RESPONSE LETTER

-- Ref1.1 - Significance about the results of MET --

Reviewer Comment	The authors have focused on MET and produced some data that did not provide further advances to what we have known so far on the role of MET in type I pRCC.
Author Response	We thank the reviewer for expressing concerns about our results on MET. Indeed, MET has been known to be the central driver in type I pRCC for decades. However, most of the analyses focus on coding region only. The majority of type I pRCC patients in the TCGA study do not carry any missense mutation in MET. ~20% patients show significantly higher MET expression yet are completely silent in MET, without evidence for missense mutations, alternative splicing and copy number amplification. Using a more integrated approach, we are able to provide hints for alternative mechanisms to MET dysfunction in type I pRCC. 1. Our study is the first one that looks into the non-coding
	regions of pRCC. We find excessive non-coding mutations at the promoter and regulatory regions of MET. Given the critical role MET plays in pRCC, we believe this mutation hotspot is possibly linked with pRCC molecular etiologies. Accordingly, we have revised the manuscript to
	 better explain the significance of our findings. 2. During our revision, we find the activation of a cryptic promoter in the second intron of MET causes the alternative mRNA isoform described in the original TCGA
	study. This event has been observed in several other cancers included CML and some GI (gastrointestinal tract) cancers. We provide an explanation for the alternative
	RNA isoform in pRCC. Further more, we linked the usage of this cryptic promoter with the methylation change that is often seen in pRCC. We added this new analysis in the
	revised manuscript. 3. We find more somatic mutations in an extended WXS set, further completing the MET mutation spectrum of the TCGA study.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	The TCGA study identified a MET alternative translation isoform as a driver event (3). However, the etiology of this new isoform was unknown. We found this isoform results from the usage of a cryptic promoter from an L1 element, likely due to local loss of methylation (REF). This event was reported in several other cancer types (REF). To test its relationship with methylation, we found the closet probe (cg06985664, ~3kb downstream) on the Methylation array show marginally statistically significant (p=0.055, one-side rank-sum test). Additionally, as expected, this event is associated with methylation group 1 (odds ration (OR)= 4.54, 95%CI: 1.07-19.34, p<0.041), indicating genome-wide methylation dysfunction. This association is stronger in type 2 pRCC and

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:00 PM

Deleted: the

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:03 PM

Deleted: the

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:00 PM

Deleted: for

Shantao 1/28/2017 7:39 PM

Deleted: Moreover, the

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:02 PM

Deleted: We find more somatic mutations in an extended WXS set, further completing the MET mutation spectrum of the TCGA study.

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:03 PM

Deleted: 5' end

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:02 PM

Deleted: discovered

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:03 PM

Deleted: Our finding is the first one that provides

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:04 PM

Deleted: result with discussion

Shantao 1/28/2017 7:50 PM

Deleted: has

Shantao 1/28/2017 7:50 PM

Deleted: is

Shantao 1/28/2017 7:49 PM

Deleted: identified

Shantao 1/28/2017 7:50 PM

Deleted: a

Shantao 1/28/2017 7:50 PM

Deleted: a

it shows a significant association with the C2b cluster (OR= 17.5, 95%Cl: 1.72-32.6, p<0.007)

-- Ref1.2 - Non-coding analysis power--

Reviewer	The non-coding analysis did not show significant findings, likely due to the
Comment	small cohort size and the heterogeneous nature (cohort (n=32) included 19
A	type I pRCC, 6 type II pRCC, and 7 unclassified).
Author	We agree with the referee that our statistical test power is
Response	affected. However, we start with finding noncoding mutations with
	high impact. We are able to show a significant amount of samples
	carry impactful mutations in noncoding regions and conduct some
	coarse recurrence tests. As the referee points out, we are limited
	by low statistical power to do further refined analyses.
	Nonetheless, our analysis is the first exploration of pRCC non-
	coding regions and provides meaningful insights of pRCC. This
	hopefully will spark some research ideas and interests in
	noncoding regions of pRCC,
	The non-coding mutation hot spots indeed carry excessive
	and impactful mutations. We segment the genome based
	on functional annotation (FunSeq). Then we try to find
	highly recurrent mutations in annotated regions. These
	three mutation hotspots have, extremely high mutation rate
	in our cohort. The hotspots span from 7 to 50kb, each with
	6-to-7 mutations observed in 35 samples (~150,000 non-
	coding mutations in total). Therefore, the local mutation
	rate is roughly 5-to-20 times higher than average. We
	explain our approaches better in the revised manuscript.
	2. All three functional regions are biologically linked with
	pRCC. Mutations in these regions could have high
	impacts. Unfortunately, non-coding regions are largely
	overlooked in the previous studies of pRCC. Our study is
	the first one that looks into these regions that make up to
	98% of the genome. Although we were not able to
	perform fine-scale tests for these mutation hotspots due to
	sample size, we hope our analyses will spark interests and
	encourage researcher to further explore the possible
	biological impacts of these events.
	3. In our revision process, we reviewed the WGS samples
	and added three more WGS samples into our cohort,
	reaching a final size of 35.
Excerpt From	
Revised	
Manuscript	

