Introduction

The mouse is one of the most widely studied model organisms \cite{17173058}, with the field of mouse genetics counting for more than a century of studies towards the understanding of mammalian physiology and development \cite{12586691,12702670}. The recent advances of the Mouse Genome Project \cite{22772437,21921910} toward completing the de-novo assembly and gene annotation of a variety of mouse strains, provide a unique opportunity to get an in-depth picture of the evolution and variation of these closely related mammalian species.

Since their divergence about 65 to 110 million years ago (MYA) \cite{12651866,12466850,11214318,11214319}, the human and mouse lineages followed a comparable evolutionary pattern \cite{17284675}. While it is hard to make a direct comparison between the two species, the makeup of the present human population parallels, at generation divergence levels, the evolution of the recent *Mus Musculus* strains including the inbred laboratory mouse strains \cite{17284675} (Figure 1). Despite obvious discrepancies between humans and mice: e.g mice are small, have a short life span and high metabolic rate, the two species share a large number of similarities in their genetic makeup, particularly in tumor and disease development, making mice ideal model organisms for the study of human diseases \cite{14978070}. Understanding the genesis and functional impact of the genetic makeup of these mouse strains would aid in deciphering genome evolution and diversity in human population.

In this paper we describe the first pseudogene annotation and analysis of 17 widely-used inbred mouse strains alongside the reference mouse genome. These strains possess differences in their genetic makeup that manifest in an array of phenotypes, ranging from coat/eye color to predisposition for various diseases \cite{21921910}. Moreover, the creation of these strains has been extensively documented. Following a well characterized inbreeding process for 20 sequential generations, the inbred mice are homozygous at all loci and show a high level of consistency at genomic and phenotypic levels \cite{JAX}. The repeated inbreeding resulted in substantial differences between the mouse strains, giving each strain the potential to offer a unique reaction to an acquired mutation \cite{19710643}. Also the use of inbred mice minimizes a number of problems raised by the genetic variation between animals \cite{11528054}.

To uncover the key genome remodeling processes that governed mouse strain evolution, we focus our analysis on the study of pseudogene complements, while also highlighting their key shared features with the human genome. Specifically, we provide the latest updates on the pseudogene annotation for both the human and mouse genomes, with a particular emphasis on the identification of unitary pseudogenes with respect to each organism.

Often regarded as genomic relics, pseudogenes provide an excellent perspective on genome evolution and function \cite{10692568,11160906,12034841,14616058}. Moreover, pseudogenes play an important role in functional analysis as they can be regarded as markers for loss and gain of function events. A less of function (LOF) event is defined as a mutation that results in a modified gene product that facts the molecular function of the wild type gene \cite{JAX2}. Pseudogenes are thus an extreme case of LOF, when the mutations result in the complete inactivation of the gene. In recent years, LOF has become a key research topic in genomics. In general, the loss of a functional gene is detrimental to an organism's fitness. However, sometimes, in the right conditions, the inactivation of a protein via pseudogenization of its gene, can also be advantageous. The relaxation of the selection constraints on such a

Deleted: put

Deleted: line
Deleted: make up

Deleted: allowing

Deleted:

Deleted: the

Deleted:

Deleted: In recent years, loss of function (LOF) mutations have

gene would favor the accumulation of disabling mutations, eventually resulting in fixation of that pseudogene in the organism. This is the case for the myosin gene 16 pseudogenization which has been suggested to be related to the acquisition of human-specific phenotypes in the primate lineage \cite{25887751,16464126}. Another known example of a LOF event creating an advantageous phenotype is the accumulation of loss_of_function mutations in the proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) gene. When expressed, the PCSK9 protein binds to the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor leading to its degradation and a reduced cellular uptake of plasma LDL \cite{18631360}. Enrichment of plasma LDL cholesterol is often associated with an increased risk of atherosclerosis. By contrast, the accumulation of loss_of_function mutations and subsequent pseudogenization of the PCSK9 result in lower plasma LDL levels, and thus a reduced risk of heart diseases.

From a functional genomics perspective there is a fine line between a loss_of_function variant that is increasing in a population and a pseudogene that is only partially fixed in that population, also known as polymorphic pseudogene. The process that gives rise to these pseudogene types is especially interesting, because it has a great potential to tell us more about the organism's essential gene pool. Additionally, LOF mutations are important for identifying key components in biological processes \cite{ecoliwiki}. LOF events give an insight into selection and gene death - essential features in understanding the genome function and evolution. While most of these areas cannot be easily studied in human, mouse provides as excellent platform for LOF analysis. Moreover, the short evolutionary distance between some of the mouse strains parallels the human-chimp divergence as well as the distance between the current day human populations, making the 17 strains an increase resource for population studies. Finally, we are also able to take advantage of the use of the mouse as a model organism, to paint a comprehensive picture of the functional changes that occur in the genome during embryonic development and find answers to questions like are pseudogenes the result of somatic or germline LOF events? By extension we will be able to shed light on the analogous human processes that are of particular interest to the biomedical and pharmaceutical industry.

