

Analysis of Protein Networks: Using 3D-structure into interpret networks & deepsequencing data

Slides freely downloadable from
Lectures.GersteinLab.org

& "tweetable" (via @markgerstein)

See Last Slide for References & More Info.

Mark B Gerstein Yale



Trends in data generation point to growing opportunities for leveraging sequence variants to study structure (and vice versa)

The volume of sequenced exomes is outpacing that of structures, while solved structures have become more complex in nature.



Exome data hosted on NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA)

Growing sequence redundancy in the PDB (as evidenced by a reduced pace of novel fold discovery) offers a more comprehensive view of how such sequences occupy conformational landscapes



PDB: Berman HM, et al. NAR. (2000) CATH: Sillitoe I, et al. NAR. (2015) SCOP: Fox NK et al. NAR. (2014) **Using 3D-structure** into interpret networks & deepsequencing data

Structural Interaction Network & Protein Motions (DynaSIN)

- Multi-interface permanent hubs have more motion than single-interface transient ones
- Also have more conflicting motions
- LOF variants & Categories of Essential & **Disease-sensitive Genes**
- Variation at Protein Interfaces in the context of Network Connectivity & its use for Disease-gene Predictions
 - Highly connected parts of PPI under stronger selection but signal weak
 - Stronger signal in SIN & even stronger in multiNet (integration of many networks)
 - Signal strong enough to build predictor
- Rationalizing Deleterious Variants in terms of Potential Allosteric Sites
 - Identifying potential allosteric residues on surface & inside
 - These are under stronger selection & may explain some **HGMD SNPs**

Using 3D-structure into interpret networks & deepsequencing data

sequencing data 1 • LC 1 Dis • Va col for



Structural Interaction Network & Protein Motions (DynaSIN)

- Multi-interface permanent hubs have more motion than single-interface transient ones
- Also have more conflicting motions
- LOF variants & Categories of Essential & Disease-sensitive Genes
- Variation at Protein Interfaces in the context of Network Connectivity & its use for Disease-gene Predictions
 - Highly connected parts of PPI under stronger selection but signal weak
 - Stronger signal in SIN & even stronger in multiNet (integration of many networks)
 - Signal strong enough to build predictor
- Rationalizing Deleterious Variants in terms of Potential Allosteric Sites
 - Identifying potential allosteric residues on surface & inside
 - These are under stronger selection & may explain some HGMD SNPs





Overview of DynaSIN Construction

DynaSIN.MolMovDB.org

[Bhardwaj et al. ('11) Prot Sci]





Normalized score

EX: Glutamine Binding Protein

MolMovDB.org

Analysis of a single motion to characterize with it **standard stats** (eg rot. Angle) & find **key residues** (eg hinges) using a variety of tools

[Flores et al. Proteins ('08)]



MolMovDB: determining population statistics on protein motions Distribution across user-submitted morphs





Overview of DynaSIN Construction

DynaSIN.MolMovDB.org

[Bhardwaj et al. ('11) Prot Sci]



[Bhardwaj et al. ('11) Prot Sci]

M

Conflicting and compatible interactions



Blue: alternate conformation

[Bhardwaj et al. ('11) Prot Sci] dynasin.gersteinlab.org

The degree of conformational change correlates with hub properties



* Note: All p-values < 4E-3

Examples: Single Interface Hubs



Examples: Multi-interface hubs Hubs



Rationalization: "Permanent" vs "Transient" Interactions

Single-interface hub interactions

→Less interface modification (lower energy barrier) for frequently-changing interactions



Multi-interface hub interactions

→Only need to pay high energetic costs for larger changes infrequently

[Bhardwaj et al. ('11) Prot Sci] dynasin.gersteinlab.org

Using 3D-structure into interpret networks & deepsequencing data

Structural Interaction Network & Protein Motions (DynaSIN)