-- Ref1.3 - Implications of NEAT1 mutations--

Reviewer	This reviewer was very intrigued by the NEAT1 finding, which deserves more

Shantao 1/28/2017 7:52 PM

Deleted: by the small cohort size and the pathological heterogeneity of the samples.

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:05 PM

Deleted: in

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:14 PM

Deleted: still

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:14 PM

Deleted: some

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:05 PM

Deleted:

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:05 PM

Deleted: <#>In our revision process, we reviewed the WGS samples and added three more WGS samples into our cohort, reading a final size of 35.

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:06 PM

Deleted: s

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:10 PM

Deleted: we discover show

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:10 PM

Deleted: <

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:14 PM

Deleted: As the reviewer pointed out, small sample size and the heterogeneity nature of the cohort greatly affect our statistical power. We were not able to provide much supporting evidence for the functional effects of these mutation hotspots. However, a

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:16 PM

Deleted: Besides

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:15 PM

Deleted:

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:15 PM

Deleted: W

Comment	work to elucidate its importance and could be the highlight of this paper. Can we use NETA1 promoter mutation to classify pRCC and what are the associated transcriptomic signature?
Author Response	Recurrent mutations in NEAT1 are indeed intriguing. NEAT1 is a non-coding RNA thus will be missed by whole exome sequence. It was overlooked in previous studies of pRCC. Our study is the first one on NEAT1 in pRCC. We show a mutation hotspot in NEAT1 and mutations are linked with higher expression of NEAT1, presumably due to the dysfunction of gene regulation region, and worse survival of patients. As the referee suggested, we did additional work on NEAT1 in the revised manuscript.
	Although lacking WGS data to find genomic alteration, we found NEAT1 is overexpressed in about 6% of the TCGA ccRCC cohort. NEAT1 higher expression is significantly associated with shorter overall survival time (OS). NEAT1 is tightly co-expressed with MALAT1, which is another noticeable lncRNA in cancer. The referee raised an interesting point about expression signature. NEAT1 mutations seem to be associated with RNAseq cluster 3 but do not reach statistical significance (p>0.05) likely due to small sample size. NEAT1 expression pattern is
	Additionally, we are a part of the currently ongoing PCAWG study (PanCancer Analysis of Whole Genomes), During revision, we quickly looked at the NEAT1 mutation stats in the PCAWG RCC dataset. 21/144(14.58%) of the samples carry mutations in NEAT1, a frequency agrees with the one from our cohort. Confirmed hypermutation in a larger, high-quality dataset further supports our results. Unfortunately, we are not able to publish results based on PCAWG data at this moment.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	However, without mutation status, NEAT1 expression level is not significantly linked with pRCC survival. Nonetheless, NEAT1 is overexpressed in about 6% ccRCC samples from the TCGA cohort. NEAT1 overexpression is significantly associated with shorted overall survival (Fig SXX). MALAT1, another noticeable IncRNA in cancer, is tightly co-expressed with NEAT1 in both pRCC and ccRCC. Overexpression of MALAT1 is reported to be associated with cancer progression (REF).

-- Ref1.4 -Significance of mutation spectra & landscape--

Reviewer	The findings on mutation spectra and defects in chromatin remodeling
Comment	affecting mutation landscape are of moderate interest.
Author	We appreciate the referee for raising concerns about the
Response	significance of the mutation spectra and landscape analysis. As
	the referee points out earlier, pRCC is very heterogeneous,
	especially the type II. TCGA study shows several subgroups of
	pRCC while we still observe great variation in subgroups. A key
	aim of our study is to better understand this heterogeneity.