General considerations

Pseudogenes are DNA sequences that contain disabling mutations rendering them unable to produce a fully functional protein. There are different types of pseudogenes: processed pseudogenes – formed through a retrotransposition process, duplicated pseudogenes – formed during a gene duplication event, and unitary pseudogenes – formed by the inactivation of a functional gene. From a functional perspective, the pseudogenes can also be classified into three categories: dead-on-arrival – elements that are non functional and it is expected that in time they will be eliminated from the genome, partially active – pseudogenes that exhibit residual biochemical activity, and exapted pseudogenes – elements that have-acquired new functions and can interfere with the regulation and activity of protein coding genes.

In this paper we analyze the evolution and function of the pseudogene complement in the mouse lineage, with a particular focus on contrasting and comparing the unitary pseudogenes in human and mouse

Results

1. Annotation

We present the latest annotation of the mouse reference genome as part of the GENCODE project, as well as updates on the human pseudogene reference set.

Deleted: (MYH16)

Deleted: that

Deleted:

Deleted:

COST

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: The 17 mouse strains are an excellent platform for revealing significant information about the occurrence and evolution of LOF events in a well understood model organism.

Deleted: Additionally, we are able to take advantage of the use of

Deleted:

Deleted: these are

Deleted: these are

Deleted: iv

Deleted: with a particular emphasis on unitary

pseudogenes

1.1 Reference genome

Using a rigorous manual <u>curation</u> process as previously described in the GENCODE annotation resource \cite{22951037,25157146}, we identified almost <u>10,000</u> pseudogenes in the mouse reference genome. Given the similarities between the human and mouse genomes, and the fact that in human we have identified over <u>14,000</u> pseudogenes, the number of manually annotated pseudogenes in the mouse lineage is likely an underestimate of the <u>true</u> size of the mouse pseudogene complement. Thus, <u>to get a idea of the number of pseudogenes in the mouse genome</u>, <u>we took</u> advantage of the updated protein coding annotation, <u>and</u> used the in house annotation pipeline Pseudopipe \cite{16574694} to <u>identify</u> <u>22,000</u> pseudogenes of which <u>18,000</u> are present in assembled chromosomes and <u>4,000</u> are annotated in chromosome patches and scaffold DNA. These numbers are comparable to the ones seen in human (Table XXX). Thus the automatic annotation provides an upper bound with respect to the total number of pseudogenes present.

Table XXX. Reference genome pseudogene annotation in mouse and human

<u>Organism</u>	Pseudopipe Pseudopipe Pseudopipe			Manual	Overlap Manual vs
	Chromosome	<u>Patches</u>	<u>Total</u>	Mailuai	Pseudopipe (%)
Mouse	18,649	4,037	22,686	10,524	8,786 (83.5)
Human	15,978	2,098	18,067	14,650	13,177 (89.9)

PseudoPipe is a comprehensive pseudogene annotation pipeline focused on identifying and characterizing them based on their biotypes as either processed or duplicated. More than half of the annotations are processed pseudogenes, with a smaller fraction of duplicated pseudogenes (Figure 1).

In human we used a combination of automatic and manual curation to refine the reference pseudogene annotation to a set of 14,650 [[CSDS +200 unitary]] pseudogenes. The updated set contains considerable improvements in the identification of unitary pseudogenes, as well as a better characterization of pseudogenes of previously unknown biotype (see Table XXX).

1.2 Mouse strains

The Mouse Genome Project sequenced and assembled genomes for 18 mouse strains, and developed a draft annotation of the strains' protein coding genes \cite{MousePaper}. The strains are organized into 3 classes: an outgroup – formed by two independent mouse species, *Mus Caroli* and *Mus Pahari*; wild strains – covering two subspecies (*Mus Spretus* - SPRET and *Mus Castaneus* - CAST) and two musculus strains (*Mus Musculus Musculus* - PWK and *Mus Musculus Domesticus* - WSB), and a set of laboratory strains. A detailed summary of each strain genome composition is presented in \cite{MousePaper}.

We developed an annotation workflow for identifying pseudogenes in the 18 mouse strains, by leveraging our automatic pipeline PseudoPipe, as well as a set of manually curated pseudogenes from the mouse reference genome (GENCODE M8) lifted over onto each individual strain. Complementarily, the lift over of manual annotation expands the available biotypes by including inactivated immunoglobulin and polymorphic pseudogenes.

Each identified pseudogene is provided with details about the transcript biotype, genomic location, structure, sequence disablements, and a confidence level reflecting the annotation process.

A detailed overview of the number of pseudogenes, their confidence levels, and related biotypes is shown in Figure 1 (Sup Table XX). On average we identified over 12,000

Deleted: 10000

Deleted: 14000

Deleted: to be

Deleted: al

Deleted: taking
Deleted: we

Deleted: annotation

Deleted: identified

Deleted: in the mouse reference genome,

Question:

Que

Deleted: shared

Deleted: the manually curated set.