- Multi-interface permanent hubs have more motion than single-interface transient ones
- Also have more conflicting motions
- LOF variants & Categories of Essential & Disease-sensitive Genes
- Variation at Protein Interfaces in the context of Network Connectivity & its use for Disease-gene Predictions
 - Highly connected parts of PPI under stronger selection but signal weak
 - Stronger signal in SIN & even stronger in multiNet (integration of many networks)
 - Signal strong enough to build predictor
- Rationalizing Deleterious Variants in terms of Potential Allosteric Sites
 - Identifying potential allosteric residues on surface & inside
 - These are under stronger selection & may explain some HGMD SNPs

Impact of a SNP on alternate splice forms

[Balasubramanian et al., Genes Dev., '11]

LOF variants

Making Sense Of Nonsense

- Tricky to find true LOFs
- Use VAT tool
- Some further complexities beyond alt. splicing

• Future: use more structural & protein knowledge

Balasubramanian S., et al. Genes Dev 25: 1-10, 2011

Number Of LoF Variants In An Individual

- Initial estimate of 250-300 LoF variants.
- Enriched for sequencing, annotation artifacts.
- Each of us probably carry
 ~ 100 genuine LoF variants.
- Majority of the LoF variants are rare.
- Each individual has ~ 20 homozygous LoF variants

variant type	Filtered LoF events			
	1000G low-coverage average per individual			NA12878 high coverage
	CEU	CHB+JPT	YRI	European
stop	26.2	27.4	37.2	23
splice	11.2	13.2	13.7	12
frameshift indel	38.2	36.2	44.0	38
large deletion	28.3	26.7	26.6	24
total	103.9	103.5	121.5	97

Phase 1 Update: ~150 LOF/individual but only 10-20 of these rare

Gene Categories with known phenotypic effects

Decreasing tolerance to mutation

- Homozygous inactivation in at least one healthy 1000 Genomes individual
- Weak selection constraints

From MacArthur et al, Science, 2012

- Homozygous inactivation leads to clinical features of death before puberty or infertility
- Very strong selection constraints

From Liao et al, PNAS, 2008

Quantifying Selection inter- and intra-species approaches

'Conservation'

- Typically defined by comparison across species
- dN/dS in coding regions
- GERP noncoding

- Metrics for selection within population
 - SNP density (confounded by mutation rate)
- Depletion of common polymorphisms for regions under selection (also an enrichment of rare variants)

Selection vs Gene Categories:

Using 3D-structure into interpret networks & deepsequencing data

Structural Interaction Network & Protein Motions (DynaSIN)

- Multi-interface permanent hubs have more motion than single-interface transient ones
- Also have more conflicting motions
- LOF variants & Categories of Essential & Disease-sensitive Genes
- Variation at Protein Interfaces in the context of Network Connectivity & its use for Disease-gene Predictions
 - Highly connected parts of PPI under stronger selection but signal weak
 - Stronger signal in SIN & even stronger in multiNet (integration of many networks)
 - Signal strong enough to build predictor
- Rationalizing Deleterious Variants in terms of Potential Allosteric Sites
 - Identifying potential allosteric residues on surface & inside
 - These are under stronger selection & may explain some HGMD SNPs

- Genes & proteins that have a more central position in the network tend to evolve more slowly and are more likely to be essential.
- This phenomenon is observed in many organisms & different kinds of networks
 - yeast PPI Fraser et al ('02) Science, ('03) BMC Evo. Bio.
 - Ecoli PPI Butland et al ('04) Nature
 - Worm/fly PPI Hahn et al ('05) MBE
 - Human RegNet Gerstein et al. ('12) Nature
 - miRNA net Cheng et al ('09) BMC Genomics

ely to PNAS (2007)]

(2005), HPRD, Kim et al.

Categories vs Centrality in Human Genome, using 1000G Phase 1 Data: Signal there but weak

[Khurana et al., Science ('13)]

Stronger signal in the SIN than the Human PPI

Recasting selection in SIN in terms of rare SNPs & direct experimental testing of such putative deleterious variants

WASP interactions tested using yeast two-hybrid experiments

...hurana* and Fu* et al., *PLoS Comp. Bio.*, 2013 Khurana* and Fu* et al, *Science*, 2013 Wang et al, *Nature Biotech*, 2012

Genes participate in many networks (no single network captures the global picture of gene interactions)

Combine **regulatory**, **physical protein-protein**, **signaling**, **metabolic**, **phosphorylation and genetic** interactions to create a unified network (Multinet)

Multinet – the ultimate hairball!