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:15 PM

Deleted: Therefore, it

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:17 PM

Deleted:

... [1]

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:17 PM

Deleted: .

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:26 PM

Deleted: Moreover

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:22 PM

Deleted: during the revision process, we learn some preliminary results from other research groups. In

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:15 PM

Deleted: G

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:23 PM

Deleted: ,

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:23 PM

Deleted:

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:23 PM

Deleted: researchers

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:10 PM

Formatted: Not Highlight

01--1-- 4/00/0047.0.0F.DM

Deleted: are powered by the unprecedentedly large whole genome sample size and highlight NEAT1 (along with its co-expression partner MALAT1) as a newly discovered cancer driver (unpublished data, personal correspondence). In our study, we have shown it is linked with higher expression of NEAT1, presumably due to the dysfunction of gene regulation region, and worse survival of patients.

1	Mutation appare alusidate diversified mutation processes in
	Mutation spectra elucidate diversified mutation processes in
	pRCC. In our study, we identify several factors (methylation,
	APOBEC, chromatin remodeling defects etc.) play vibrant roles in
	tumorigenesis. This helps better characterize and understand
	pRCC in terms of variations in mutagenesis, tumor evolution, and
	molecular etiologies. It also has potential clinical implications. For
	instance, mutation burden has important predictive value on
	immune therapy response. In the era of great advancing of
	immune therapy, we feel research on mutation landscape in
	pRCC has the potential to facilitate clinical decisions.
	In the revised manuscript we add discussions to better explain
	the significance of this part of the study.
Excerpt From	<u> </u>
Revised	Y .
Manuscript	

-- Ref1.5 - Individual evolution trees --

Reviewer	The WGS analysis is somewhat descriptive. With the wealth of this dataset,
Comment	the author shall attempt to generate individual pRCC evolution trees of these
	32 cases.
Author	We thank the referee for the suggestion. In the revision, we
Response	build
	(running on HPC)
Excerpt From	
Revised	
Manuscript	

-- Ref1.5 - Minor --

Reviewer Comment	a) line 173, please add reference b) line 258, based on available clinical trials, there is almost certain that c-MET
	inhibitor has no role in type II pRCC, which needs to be rephrased. c) line 278-283, will expand pending further analysis
Author	We thank the referee for pointing out these issues. In the
Response	revision, we
	a) added reference to support higher mutation rate of C-to-T in
	methylated CpGs. (T.R. Waters, P.F. Swann
	Thymine-DNA glycosylase and G to A transition mutations at
	CpG sites Mutat. Res., 462 (2000), pp. 137–147)
	b) "Potentially, patients with rs11762213 might also benefit from
	MET inhibitors
	Should we fight back on this? Stating "Type II patients carrying
	rs11762213 only constitute a small subset of the patients. Thus
	clinical trials were not able to rule out MET inhibitor might be

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:40 PM

Deleted:

... [3]

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:38 PM

Deleted: Not too sure what to do with this

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:40 PM Formatted: Highlight

1		effective in this subset. One following-up study could be stratifying the cohort based on rs11762213 genotype and reanalyze the data" I think Brian has some ongoing MET inhibitor trials to support this. Or we just turn down the language? c) We expanded the section of NEAT1. See REF1.3.	
ı	Excerpt From	b) we expanded the section of WEATH (Section 1.5.	
	Revised Manuscript	b)	

-- Ref2.1 - Molecular mechanisms of rs11762213 --

Reviewer	For the germline SNP rs11762213, it does not change protein sequence. If it
Comment	really plays some role in cancer, it probably has regulatory function(s).
	However, the authors didn't observe changes in expression or protein
	abundance of MET. I am wondering what about the expression and protein
	abundance of MET in ccRCC where this SNP also is associated with
	prognosis. And what about genes that are next to MET in both pRCC and
Author	ccRCC if MET is unchanged? The referee raised an excellent question. The mechanism of
Response	rs11762213, a synonymous exonic SNP, remains still unsettled.
Response	A recent publication about rs11762213 by AA Hakimi et al.
ı	studies the in great details in ccRCC. They did not find any
	statistically significant change in MET expression patterns
	associated with this SNP. Also this SNP is not in strong linkage
	disequilibrium with other SNPs of interest in RCCs.
	Following the suggestion of referee, we explored the genes within
	50kb away from MET
	Since this is a germline SNP, it may affect the tumor
	development, even at the very early stage. Such effects might be
	complicated and become cryptic during the tumor development
	and thus fail to be detected. Also this SNP might have affect the
	MET expression in nearby tissues and stimulate the tumor
	growth. AA Hakimi et al., were not able to get statistical
	significance on higher MET expression in normal tissue
	associated with rs11762213. However, this could be due to low
	statistical power.
	In the revised manuscript, we better elaborate the current
	research status of rs11762213 and incorporate the discussions
	above
Excerpt From	
Revised	