Deleted: } to identify over 14000

Deleted: and pseudogenes

Deleted: , Sup Table XXX).

Deleted: 14650

Deleted: Sup

Deleted: 17

Deleted: ion

pseudogenes in each laboratory strain, over 11,000 pseudogenes in each of the wild strains, and just over 10,000 pseudogenes for <u>each of</u> the out group species. The difference in the pseudogene complement size <u>closely</u> follows, the variation in the number of conserved protein coding genes between each strain and the reference genome. Additionally, the pseudogene complements reflect the evolutionary distance between each strain and the reference genome.

However, the <u>manually</u> annotated pseudogenes are just a lower bound of the total number of pseudogenes in each strain. <u>Meanwhile</u>, the size of reference genome pseudogene complement curated by the automatic identification pipeline represents a low sensitivity upper bound. Thus, we expect the true size of the pseudogene complement in the mouse lineage to be comparable to the number of pseudogenes in human genome (e.g. ~14,000).

Currently, around 30% of pseudogenes in each strain are defined as high confidence annotations (Level 1), 10% Level 2, and 60% Level 3. With improvements in the annotation of the mouse reference genome as well as refinement of the strain assemblies and annotation, we expect the number of high confidence annotations will increase, matching the fraction observed in the human genome.

The pseudogene biotype distribution across the strains follows closely the reference genome and is consistent with the biotype distributions observed in other mammalian genomes (e.g. Human \cite{22951037}, macaque \cite{25157146}). As such, the bulk (~XX%) of the annotations are processed pseudogenes, while a smaller fraction (~XX%) are duplicated pseudogenes. A small set of pseudogenes requires further analysis of their formation mechanism in order to assign the correct biotype.

The distribution of mouse pseudogene disablements <u>also</u> follows the previously observed distributions in the mouse reference genome and other mammals, with stop codons being the most frequent defect per base pair followed by deletions and insertions. As expected, older pseudogenes show an enrichment in the number of disablements compared with the parental gene sequence. <u>The</u> proportion of pseudogene defects shows a linear inverse correlation with the pseudogene age, expressed as the sequence similarity between the pseudogene and the parent gene.

1.3 Unitary pseudogenes

Unitary pseudogenes are the result of a complex interplay <u>between loss_of_function events</u> and changes in selective pressures resulting in the fixation <u>of</u> an inactive element in a species. Thus the importance of unitary pseudogenes resides not only in their ability to mark loss_of_function events, but also in their potential to highlight changes in <u>selection</u> genome evolution.

Due to their formation mechanism as a result of gene inactivation, the identification of unitary pseudogenes is highly dependent on the quality of the reference genome protein coding annotation, and thus requires a large degree of attention during the annotation process.

In order to get an overview of the mouse strain unitary pseudogene complement, we lifted over the reference annotation and were able to identify on average 15 unitary pseudogenes in each strain. However, this value is an underestimate of the real number of unitary pseudogenes that we expect to find. One way to get a more realistic assessment of the size of the unitary pseudogene complement in the mouse strains is to look at the unitary annotation in the human genome. Given the fact that in humans there are over 200 unitary pseudogenes we expect to see a comparable number of unitary pseudogenes in mouse.

Deleted: t
Deleted: closely

Deleted: indication of

Deleted: for
Deleted: , with
Deleted: ing

Deleted: that the final number reflecting

Deleted: 14000

Deleted: that

Deleted: closely

Deleted: Examining the pseudogene length distribution, we observed that on average pseudogenes are 782 bp long compared to an average size XXX for their parents, suggesting that sequence truncations were common during the pseudogene genesis process. We also identified a number of truncated pseudogenes in each of the strains by comparing the conservation of the 3' and 5' pseudogenic regions to their respective parent sequence.

Deleted: closely

Deleted: closely

Deleted: Also the

Deleted: of Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: human-primates

Deleted:

Deleted: with respect to primates, and the divergence scale between humans and primates matches that of the reference mouse and the outgroup species,

Deleted: similar

Deleted: the reference

Deleted: (and lab strains) with respect to the outgroup.)

We developed a specialized workflow to identify unitary pseudogenes given two comparable genomes. Using this pipeline, we annotated 237 unitary pseudogenes in human with respect to mouse and 210 unitary pseudogenes in mouse with respect to human (See table XXX). As expected a large number of the newly identified human unitary pseudogenes are characterized as GPCRs, olfactory receptors, and vomeronasal receptor proteins present in the chemosensory organ in mouse, reflecting the loss of functionality in these genes during the human lineage evolution. We also observed the pseudogenization of a number of genes commonly related to the evolution of immune system in human. In particular, we found 5 new pseudogenes associated to the Toll-like receptor gene 11 (TLR11), a key player in defense against fungal and bacterial infection, and activator of innate immunity. The lack of functional TLR11 in the human genome suggests that its functions might have been replaced by other immunity genes and thus its presence became futile during evolution. We also observed the pseudogenization of a leucine rich repeat protein, commonly related to the evolution of the immune system in primates \cite{22724060}. By contrast the majority of mouse unitary pseudogenes with respect to human, are associated with structural Zinc finger domains, Kruppel associated box proteins, and immunoglobulin V-set proteins.