Khurana* and Fu* et al., PLOS Comp. Bio. '13

[Khurana et al., PLOS Comp. Bio. '13]

Integration of network & other properties to predict systems-level effects of deleterious mutations

Can distinguish between LoF-tolerant and Essential genes with high accuracy

Application of the model on all genes

Using 3D-structure into interpret networks & deepsequencing data

Structural Interaction Network & Protein Motions (DynaSIN)

- Multi-interface permanent hubs have more motion than single-interface transient ones
- Also have more conflicting motions
- LOF variants & Categories of Essential & Disease-sensitive Genes
- Variation at Protein Interfaces in the context of Network Connectivity & its use for Disease-gene Predictions
 - Highly connected parts of PPI under stronger selection but signal weak
 - Stronger signal in SIN & even stronger in multiNet (integration of many networks)
 - Signal strong enough to build predictor
- Rationalizing Deleterious Variants in terms of Potential Allosteric Sites
 - Identifying potential allosteric residues on surface & inside
 - These are under stronger selection & may explain some HGMD SNPs

Interpreting Disease Variants in terms of aspects of Protein Structure

Fibroblast growth factor receptor (pdb 1IIL)

Residue ID

Modified Binding Leverage Framework for Predicting Allosterically-Important Surface Residues

Use Monte Carlo simulations to generate 1000s of candidate sites

pdb 1J3H

Surface region with high density of candidate sites

Surface region with low density of candidate sites

Apply normal modes to score each candidate site by the degree to which it **perturbs large-scale motions**

Prioritize & threshold list to identify final set of high confidence-sites

Adapted from Mitternacht S, et al. (2011)

Identifying Potential Allosteric Residues in the Protein Interior

Edge 'distance' between residues i & j is:

Wij = -In(|Cij|) Cij is the correlation between the motions of residues i & j. A *large* 'distance' (i.e., low correlated motion) *increases* the shortest path lengths between such residues.

Freeman LC (1977) Set of measures of centrality based on betweenness. Sociometry 40: 35–41. Girvan & Newman (2002) PNAS 99: 7821.

Conservation of network-identified residues implicated in allosteric signal transmission

Conservation, allosteric hotspots, and disease variants in sequence space

Fibroblast growth factor receptor (pdb 1IIL)

Dotted lines designate HGMD sites without clear biophysical mechanisms of pathogenicity, but which are nevertheless captured by our pipeline.

39 - Lectures.Geistennicau.org

Using 3D-structure into interpret networks & deepsequencing data

Structural Interaction Network & Protein Motions (DynaSIN)

- Multi-interface permanent hubs have more motion than single-interface transient ones
- Also have more conflicting motions
- LOF variants & Categories of Essential & Disease-sensitive Genes
- Variation at Protein Interfaces in the context of Network Connectivity & its use for Disease-gene Predictions
 - Highly connected parts of PPI under stronger selection but signal weak
 - Stronger signal in SIN & even stronger in multiNet (integration of many networks)
 - Signal strong enough to build predictor
- Rationalizing Deleterious Variants in terms of Potential Allosteric Sites
 - Identifying potential allosteric residues on surface & inside
 - These are under stronger selection & may explain some HGMD SNPs

Using 3D-structure into interpret networks & deepsequencing data

Structural Interaction Network & Protein Motions (DynaSIN)

- Multi-interface permanent hubs have more motion than single-interface transient ones
- Also have more conflicting motions
- LOF variants & Categories of Essential & Disease-sensitive Genes
- Variation at Protein Interfaces in the context of Network Connectivity & its use for Disease-gene Predictions
 - Highly connected parts of PPI under stronger selection but signal weak
 - Stronger signal in SIN & even stronger in multiNet (integration of many networks)
 - Signal strong enough to build predictor
- Rationalizing Deleterious Variants in terms of Potential Allosteric Sites
 - Identifying potential allosteric residues on surface & inside
 - These are under stronger selection & may explain some HGMD SNPs

Database of Macromolecular Movements with Associated Tools for Flexibility and Geometric Analysis

This describes the motions that occur in proteins and other macromolecules, particularly using movies. Associated with it are a variety of free software tools and servers for structural analysis.

