalog of Human Genetic Variation

> 222 TB

> > 68

TB



ARRA

Autism

29

TB

NHLBIES

 \odot

32

Star formation 100K Genomes England

JE663

Heidi Sofia, 7-16-15

Increasing diversity in sequence data sources





From '00 to ~' 20, cost of DNA sequencing expt. shifts from the actual seq. to sample collection & analysis

[Sboner et al. ('11), Muir et al. ('15) Genome Biology]



[Sboner et al. ('11), Muir et al. ('15) Genome Biology]



Alignment algorithms scaling to keep pace with data generation



Alignment algorithms scaling to keep pace with data generation



From '00 to ~' 20, cost of DNA sequencing expt. shifts from the actual seq. to sample collection & analysis



Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants
 in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Human Genetic Variation



* Variants with allele frequency < 0.5% are considered as rare variants in 1000 genomes project.

Finding Key Variants

Germline



Common variants

- Can be associated with phenotype (ie disease) via a Genome-wide Association Study (GWAS), which tests whether the frequency of alleles differs between cases & controls.
- Usually their functional effect is weaker.
- Many are non-coding
- Issue of LD in identifying the actual causal variant.

Rare variants

- Associations are usually underpowered due to low frequencies.
- They often have larger functional impact
- Can be collapsed in the same element to gain statistical power (burden tests).
- In some cases, causal variants can be identified through tracing inheritance of Mendelian subtypes of diseases in large families.

Finding Key Variants

Somatic



Overall

- Often these can be conceptualized as very rare variants
- A challenge to identify somatic mutations contributing to cancer is to find driver mutations & distinguish them from passengers.

Drivers

- Driver mutation is a mutation that directly or indirectly confers a selective growth advantage to the cell in which it occurs.
- A typical tumor contains 2-8 drivers; the remaining mutations are passengers.

Passengers

• Conceptually, a passenger mutation has no direct or indirect effect on the selective growth advantage of the cell in which it occurred.

Association of Variants with Diseases



Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants
 in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Unlike common SNVs, the statistical power with which we can evaluate rare SNVs in case-control studies is severely limited

Protein structures may provide the needed alternative for evaluating rare SNVs, many of which may be disease-associated



Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (pdb: 1IIL)

- • 1000G & ExAC SNVs (common | rare)
 - Hinge residues
 - Buried residues
 - Protein-protein interaction site
 - Post-translational modifications
 - HGMD site (w/o annotation overlap)
 - HGMD site (w/annotation overlap)



Models of Protein Conformational Change

Motion Vectors from Normal Modes (ANMs)





Characterizing uncharacterized variants <= Finding Allosteric sites <= Modeling motion

Predicting Allosterically-Important Residues at the Surface

- MC simulations generate a large number of candidate sites 1.
- 2. Score each candidate site by the degree to which it perturbs large-scale motions
- 3. Prioritize & threshold the list to identify the set of high confidence-sites



Predicting Allosterically-Important Residues at the Surface



Adapted from Clarke*, Sethi*, et al (in press)

Predicting Allosterically-Important Residues within the Interior



Adapted from Clarke*, Sethi*, et al (in press)

Predicting Allosterically-Important Residues within the Interior



 $Cov_{ij} = \langle \mathbf{r}_i \bullet \mathbf{r}_j \rangle$ $C_{ij} = Cov_{ij} / \sqrt{\langle (\langle \mathbf{r}_i^2 \rangle \langle \mathbf{r}_i^2 \rangle)}$ $D_{ii} = -\log(|C_{ii}|)$

Adapted from Clarke*, Sethi*, et al (in press)

Predicting Allosterically-Important Residues within the Interior



STRESS Server Architecture: Highlights stress.molmovdb.org



- A light front-end server handles incoming requests, and powerful back-end servers perform calculations.
- Auto Scaling adjusts the number of back-end servers as needed.
- A typical structure takes ~30 minutes on a E5-2660 v3 (2.60GHz) core.
- Input & output (i.e., predicted allosteric residues) are stored in S3 buckets.

Intra-species conservation of predicted allosteric residues 1000 Genomes



Intra-species conservation of predicted allosteric residues ExAC



29 = Lectures.GersteinLab.org

Unlike common SNVs, the statistical power with which we can evaluate rare SNVs in case-control studies is severely limited

Protein structures may provide the needed alternative for evaluating rare SNVs, many of which may be disease-associated



Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (pdb: 1IIL)

- • 1000G & ExAC SNVs (common | rare)
 - Hinge residues
 - Buried residues
 - Protein-protein interaction site
 - Post-translational modifications
 - HGMD site (w/o annotation overlap)
 - HGMD site (w/annotation overlap)



Protein structures may provide the needed alternative for evaluating rare SNVs, many of which may be disease-associated

Rationalizing disease variants in the context of allosteric behavior with allostery as an added annotation



Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (pdb: 1IIL)

- • Predicted allosteric (surface | interior)
- • 1000G & ExAC SNVs (common | rare)
 - Hinge residues
 - Buried residues
 - Protein-protein interaction site
 - Post-translational modifications
 - HGMD site (w/o annotation overlap)
 - HGMD site (w/annotation overlap)



Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants
 in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Schematic illustration of localized frustration



favorable interaction

unfavorable interaction

[Ferreiro et al., PNAS ('07)]

Workflow for evaluating localized frustration changes (\Delta F)



et al. NAR (in press); biorxiv 052027]

Kumar

Striking a balance: the complexity of the second order frustration calculation

MD-assisted free energy calculation (ΔG)



Comparing \triangle F values across different SNV categories: Normal v disease



Normal mutations (1000G) tend to unfavorably frustrate (less frustrated) surface more than core, but for disease mutations (HGMD) no trend & greater changes

[Kumar et al. NAR (in press); biorxiv 052027]
∆F distributions among rare v. common SNVs

Rare mutations cause more unfavorable frustration change than common ones



Lectures.GersteinLab.org

37

Comparison between ∆F distributions: TSGs v. oncogenes



SNVs in TSGs change frustration more in core than the surface, whereas those associated with oncogenes manifest the opposite pattern. This is consistent with differences in LOF v GOF mechanisms.

Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants
 in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Non-coding Annotations: Overview

Sequence features, incl. Conservation

Functional Genomics

Chip-seq (Epigenome & seq. specific TF) and ncRNA & un-annotated transcription



Summarizing the Signal: "Traditional" ChipSeq Peak Calling



Now an update: "PeakSeq 2" => MUSIC

Lectures.Ge

41

Multiscale Analysis, Minima/Maxima based Coarse Segmentation



Multiscale Decomposition



[Harmanci et al, Genome Biol. ('14)]

Multiscale Decomposition



44 = Lectures

[Harmanci et al, Genome Biol. ('14)]

Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants
 in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Finding "Conserved" Sites in the Human Population:

Negative selection in non-coding elements based on Production ENCODE & 1000G Phase 1





Differential selective constraints among specific subcategories

Sub-categorization possible because of better statistics from 1000G phase 1 v pilot



Defining Sensitive noncoding Regions

Start 677 high-

resolution non-coding categories; Rank & find those under strongest selection

Sub-categorization possible because of better statistics from 1000G phase 1 v pilot

SNPs which break TF motifs are under stronger selection



Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants
 in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Mutation recurrence



Mutation recurrence













[Lochovsky et al. NAR ('15)]

1 Mbp genome regions (locations chosen at random)

Cancer Somatic Mutation Modeling

- 3 models to evaluate the significance of mutation burden
- Suppose there are k genome elements. For element i, define:
 - *n_i*: total number of nucleotides
 - x_i: the number of mutations within the element
 - -p: the mutation rate
 - R: the replication timing bin of the element

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Model 1: Constant Background} \\ \mbox{Mutation Rate (Model from} \\ \mbox{Previous Work)} \\ \mbox{$\mathbf{x_i}: Binomial(\mathbf{n_i}, \mathbf{p})$} \end{array}$

Model 2: Varying Mutation Rate

 $\mathbf{x_i}|\mathbf{p_i}:Binomial(\mathbf{n_i},\mathbf{p_i})$ $\mathbf{p_i}:Beta(\mu,\sigma)$

Model 3: Varying Mutation Rate with Replication Timing Correction

 $\mathbf{x_i} | \mathbf{p_i} : Binomial(\mathbf{n_i}, \mathbf{p_i})$

 $\mathbf{p_i}: Beta(\mu|\mathbf{R}, \sigma|\mathbf{R})$

 $\mu|\mathbf{R},\sigma|\mathbf{R}:$ constant within the same \mathbf{R} bin

[[]Lochovsky et al. NAR ('15)]

LARVA Model Comparison

- Comparison of mutation count frequency implied by the binomial model (model 1) and the beta-binomial model (model 2) relative to the empirical distribution
- The beta-binomial distribution is significantly better, especially for accurately modeling the over-dispersion of the empirical distribution



Adding DNA replication timing correction further improves the beta-binomial model

(A) O

probablity

 \mathbf{C}

+0.4

+0.2

O 0

+0.2

Ω



somatic mutation count

6

LARVA Implementation

- http://larva.gersteinlab.org/
- Freely downloadable C++ program
 - Verified compilation and correct execution on Linux
- A Docker image is also available to download
 - Runs on any operating system supported by Docker
- Running time on transcription factor binding sites (a worst case input size) is ~80 min
 - Running time scales linearly with the number of annotations in the input



LARVA Results



Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants
 in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Identification of non-coding candidate drivers amongst somatic variants: Scheme



Flowchart for 1 Prostate Cancer Genome





FunSeq2 - A flexible framework to prioritize regulatory mutations from cancer genome sequencing

Analysis

Results Downloads

Documentation

Overview

This tool is specialized to prioritize somatic variants from cancer whole genome sequencing. It contains two components : 1) building data context from various resources; 2) variants prioritization. We provided downloadable scripts for users to customize the data context (found under 'Downloads'). The variants prioritization step is downloadable, and also implemented as web server (Right Panel), with pre-processed data context.