2. Genome Evolution & Plasticity

Leveraging the pseudogene annotation, we explore the differences between the 17 mouse strains by looking at the genome remodeling processes that shaped the evolutionary history of their pseudogene complements.

2.1 Phylogeny

It has long been held that pseudogenes evolve with little or no selective constraints \cite{10833048}, and that the mutation rate in pseudogenes reflects the underlying genome substitution pattern \cite{11752196}, making them ideal elements for inferring and comparing mutational processes across the mouse strains. To this end we built a phylogenetic tree based on about 3,000 pseudogenes that are conserved across all strains (see Fig XXX). The pseudogene-based tree correctly identifies and clusters the strains into three classes: outgroup, wild, and laboratory strains.

Next we grouped the conserved pseudogenes into subgroups based on their parents' protein families (e.g. olfactory receptors, CDK, leucine rich repeats, cytochrome C oxidase, etc.), and phenotypic characterization (e.g. rough coat, colour, diabetes, etc.). We constructed pseudogene phylogenetic trees for each of these subgroups (see Fig XXX, Sup Fig XXX). By comparing the resulting trees to the protein-coding one, we noticed that they display an independent, strain specific evolutionary pattern. The deviations of the pseudogene trees from the known lineage pattern reflect roles played by pseudogenes during the strains evolution.

For example, the olfactory receptor 987 pseudogene tree, while maintaining Pahari as an outgroup species, presents a completely different evolutionary history for the 17 strains both in the divergence order as well as in the degree of conservation of the ancestral sequence (as reflected by the branch length). In particular, we observed striking sequence changes in 129S1, NZO (New Zealand obese mouse), and NOD (non-obese diabetic mouse) laboratory strains, and smaller differences with respect to the common ancestor gene in SPRET and PWK wild strains. The rest of the strains, including Caroli and CAST, show little or no sequence variation at all compared to the common ancestor. The large number of changes observed in the olfactory receptor sequences in NZO and NOD hint towards the previously link observed

Deleted: For this we

Deleted: .

Deleted: and on average XXX unitary pseudogenes in each of the mouse classes with respect to the reference

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

542V/

Deleted: 3000

Deleted:

Deleted: (New Zealand obese mouse) and NOD (nonobese diabetic mouse)

between obesity, metabolic diseases, and olfactory receptors \cite{25943692}, given the fact that the two strains display a common diabetic prone phenotype.

2.2 Conservation

In order to decipher the evolutionary history of the mouse strains we created a pangenome pseudogene dataset containing 49,262 unique entries relating the pseudogenes across strains. Of these, we found almost 3,000 ancestral pseudogenes that are preserved across all strains. A detailed summary of the other pseudogene types is shown in Table XXX. On average each strain contains 3,000 strain specific pseudogenes. The proportion of pseudogenes conserved only in the outgroup, the wild strains, or the lab strains is considerably smaller, suggesting that the bulk of the pseudogenes in each strain are derived during the shared evolutionary history. A pair-wise analysis of the 3 classes of strains (Fig XXX) shows that the outgroup strains share a large number of pseudogenes with the laboratory strains than with the wild strains, despite being evolutionarily closer to the latter. This anomaly is potentially related to the diversity of mouse wild strains but also to the slightly lower quality of genome assembly available for this class of mice. By contest, pairwise analysis within each class points to a uniform distribution of shared pseudogenes, reflecting the close evolutionary history between the strains of each class.

2.3 Transposable elements

Mammalian genomes are known for their variety and large number of transposable elements (TE or mobile elements). TEs are sequences of DNA that are characterized by their ability to integrate themselves at new loci within the genome. TEs are commonly classified into two classes: DNA transposons and retrotransposons, with the latter being responsible for the formation of processed pseudogenes and retrogenes.__

We investigate the evolution of the processed pseudogene complements in the human and mouse lineage by looking at the enrichment of TE families in the two species on an evolutionary time scale (Fig XXX). Both human and mouse genomes are dominated by three types of TEs, namely short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) and the endogenous retrovirus (ERV) superfamily. LINE-1 elements (L1) have been shown to mobilize Alu's, small nuclear RNAs and mRNA transcripts. We analysed the LINE, SINE and ERV content in the human and mouse processed pseudogene complements. We define the evolutionary time scale by using the pseudogene sequence similarity to the parent gene as a proxy for age. Thus, younger pseudogenes have a higher degree of sequence similarity to the parent, while older pseudogenes show a more diverged sequence.