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:45 PM

Deleted:,

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:45 PM

Deleted: s

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:41 PM

Deleted: made a

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:41 PM

Deleted: good point

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:45 PM

Deleted: looked at MET expression

patterns

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:46 PM

Deleted: in MET in ccRCC

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:13 PM

Deleted: MET, on the other side, is strongly linked with RCCs.

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:48 PM

Formatted: Not Strikethrough

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:49 PM

Deleted: d

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:48 PM

Deleted: .

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:48 PM

Formatted: Not Strikethrough

Manuscript	

Reviewer

-- Ref2.2 - DHS validity --

The authors shall use caution when counting mutations in DHS sites when

Comment	there is mutation in chromatin remodelers. The authors claimed mutations in chromatin remodelers can change the chromatin environment. If so, comparing number of mutations in DHS sites predicted from one cell line will particularly be problematic in patients with mutations in remodelers.
Author	
Response	STL2MG: What's the EncodeCA results on DHS when
	comparing cancer/normal cell lines?
	Also I am not very sure whether we should fight back on this. If we say DHS region shift only adds second-order effect, then how do we rationalize mutation rate change? Chromatin remodelers participating in DNA repair? Then why particularly high mutation rate in open chromatin region?
	I feel we could just step back and agree with the referee. Then it is just a language game: we call DHS as "open chromatin regions in normal state". We will then have a convincing rational on the mechanism and still show the results are still meaningful.]
	The referee made an excellent observation. We <u>certainly</u> agree that, <u>DHS</u> regions called from a normal kidney cell line represent the open chromatin regions under normal, physiological condition. With chromatin remodeling dysfunction, the DHS regions are likely to <u>shift slightly</u> in pRCC tumors. This change could partially explain the observed the mutation burden shift but more likely to be a second-order effect.
Excerpt From	DHS regions are enriched with function regions of genome, for example, essential genes. Therefore, a higher mutation burden in DHS regions might be deleterious for tumor. Nonsynonymous mutations in protein coding regions may also be antigenic. Recent studies have shown patients with higher and impactful mutation burden response better to immunotherapy. Thus this shift of mutation landscape may have clinical implications.
Revised Manuscript	

-- Ref2.3 - Figure 2A --

Reviewer	Figure 2A is confusing. There are 3 proposed promoters and 4 SNVs in
Comment	promoter, inconsistent with text. It's better to put this panel into Figure 1 rather
	than in Figure 2.

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:02 PM

Formatted: Highlight

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:02 PM

Formatted: Highlight

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:02 PM

Formatted: Highlight

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:51 PM

Deleted: w

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:49 PM

Deleted: change

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:51 PM

Deleted: DHS regions called from a normal kidney cell line represent the open chromatin regions under normal, physiological condition. The

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:55 PM

Deleted:

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:55 PM

Deleted: could be a result of DHS regions change

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:54 PM

Deleted: .

Shantao 1/26/2017 8:54 PM

Deleted: In fact, we believe this is the most plausible explanation for this mutation landscape shift since both DNA replication and repair are affected by DNA accessibility.