Movies of Conformational Changes

Quantifying Internal Packing of Residues

Database of Alternative Conformations

Identification of Protein Cavities

Structural Interaction Network

(v2.0, '11, available for yeast, human, E coli)

Variant Annotation Tool (VAT)

- All our annotation from this pipeline
- Input
 - Uses GENCODE (with option of CCDS & other annotations)
 - Overlaps with 1000G
 SNPs, MNPs, indels & SVs
 (other input VCFs possible)
- Output
 - Annotated VCFs
 - Graphical representations of functional impact on transcripts
- Access
 - Source freely available
 - Webserver
 - AWS cloud instance

CLOUD APPLICATION

VAT.gersteinlab.org

Habegger L.*, Balasubramanian S.*, et al. Bioinformatics, 2012

Acknowledgments

DynaSIN.molmovdb.org N Bhardwaj, A Abyzov, D Clarke, C Shou

VAT.gersteinlab.org + LOF

L **Habegger**, s **Balasubramanian**, DZ Chen, E Khurana, A Sboner, A Harmanci, J Rozowsky, D Clarke, M Snyder s **Balasubramanian**, L Habegger, A Frankish, DG **MacArthur**, R Harte, C Tyler-Smith, J Harrow,

archive.gersteinlab.org/proj/

FunSEQ.gersteinlab.org

E Khurana, Y Fu, V Colonna, XJ Mu, HM Kang, T Lappalainen,

A Sboner, L Lochovsky, J **Chen**, A Harmanci, J Das, A Abyzov, S Balasubramanian, K Beal, D Chakravarty, D Challis, Y Chen, D Clarke, L Clarke, F Cunningham, US Evani, P Flicek, R Fragoza, E Garrison, R Gibbs, ZH Gumus, J Herrero, N Kitabayashi, Y Kong, K Lage, V Liluashvili, SM Lipkin, DG MacArthur, G Marth, D Muzny, TH Pers, GR

Ritchie, JA Rosenfeld, C Sisu, X Wei, M Wilson, Y Xue, F Yu, **1000**

Genomes Project Consortium, ET Dermitzakis, H Yu, MA Rubin, C Tyler-Smith

STRESS

Hiring Postdocs. See gersteinlab.org/jobs

A **Sethi**, D **Clarke**, S Kumar, S Li, R Chang, KK Yan, J Chen

Default Theme

- Default Outline Level 1
 - Level 2

[Bhardwaj et al. ('11) Prot Sci] dynasin.gersteinlab.org

with Snurportin-1

Objective: Using 3D-structure into interpret PPI networks & mutations from deep-sequencing data

- Growing numbers of complex structures with many interfaces allow structure to be related to networks
- Growing proportion of structures with same fold allow probing conformational plasticity & motions
- Vast increase exome data provides new ways to think about coding mutations – eg in terms of selection & allele freq. In turn, structure & networks provide interpreting these data
- Sites associated with allosteric motions provide a way interpreting disease mutations, not accounted for otherwise

More Information on this Talk

SUBJECT: Networks

DESCRIPTION:

NOTES: This PPT should work on mac & PC. Paper references in the talk were mostly from Papers.GersteinLab.org.

PERMISSIONS: This Presentation is copyright Mark Gerstein, Yale University, 2010. Please read permissions statement at http://www.gersteinlab.org/misc/permissions.html . Feel free to use images in the talk with PROPER acknowledgement (via citation to relevant papers or link to gersteinlab.org).

PHOTOS & IMAGES. For thoughts on the source and permissions of many of the photos and clipped images in this presentation see http://streams.gerstein.info . In particular, many of the images have particular EXIF tags, such as kwpotppt , that can be easily queried from flickr, viz: http://www.flickr.com/ photos/mbgmbg/tags/kwpotppt .