The violin plots show a smooth distribution of TEs, with a single peak hinting at the burst of retrotransposition events, that occurred 40 MYA at the dawn of primate lineage and created the majority of human processed pseudogenes. By contrast in mouse we noticed that the TE distribution is defined by multiple successive peaks suggesting a highly active set of transposable elements. The TE activity results in a continuous renewal of the processed pseudogene pool. This contrasting behavior can be accounted for by the large difference in the number of active LINE/L1s between human and mouse (100 vs 3,000s).

2.4 Genome remodeling

The large proportion of strain and class specific pseudogenes, as well as the presence of active TE families, point towards multiple genomic rearrangements in mouse genome

Deleted: from

Deleted: laboratory

Deleted: r

Deleted: outgroup species

Deleted: the

Deleted: ra

Deleted: lineage

Deleted: A

Deleted: repeat

Deleted: are having

Deleted: are showing

Deleted:

Deleted: As expected the human processed

pseudogenes are defined by

Deleted: \cite{}

Deleted: three TE classes are associated with

Deleted: bursts of pseudogene generation resulting

Deleted: 3000s). This can be best observed by comparing the TE families in the three strain groups.

Deleted: processes

evolution. To this end we examined the conservation of pseudogene genomic loci between each of the 17 mouse strains and the reference genome for one-to-one pseudogene orthologs in each pair (Fig XXX). We observed that on average more than 97.7% of loci were conserved across the laboratory strains while 96.7% of loci were conserved with respect to the wild strains. By contrast only 87% of Caroli loci were conserved in the reference genome, while Pahari showed only 10% conservation. The proportion of un-conserved loci follows a logarithmic curve that matches closely the divergent evolutionary time scale of the mouse strains suggesting a uniform rate of genome remodeling processes across the murine taxa (Fig XXX).

2.5 Pseudogene paralogs

To the extent that pseudogenes resulting from retrotransposition processes are, by their mechanism of creation, not constrained to the localization of their parent genes, the large proportion of processed pseudogenes in the mouse lineage shaped the genomic neighborhood of each strain, competing with successful duplications and retrotranspositions resulting in functional paralogs of their parent genes.

In order to understand the ratio of successful copies to disabled copies of genes, we compared the number of pseudogenes with the number of functional paralogs for each parent gene based on the mechanisms of formation (retrotransposition and duplication) (Fig XXX). The gene duplication process can result in either the creation of a functional copy or a disabled one - a pseudogene. Looking at the two possible outcomes, we observed a direct correlation between the number of duplicated pseudogenes and the number of duplicated paralogs per gene, with the ratio of the two being tilted towards the creation of functional elements.

By contrast, processed pseudogenes are formed through a retrotransposition process. As such, the expression level, as well as the number of protein coding gene copies in the genome will have an impact on the pseudogene genesis. To this end we looked at transcription in protein coding genes grouped into two classes: parents of pseudogenes and regular genes. We observed that genes associated with pseudogenes show consistently a higher level of transcription compared to their non pseudogene related counterparts (see Sup Fig XX).

Next we tested the hypothesis that a highly expressed gene, characterized by a high number of mRNAs, will be associated with an increase in the number of pseudogene homologs. However, a large number of mRNAs can be achieved in a number of ways: e.g. from a protein coding gene with low number of copies in the genome but high expression level, multiple copies of a protein coding gene with low individual expression level, etc. Thus, there is no direct positive correlation between genes with high number of paralogs and high number of pseudogenes. Moreover, similar to the human counterpart, the mouse pseudogene complement exhibits an inverse proportional evolution of the number of processed pseudogenes relative to the number of paralogs per gene.

3. Biological relevance

The <u>role of pseudogenes</u> in genome biology has long been debated, however, recent studies \cite{25157146} have highlighted the fact the pseudogenes can contribute to the genome function and activity. Here we address the biological relevance of pseudogene, activity leveraging data from gene ontology, protein families and RNAseq experiments.

3.1 Gene ontology & pseudogene family analysis

Deleted: Starting with the premise that a

Deleted: have

Deleted: equally probable

I eleted: Explicitly, a protein coding gene with a high expression level and low number of copies will yield a high number of mRNAs per copy, and thus will have a higher probability of producing a defective one. As a result, this protein coding gene will have a large number of associated processed pseudogenes. By contrast a highly expressed protein coding gene with multiple copies, will have a lower number of mRNA products per copy, and thus we expect a lower incidence of associated processed pseudogenes. Similar

Deleted: role

Deleted: S

We integrated the pseudogene annotation with gene ontology (GO) data in order to address one of the key questions surrounding pseudogenes. What is their biological significance? For this we calculated the enrichment of GO terms across the strains. We observed that the pseudogene complement of the majority of strains share the same biological processes, molecular function and cellular components, hinting at the shared evolutionary history between the various mouse strains (Fig XXX). Moreover, the GO terms that universally characterize the pseudogene complements in all the mouse strains are closely reproduced in the family classification of pseudogenes. The top pseudogene family 7-Transmembrane encompasses the chemoreceptors GPCR proteins reflecting the mouse genome enrichment in olfactory receptors. Similar to the human and primate counterparts, the top families seen in mouse pseudogenes are related to highly expressed proteins such as GAPDH, ribosomal proteins and Zinc fingers.