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:03 PM

Deleted: A

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:15 PM

Deleted:

Author Response	We thank the reviewer for pointing the flaws in our figure preparation. We have fixed the promoter regions and put it into Figure 1.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	

-- Ref2.4 - Color key in Figure 4 --

Reviewer	Color key should be added in Figure 4
Comment	
Author	We thank the reviewer for pointing the flaws in our figure
Response	preparation. We have added color key in Figure 4
Excerpt From	
Revised	
Manuscript	

-- Ref3.1 - The significance of rs11762213 in pRCC--

Reviewer Comment	They looked at an exonic SNP in the MET gene among pure papillary RCC (rather than mixed RCC histologies done previously) and found marginally worse prognosis in type 2 pap RCC with the SNP. They argue that this may have clinical implications and that patients with the SNP may benefit from MET inhibitors. However, the association is not strong enough for it to matter clinically. A cost benefit analysis would be needed as well as an explanation of how it would impact management. The claim that it would select patients for
	MET inhibition is unsubtantiated. The authors link this SNP to a racial predisposition to developing papillary RCC but this is mostly speculation.
Author Response	We totally agree with the reviewer that there is a long path to- translate scientific discoveries in the lab into clinical care, In this scientific research article, we have no intention to offer any suggestion for clinical practice changes. Cost-benefit analysis and many more studies are certainly needed before any change in patient management. We are afraid that they are beyond the scope of the article and <i>Plos Genetics</i> .
	 The two previous studies about rs11762213 were done on a mixed RCC cohort and a cohort entirely made up of ccRCC respectively. The mixed cohort was mostly ccRCC (78% in discovery cohort and 75% in validation cohort) due to the disease nature. The pRCC subset is apparently too small to run any subgroup analysis. Both of the studies were not able to prove rs11762213 predict prognosis in pRCC. In this manuscript, for the first time, we find that rs11762213 has predictive value in type 2 pRCC outcome. p-value indicates the chances that the null hypothesis is true. It is certainly impacted by the magnificence of the effects of the SNP. But, many other factors also greatly affect the p-value, for example, statistical power/sensitivity.

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:17 PM

Formatted Table

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:05 PM

Deleted: improvement

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:06 PM

Deleted:

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:11 PM

Formatted: Font:Italic

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:53 PM

Deleted: n entire

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:54 PM

Deleted: made up by

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:04 PM **Deleted:** proved

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:04 PM

Deleted: also

Shantao 1/28/2017 8:54 PM

Deleted: for the first time.

	In our case, the p-value is largely bounded by the small sample size. A "marginal" p-value does not necessarily mean the effect of the SNP on prognosis is small. In the revised manuscript, we calculated the odds ratio to better reflect the effect of rs11762213. 3. We were forming hypotheses and speculating about the etiologies and implications of rs11762213 in the discussion section. We agree with the reviewer that we should rewrite this part to better explain the implications of our study. Thus we revised the SNPs discussion in the manuscript.
Excerpt From Revised	
Manuscript	

-- Ref3.2 -Statistical significance--

Reviewer Comment	Their analysis of non-coding mutation hotspots was largely negative or statistically underpowered. They found mutations in the promoter region of NEAT1, a non-coding RNA, which were marginally associated with worse outcome. This is interesting but of minor significance.
Author	We understand the concern of the reviewer. However, we feel the
Response	recurrent mutations in NEAT1 are actually of great interest.
	First, NEAT1 is a non-coding RNA thus will be missed by whole exome sequence. It was overlooked in previous studies of pRCC. We conducted the first study of NEAT1 in pRCC.
	The effect of NEAT1 is not minor. Patients generally have good
	prognosis in our pRCC cohort, thus affects the power of our
	survival analysis. However, in the revision, we looked at the
	TCGA ccRCC cohort. Although lacking WGS data to find
	genomic alteration, we found NEAT1 is overexpressed in about
	6% of the cohort. NEAT1 higher expression is significantly
	associated with shorter overall survival time (OS). NEAT1 is
	tightly co-expressed with MALAT1, which is another noticeable
	IncRNA in cancer.
	Additionally, we are a part of the currently ongoing PCAWG study
	(PanCancer Analysis of Whole Genomes). During revision, we
	quickly looked at the NEAT1 mutation stats in the PCAWG RCC
	dataset. 21/144(14.58%) of the samples carry mutations in
	NEAT1, a frequency agrees with the one from our cohort.
	Confirmed hypermutation in a larger, high-quality dataset further

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:12 PM
Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:12 PM

Deleted: on

	supports our results. Unfortunately, we are not able to publish results based on PCAWG data at this moment.
	In the revised manuscript, we include the new analyses we have done on NEAT1 to support its role in pRCC.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	"However, without mutation status, NEAT1 expression level is not significantly linked with pRCC survival. Nonetheless, NEAT1 is overexpressed in about 6% ccRCC samples from the TCGA cohort. NEAT1 overexpression is significantly associated with shorted overall survival (Fig SXX). MALAT1, another noticeable IncRNA in cancer, is tightly co-expressed with NEAT1 in both pRCC and ccRCC. Overexpression of MALAT1 is reported to be associated with cancer progression (REF)."