Instructions

 Input File - BED or VCF formatted. Click "green" button to add multiple files. With multiple files, the tool will do recurrent analysis.
 (Note: for BED format, user can put variants from multiple genomes in one file, see Sample input file .)

Recurrence DB - User can choose particular cancer type from the database. The DB will continue be updated with newly available WGS data.

 Gene List - Option to analyze variants associated with particular set of genes. Note: Please use Gene Symbols, one row per gene.
 Differential Gene Expression Analysis - Option to detect differentially expressed genes in RNA-Seq data. Two files needed: expression file & class label file. Please refer to Expression input files for instructions to prepare those files.

Note: In addition to on-site calculation, we also provide scores for all possible noncoding SNVs of GRCh37/hg19 under 'Downloads' (without annotation and recurrence analysis).
Input File: (only for hg19 SNVs)
Choose File No file chosen
BED or VCF files as input. Sample input file
Output Format:
bed 🗘
MAF:
0
Minor allele frequency threshold to filter polymorphisms from 1KG (value 0~1)
Cancer Type from Recurrence DB: Summary table
All Cancer Types
Add a gene list (Optional)
Add differential gene expression analysis (Optional)
Upload

User

Variants

FAQ

Site integrates user variants with large-scale context



FunSeq.gersteinlab.org

[Fu et al., GenomeBiology ('14)]

- Feature weight
 - Weighted with mutation patterns in natural polymorphisms
 - (features frequently observed weight less)



- Feature weight
 - Weighted with mutation patterns in natural polymorphisms

(features frequently observed weight less)

- entropy based method





[Fu et al., GenomeBiology ('14)]

- Feature weight
 - Weighted with mutation patterns in natural polymorphisms

(features frequently observed weight less)

- entropy based method HOT region Sensitive region Polymorphisms Genome $p = \frac{3}{20}$ Feature weight: $w_d = 1 + p_d \log_2 p_d + (1 - p_d) \log_2 (1 - p_d)$ $p \uparrow W_d$ p = probability of the feature overlapping natural polymorphismsFor a variant: Score = $\sum w_d$ of observed features

[Fu et al., GenomeBiology ('14)]

Germline pathogenic variants show higher core scores than controls



3 controls with natural polymorphisms (allele frequency >= 1%)

- 1. Matched region: 1kb around HGMD variants
- 2. Matched TSS: matched for distance to TSS
- 3. Unmatched: randomly selected

Ritchie et al., Nature Methods, 2014

[Fu et al., GenomeBiology ('14, in revision)]

Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants
 in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

Personal Genomics:

Managing Rapid Data Scaling through Prioritizing High-impact Variants

- Introduction
 - The exponential scaling of data generation & processing
 - The landscape of variants in personal genomes suggests prioritizing a few is an efficient interpretation strategy
- Characterizing Rare Variants in Coding Regions
 - Identifying with STRESS cryptic allosteric sites
 - On surface & in interior bottlenecks
 - Using changes in localized
 Frustration to find further sites sensitive to mutations
 - Difference betw. TSGs & oncogenes

- Evaluating the Impact of Non-coding Variants with Annotation
 - Annotating non-coding regions on different scales with MUSIC
 - Prioritizing rare variants with
 "sensitive sites" (human-conserved)
- Putting it together in Workflows
 - Using LARVA to do burden testing on non-coding annotation
 - Need to correct for co-variates & overdispersion mutation counts
 - Parameterized according to replication timing
 - Using FunSeq to integrate evidence on variants
 - Systematically weighting all the features
 - suggesting non-coding drivers
 - Prioritzing rare germline variants

github.com/gersteinlab/**Frustration** S **Kumar**, D Clarke

MUSIC.gersteinlab.org A Harmanci, J Rozowsky

CostSeq2 P Muir, S Li, S Lou, D Wang, DJ Spakowicz, J L Salichos, J Zhang, F Isaacs, J Rozowsky

FunSeq.gersteinlab.org FunSeq2.gersteinlab.org Y Fu, E Khurana, Z Liu, S Lou, J Bedford, XJ Mu, KY Yip, V Colonna, XJ Mu, ..., 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, et al

Hiring Postdocs. See gersteinlab.org/jobs

LARVA.gersteinlab.org Lochovsky, Jahag, Y.Fu, Tahana Stress.molmovdb.org D Clarke, A Sethi S Li, S Kumar, R W.F. Chang, J Chen

Acknowledgments




Info about content in this slide pack

- General PERMISSIONS
 - This Presentation is copyright Mark Gerstein, Yale University, 2016.
 - Please read permissions statement at www.gersteinlab.org/misc/permissions.html.
 - Feel free to use slides & images in the talk with PROPER acknowledgement (via citation to relevant papers or link to gersteinlab.org).
 - Paper references in the talk were mostly from Papers.GersteinLab.org.
- PHOTOS & IMAGES. For thoughts on the source and permissions of many of the photos and clipped images in this presentation see http://streams.gerstein.info .
 - In particular, many of the images have particular EXIF tags, such as kwpotppt, that can be easily queried from flickr, viz: http://www.flickr.com/photos/mbgmbg/tags/kwpotppt