Xery,

However, a closer look suggests that the pseudogene repertoire also reflects individual strain specific phenotypes. A detailed list of the strain specific and strain enriched pseudogenes families, strain specific phenotypes, and strain specific molecular and cellular GO-defined processes is shown in Table XXX. We observed two possible types of pseudogene-phenotype associations. First, the pseudogenization process is linked with the emergence of an advantageous phenotype. This is the case for Mus Spretus, where we see an enrichment of pseudogenes related to tumor repressor genes and apoptosis pathways genes. Second, we find pseudogenes reflecting a deleterious phenotype. This can be seen in the blind albino mouse strain (BALB), a representative line for neurodegenerative disorders (100% of subjects developing severe brain lesions \cite{JAX}). BALB is enriched in Cytochrome c Oxidase (COX) subunit VIa pseudogenes, and it has been previously reported that disabling mutations in COX are cause for neurodegeneration \cite{17435251}.

3.2 Gene essentiality

We observed an enrichment of essential genes among pseudogene parent genes across all mouse strains and the likelihood that a gene has produced pseudogenes. Lists of essential and nonessential genes were compiled using data from the MGI database and recent work from the International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium \cite{27626380}. The nonessential gene set with Ensembl identifiers contained 4,736 genes compared to 3,263 essential genes. In general, the essential genes are more highly transcribed than nonessential genes, which suggests that they are more likely to generate processed pseudogenes.

We observed an enrichment of essential genes among pseudogene parent genes across and mouse strains. Evaluating the parent gene for each pseudogene present in the mouse strains reveals essential genes are approximately three times more abundant amongst parent genes. Genes in the essential gene set exhibit higher levels of expression at multiple time points during mouse embryonic development. This suggests that higher expression of these genes during early development might lead to additional retrotransposition events resulting in new pseudogenes.

The number of paralogs associated with essential and nonessential genes was evaluated to provide insight into the possible role of gene duplication in the enrichment of essential genes amongst the parent gene set. In the reference mouse 19.4% of nonessential genes and 25.9% of essential genes lack paralogs. Meanwhile, there isn't a large difference in the average number of paralogs seen for essential and nonessential genes with at least one paralog. Such genes in the two groups have an average of 6.2 and 6.7 paralogs per gene respectively. The slight depletion of genes with paralogs in the experimentally determined essential gene set is

Deleted: top families
Deleted: R
Deleted:
Deleted: the

Deleted: of

Deleted: [CSDS2PM: can you please check the list of these pseudogenes form the DEATH clan so we can get their parent expression in Spretus and comment on expression pattern for those genes].

Deleted: For example, this is the case of

Deleted: with

Deleted: [[CSDS2PM can you please add a bit more intro to gene essentiality?]]

Deleted: with 3,263 essential genes

Deleted: [[CSDS2PM TO extend]]

[...[1]

likely due to the reliance on single gene knockouts to determine essentiality, which would miss genes with an essential role and a functional paralog.

3.3 Pseudogene Transcription

We leveraged the available RNA-seq data from the Mouse Genome Project to study pseudogene biology as reflected by their transcription potential. Previous pan tissue analysis pointed towards a uniform level of pseudogene transcription in both the mouse and human genomes, with 15% of the total pseudogenes showing a residual level of transcription. By contrast, the proportion of pseudogenes showing tissue specific transcription varies in both human and mouse. In this project we analyzed the pseudogene transcription in brain tiesue for human and mouse. Both species show a consistent transcription level in brain, with 5% of the total pseudogene complement being transcribed (see Sup Fig XX). We also identified xxx% transcribed pseudogenes that show a discordant expression pattern with respect to their parent genes. Similar to the previously observed pattern in humans and other model organisms, pseudogene transcription in mouse strains shows higher tissue and strain specificity compared to the protein coding counterpart (see Sup Fig XX). Also, pseudogenes with strain specific transcription were more common than those with conserved cross-strain transcription.

The pseudogenes conserved across all strains show a uniform level of transcription. However, the proportion of transcribed pseudogenes is half (2.5%) of that observed across the entire dataset. Moreover, for strain specific pseudogenes, the fraction of transcribed elements varies across the strains (see Sup Fig XX).

Utilizing rich functional datasets available for the mouse enabled us to further investigate the processes underlying pseudogene creation. An embryogenesis RNA-seq time course provided an opportunity to investigate parent gene expression during early development cite{27309802}. We investigated parent gene expression over a series of developmental stages ranging from metaphase II oocytes to the inner cell mass. At every stage the average expression of parent genes exceeds that of non-parent genes. Furthermore, plots of gene expression vs. the number of pseudogenes for each parent gene reveal that parent genes associated with a large number of pseudogenes have low expression in early development. In later developmental stages however, these parent genes begin to exhibit higher levels of transcription.