-- Ref3.3 - Interpretation of APOBEC--

Reviewer	They found an APOBEC mutation signature in only 1 out of 155 cases. Given	
Comment	that APOBEC signatures are described in urothelial carcinoma, the authors	
	then theorized that papillary RCC may be genomically similar to urothelial	
	carcinoma and may potentially be managed similarly with chemotherapy	
	and radiation therapy. This is a great leap of faith and logic (or illogic). Again, attesting to the paucity of actual positive findings.	
Author	We thank the reviewer for expressing the concerns about our	
r I		
Response	interpretation of APOBEC and the language we use here.	
	D00: 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.7004	
	pRCC is very heterogeneous, especially the type II. TCGA	
	study shows several subgroups of pRCC and still we see	
	large variation within subgroups. A key aim of our study is	
	to better understand this heterogeneity. APOBEC	
	mutagenesis shows both location (prefer single-strand	
	DNA, for example around double strand break sites) and	
	context (unique trinucleotide signature) preference.	
	Therefore, in APOBEC active samples, it is a major player	
	in shaping the cancer genome.	
<u>'</u>		
	In previous clinical studies, ~15% of pRCC patients	
	response to cytotoxic chemo (REF) but we do not know	7
	who they are. Our APOBEC study and comparison to	Ì
	urothelial cancer are making efforts to better understand	
	the heterogeneity of the cancer nature. We want to	
	emphasize that we are now doing explorations and	
	forming hypotheses, trying to raise further research	
	interests.	
	interests.	
	We were forming scientific hypotheses here in the	
	discussion section in hope to encourage further research	
	ideas and interests. We completely understand the	
	concern from the reviewer about the language and	/
	interpretation of the results. Therefore, in the revised	//
	manuscript, we rewrote this part to better distinguish actual	
	results and our hypotheses.	

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:21 PM

Deleted: NEAT1 is a non-coding RNA thus will be missed by whole exome sequence. Therefore, it is overlooked in previous studies of pRCC. There are several studies supporting the role of NEAT1 in several cancers. In our study, we have shown it is linked with higher expression of NEAT1, presumably due to the dysfunction of gene regulation region, and worse survival of patients. [4]

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:49 PM

Deleted:

... [5]

Shantao 1/28/2017 9:15 PM

Formatted: Not Highlight

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:51 PM

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets

or numbering

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:47 PM

Deleted: . (should we say this?)

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:19 PM

Deleted: elaborate

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:19 PM

Deleted: our

Shantao 1/26/2017 10:20 PM

Deleted: more clearly

Excerpt From	
Revised	
Manuscript	

-- Ref3.4 - Significance of chromatin remolding defects --

	Reviewer Comment	Papillary RCC with defects in chromatin remodeling genes show a higher mutation burden. This is interesting, but not too surprising as it is the case in
		other tumor types.
1	Author Response	To our best knowledge, we are not aware of major systematic studies showing chromatin remolding (CR) defects are related with higher mutation burden in functionally important DHS regions. Most of the mutation burden studies focus on DNA repair genes. Besides, we showed CR genes mutations are not merely
1		a refection of high mutation burden but associated directly with mutation landscape change. Out test statistics still stand when the mutation numbers in DHS regions are normalized by the total mutation counts.
Ì	Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	•