4. Mouse pseudogene resource

We created a pseudogene resource that organizes all of the pseudogenes across the 17 mouse strains and reference genome, as well as associated phenotypic information in a MySQL database (Fig XXX). Each pseudogene is given a unique universal identifier as well as a strain specific ID in order to facilitate both the comparison of specific pseudogenes across strains and collective differences in pseudogene content between strains. The database contains three general types of information: details about the annotation of each pseudogene, comparisons of the pseudogenes across strains, and phenotypic information associated with the pseudogenes and the corresponding mouse strains. In order to facilitate a direct comparison between human and mouse we also provide orthology links between each mouse entry and the corresponding human counterpart.

Pseudogene annotation information encompasses the genomic context of each pseudogene, its parent gene and transcript Ensembl IDs, the level of confidence in the pseudogene as a

) JACKER TO THE PARTY TO THE PA

Deleted: at a FPKM greater than 2

Deleted: largely

Deleted: from 2% to 6% in liver and testis respectively.

Deleted: focus our analysis on single tissue

Deleted: expression. In brain on average 5% of pseudogenes show evidence of

Deleted: . This result is

Deleted: with the fraction of pseudogenes that are transcriptionally active

Deleted: human

Deleted:

Deleted: the one

Deleted:

Deleted: Next we looked at transcription in protein coding genes since their RNA transcript is the check point in both protein expression and processed pseudogene formation through retrotransposition. We observed that genes associated with pseudogenes show a consistently a higher level of transcription compared to their non pseudogenes related counterparts (see Sup Fig XX). However, no significant correlation was observed between the levels of transcription in pseudogenes and their corresponding functional from plog.

function of agreement between manual and automated annotation pipelines, and the pseudogene biotype.

Information on the cross-strain comparison of pseudogenes is derived from the liftover of pseudogene annotations from one strain to another and subsequent intersection with that strain's native annotations. This enables pairwise comparisons of pseudogenes between the various mouse strains and the investigation of differences between multiple strains of interest. The database provides both liftover annotations and information about intersections between the liftover and native annotations.

Links between the annotated pseudogenes, their parent genes, and relevant functional and phenotypic information help inform biological relevance. In the database, the Ensembl ID associated with each parent gene is linked to the appropriate MGI gene symbol, which serves as a common identifier to connect to the phenotypic information. These datasets include information on gene essentiality, pfam families, GO terms, and transcriptional activity. Furthermore, paralogy and homology information provide links between human biology and the well characterized mouse strain collection.

Discussion

We describe the annotation and comparative analysis of the first draft of the pseudogene complement in the mouse reference genome and 17 related strains. By combining manual curation and the in house automatic annotation pipeline we were able to obtain a comprehensive view of the pseudogene complement in the mouse genome. The overlap between the manually curated pseudogenes and the ones identified using computational methods is over 80% reflecting the high accuracy of the computational detection methods. Given the similarities between the human and mouse genomes we expect that the total number of pseudogenes in the mouse genome to match closely the one reported for human.

In order to annotate pseudogenes in mouse strains, we used as input a consensus set of protein coding genes between each strain and the reference genome. The size of the pseudogene complement follows closely the number of conserved protein coding genes between each strain and the reference genome. Also, we found that the relative ratio of processed to duplicated pseudogenes is preserved across all strains.

Integrating the annotation in the 18 strains we obtained a pan genome mouse pseudogene set composed of over <u>45,000</u> unique entries. The pan genome set contains three types of pseudogenes: conserved, strain specific, and multi-strain, accounting for 6, XX, and respectively YY% of the elements. By comparing the pseudogene complements across 6 million years of evolution we obtain a global picture of genome remodeling processes that shaped the mouse lineage. As such, we constructed phylogenetic trees using pseudogene sequences and we were able to associate strain phenotypes with strain specific pseudogenes.

Sequence analysis reveals that while the majority of human genome pseudogenes have been obtained relatively recently through a single burst of retrotransposition, the mouse lineage shows a continued renewing of the pseudogene pool through the constant activity of transposable elements.

- Top pseudogene families are matching closely the human counterparts
- While human TE activity became silent after the retrotransposition burst, TE are still active in mouse strains

Deleted:

Deleted: The surveyed set was created employing both manual curation and computational pipelines and consists of 9379 pseudogenes in the reference genome.

Deleted: (currently standing at 14650).

Deleted: As such the

Deleted: each reported

Deleted: set decreases slightly with

Deleted: increase in the evolutionary distance

[3]

Deleted: the
Deleted: However

Deleted: Deleted: 45000

Deleted: the

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

- Similar to human, pseudogene prolific genes are not enriched in paralogs and vice versa
- Pseudogene localisation suggests multiple large scale genomic rearrangements between the out group - wild strains and the reference (lab strains) mouse genome
- •_A significant proportion of pseudogenes show signs of transcriptional activity

Deleted:	
Deleted:	
Deleted:	



Methods

Deleted:

1. Pseudogene Annotation Pipeline

The lack of available high level protein coding and peptide annotations in the 17 mouse strains created a bottleneck in the pseudogene identification process. This was resolved by generating protein input sets that are shared between the strain and the reference genome. The number of shared transcripts follows an evolutionary trend with more distant strains having a smaller number of common protein coding genes with the reference genome compared with more closely related laboratory strains.

The two individual annotation sets (PseudoPipe and liftover of manually curated elements) are merged to produce the final pseudogene complement set. The merging process was conducted by overlapping the annotations (using 1 bp minimum overlap) and extending the predicted boundaries to ensure the full annotation of the pseudogene transcript. A Level 1 designation indicates a high confidence prediction, with the annotated pseudogene being validated by both automatic and manual curation processes, Level 2 pseudogenes are identified only through the manual lift-over of the GENCODE reference genome annotations, while Level 3 pseudogenes are predicted solely using the automation identification pipeline.

2. Unitary Pseudogene Annotation Pipeline

We adapted PseudoPipe to work as part of a strict curation workflow that can be used both in identifying cross-strain and cross species unitary pseudogenes. A schematic is shown in figure 1. In summary, we define the "functional" organism as the genome providing the protein coding information and thus containing a working copy of the element of interest, and the "nonfunctional" organism as the genome analysed for pseudogenic presence, containing a disabled copy of the gene. In order to make sure that false positives are eliminated, we introduced a number of filtering steps for removing all cross species pseudogenes or pseudogenes with orthologous parent genes in the two organisms.

3. Data integration & pangenome pseudogene generation

[[CSDS be completed]]

EXTRA

In particular, Spretus specific pseudogenes are enriched in apoptosis related genes and are characterized by the DEATH superfamily. This result is in concordance with the previous reports describing the strain specific tumor resistant phenotype as a result of the highly active apoptotic pathway and enrichment in tumor repressor genes \cite{19129501}. The blind albino mouse strain (BALB), a well studied line in a variety of neurodegenerative disorders (with 100% of subjects developing sever brain lesions \cite{JAX}), is characterized by pseudogenes associated with Cytochrome c Oxidase (COX) subunit VIa protein family. The phenotype link is particularly interesting given that COX mutations have been shown to cause neurodegeneration \cite{17435251}. Another example is the strain specific enrichment in defensin associated pseudogenes for the New Zealand obese mouse (NZO) – a mouse line known for expressing severe obesity phenotype. Defensins are small peptides involved in the organisms' protection against pathogens by regulating the in inflammatory defense against microbial invasion \cite{19855381} with recent studies highlighting the role played by defensin in controlling the inflammation resulted from metabolic abnormalities in obesity and type 2 diabetes \cite{25991648} and even showcasing it's potential as markers of obesity

Deleted:

\cite{26929193}. A full list of pseudogene family related strain specific phenotypes is available in Supplemental Material.

Deleted:[4]

[[CSDS2PM TO extend]]

- do essential genes have duplicated copies (in order to guarantee the organism fitness and survival) or are they unique thus, their disabling resulting in the organism's death
- are the pseudogenes associated with essential genes because the are duplicated for conservation reason or for expression reasons?

Page 9: [2] Deleted Author 18/03/2017 18:04

Next we looked at transcription in protein coding genes since their RNA transcript is the check point in both protein expression and processed pseudogene formation through retrotransposition. We observed that genes associated with pseudogenes show a consistently a higher level of transcription compared to their non pseudogenes related counterparts (see Sup Fig XX). However, no significant correlation was observed between the levels of transcription in pseudogenes and their corresponding functional homolog.

When evaluating pseudogene transcription across both the laboratory and wild strains the 393 pseudogenes with transcription in all assayed strains was lower than the number of strain specific transcribed pseudogenes for all but one strain. This contrasts with pseudogene conservation in which case the number of shared pseudogenes is greater than that of all laboratory strains and two wild strains (CAST and WSB). However, when shared pseudogene transcription is evaluated within the context of either the laboratory strains or wild strains slightly different patterns emerge. Amongst the wild strains strain specific transcription is greater than cross strain transcription for each strain. Within the laboratory strains the number pseudogenes with cross-strain transcription was greater than the number of pseudogenes with strain specific expression for 4 of the 10 strains.

To investigate the pseudogene formation milestones, we analyzed the expression of protein coding genes during mouse fetal development. We observed a clear relationship between the expression level of parent genes and the number of associated processed pseudogenes. In particular [[PM can you please fill in the analysis here.]]

Page 10: [3] Deleted Author 18/03/2017 18:04

•

Page 13: [4] Deleted	Author	18/03/2017 18:04
----------------------	--------	------------------