-- Ref3.5 -- Methylation analysis--

Reviewer Comment	That methylation influences mutation spectra is interesting and may be pursued, but it needs a more coherent story. Perhaps additional analyses on which mutation pathways are affected and any prognostic role?
Author Response	 We thank the reviewer for the suggestions. 1. In the revised manuscript, we have added a downstream analysis of methylation-related mutations, emphasizing on the functional consequences of them. 2. During the revision, we realized the alternative splicing event observed in <i>MET</i> in the TCGA study is related to methylation. We showed the novel transcription isoform is due to L1 promoter activation, which is likely due to local hypomethylation. It also reflects global methylation dysfunction. Therefore, the novel <i>MET</i> isoform is associated with methylation cluster 1, which is further away from normal kidney tissues.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	First we validated the TCGA identified methylation cluster 1 showed higher methylation lever than cluster 2 in all annotation regions (Figure S2, see Methods), prominently in CpG Islands (OR of sites being differentially hypermethylated: 1.29, 95%CI: 1.20-1.39, p<0.0001). As expected, C-to-T mutations in CpGs in group 1 showed higher but not statistically significant percentage overlapping with CpG islands compared with group 2 (1.8% versus 1.4%, p=0.14). Therefore, methylation status is the most prominent factor shaping the mutation spectra across patients. We further tried to explore the functional impact of the excessive mutations driven by methylation. C-to-T mutations in CpGs were more likely to be in the coding region (OR=1.54, 95%CI: 1.27-1.85, p<0.0001) and nonsynonymous (OR=1.47, 95%CI: 1.17-1.84, p<0.001). Yet, C-to-T mutations in CpGs did not show functional bias between two methylation groups nor in non-coding regions

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:59 PM

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Shantao 1/28/2017 9:00 PM

Deleted: proved

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:59 PM

... [6]

Deleted: Shantao 1/26/2017 9:59 PM

Deleted: Similar to Ref1.4

(Figure SXX).

The TCGA study has identified a MET alternative translation isoform as a driver event (3). However, the etiology of this new isoform is unknown. We identified this isoform results from the usage of a cryptic promoter from an L1 element, likely due to a local loss of methylation (REF). This event was reported in several other cancer types (REF). To test its relationship with methylation, we found a closet probe (cg06985664, ~3kb downstream) on the Methylation array show marginally statistically significant (p=0.055, one-side rank-sum test). Additionally, as expected, this event is associated with methylation group 1 (odds ration (OR)= 4.54, 95%CI: 1.07-19.34, p<0.041), indicating genome-wide methylation dysfunction. This association is stronger in type 2 pRCC and it shows a significant association with the C2b cluster (OR= 17.5, 95%CI: 1.72-32.6, p<0.007).

-- Ref3.6 - Structural variation analysis --

Reviewer	The structural variations were not explored in great detail. There were 343 SV
Comment	events but were any recurrent? There were three cases carrying deletions in
	CDKN2 and 1 case with amplification in MET; otherwise, the structural
	variations appear as largely a negative result.
Author	We understand the concern raised by the referee.
Response	
	First we want to point out that our SV set from sequencing has
	much finer resolution than the original SNP-array based
	approach. Therefore, we are able to conduct analyses on
	breakpoints. Although MET is involved a lot of amplification
	events and several samples are genomically unstable,
	surprisingly we do not find any breakpoint falls into MET and
	disrupt the gene. This further supports the driver role of MET in
	pRCC.
	proo.
	[STL2MG: maybe we want to say this new SV analysis requires a
	lot of computation and work? So we should get at least an A+ for
	"effort grade"?]
	Also, in the revised manuscript, we reanalyze the SVs using a
	more_refined, approach. Using high performance cluster, we are
	able to spend a giant amount of CPU times to realign all the
	reads for higher quality mapping. We found
	We update the manuscript to include the new SV analysis,
	_
Excerpt From	First, we recognized that the original BAM files were made by a very old version of BWA that
Revised Manuscript	does not support split-read mapping in alignment. Split-read are vital to SV detection. Therefore,
Ivianuscript	we extracted all the reads from the BAMs, paired them and performed remapping. Then we applied LUMPY, a probabilistic SV caller based discordant read pairs and split reads to call the
	SVs. To evaluate the functional impacts of the somatic SVs, we used SVScore to prioritize and
	evaluate the SVs.

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:38 PM

Deleted: |

Shantao 1/28/2017 9:01 PM

Deleted:

Shantao 1/26/2017 11:01 PM

Deleted: sensitive

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:38 PM

Deleted: First, we recognized that the original BAM files were made by a very old version of BWA that does not support splitread mapping in alignment. Split-read are vital to SV detection. Therefore, we extracted all the reads from the BAMs, paired them and performed remapping. Then we applied LUMPY, a probabilistic SV caller based discordant read pairs and split reads to call the SVs.

Shantao 1/26/2017 9:38 PM

Deleted: To evaluate the functional impacts of the somatic SVs, we used SVScore to prioritize and evaluate the SVs.