1	Whole-genome analysis of papillary kidney cancer finds significant non-
2	coding alterations
3	
4	Authors: Shantao Li ¹ , Brian M. Shuch ^{2,*} , Mark B. Gerstein ^{1,3,4,*}
5	¹ Program in Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA.
6	² Department of Urology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, 06520, USA.
7	³ Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA.
8	⁴ Department of Computer Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA.
9	*To whom correspondence should be addressed: brian.shuch@yale.edu, pi@gersteinlab.org
10	Short title: Whole-genome analysis of papillary kidney cancer
11	Abstract: Papillary renal-cell carcinoma (pRCC) constitutes 10-15% of kidney tumors. To date,
12	studies on it have largely focused on coding alterations in traditional drivers, particularly MET.
13	However, for a significant fraction of tumors, researchers have been unable to determine clear
14	molecular etiologies. To address this, we perform the first whole-genome analysis of pRCC.
15	Elaborating on previous results on MET, in the coding regions of this gene we find more somatic
16	alternations and a germline SNP predicting prognosis (rs11762213). Interestingly, we find no
17	enrichment for small structural variants associated with MET. Next, we scrutinize non-coding
18	mutations, discovering potentially impactful ones in regions associated with MET and a long
19	non-coding RNA (NEAT1). Moreover, NEAT1 is implicated in other cancer and its mutations in
20	pRCC are associated with increased expression and unfavorable outcome. Finally, we investigate
21	genome-wide mutational patterns, finding they are governed mostly by methylation-associated
22	C-to-T transitions. Also, we observe significantly more mutations in open chromatin in tumors
23	with chromatin-modifier alterations.

24 Author Summary

Renal cell carcinoma accounts for more than 90% of kidney cancers. Papillary renal cell 25 carcinoma (pRCC) is the second most common subtype of renal cell carcinoma. Previous studies, 26 focusing mostly on the protein-coding regions, have identified several key genomic alterations 27 that are key to cancer initiation and development. However, researchers cannot find any key 28 mutation in a significant portion of pRCC. Therefore, we carry out the first whole-genome study 29 of pRCC to discover triggering DNA changes explaining these cases. By looking at the entire 30 genome, we find additional potentially impactful alterations in and out of the protein-coding 31 regions. These newly identified critical mutations from scrutinizing the entire genome help 32 complete our understanding of pRCC genomes. Two alterations we found are associated with 33 prognosis, which could aid clinical decisions. We are also able to recognize mutation patterns 34 and signatures, which reflect the mutagenesis processes and give hints on how cancer develops. 35 Our study provides valuable additional information to facilitate better tumor subtyping, risk 36 37 stratification and potentially clinical management.

38

39 Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) makes up over 90% of kidney cancers and currently is the most lethal genitourinary malignancy (1). Papillary RCC (pRCC) accounts for 10%-15% of the total RCC cases (2). Unfortunately pRCC has been understudied and there are no current forms of effective systemic therapy for this disease. pRCC are further subtyped into two major groups: type 1 and type 2 based on histopathological features. For many years, the only prominent oncogene in pRCC (specifically, type 1) that physicians were able to identify was *MET*, a tyrosine kinase receptor for hepatic growth factor. An amino acid substitution that leads to

constitutive activation and/or overexpression are two mechanisms of dysfunction of MET in 47 48 tumorigenesis. Recently, the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) published its first result on pRCC 49 (3), which greatly improves our understanding of the genomic basis of this disease. Several more genes and specific sub-clusters were identified to be significantly mutated in pRCC. 50 Nevertheless, a significant portion of pRCC cases still remains without any known driver. 51 Therefore we think it is time to explore the rest 98% non-coding regions of the genome using 52 whole genome sequencing (WGS). This is sensible because non-coding regions, previously 53 overlooked in cancer, have been showed to be actively involved in tumorigenesis (4-6). 54 Mutations in non-coding regions may cause disruptive changes in both cis- and trans-regulatory 55 elements, affecting gene expression. Understanding non-coding mutations helps fill the missing 56 "dark matter" in cancer research. 57 Multiple endogenous and environmental mutation processes shape the somatic mutational 58 landscape observed in cancers (7). Analyses of the genomic alterations associated with these 59 processes give information on cancer development, shed light on mutational disparity between 60 61 cancer subtypes and even indicate potential new treatment strategies (8). Additionally, genomic features such as replication time and chromatin environment govern mutation rate along the 62 genome, contributing to spatial mutational heterogeneity. While identifying mutation signatures 63 is possible using data from whole exome sequencing (WXS), whole genome sequencing (WGS) 64 gives richer information on mutation landscape and minimizes the potential confounding effects 65 of exome capture process and driver selection. 66

67	In this study, we comprehensively analyzed 35 pRCC cases that were whole genome
68	sequenced along with an extensive set of WXS data on multiple levels. We went from
69	microscopic examination of driver genes to analyses of whole genome sequencing variants, and

Shantao 1/24/2017 12:04 AM Deleted: 32

71	finally, to investigation of high-order mutational features. First, we focused on MET, an	
72	oncogene which plays a central role in pRCC, especially in type 1. We found rs11762213, a	
73	germline exonic single nucleotide polymorphism inside MET, predicts cancer-specific survival	
74	(CSS) in type 2 pRCC. We also discovered several potentially impactful non-coding mutation	
75	hotspots in MET promoter and its first two exons. The previous TCGA study identifies a MET	
76	alternative transcription event as a driver event but without illustrating the etiology (3). We	
77	found that a cryptic promoter from a long interspersed nuclear element-1 (L1) triggers the	
78	alternative isoform expression. Surprisingly, we did not find a significant amount of structural	
79	variations affecting MET besides polysomy 7, Then we went onto cases not as easily explained	
80	as those with MET alterations. We analyzed nearly 150,000 non-coding mutations throughout the	
81	entire genomes and found several potentially high-impact mutations in non-coding regions.	
82	Further zooming out, we discovered pRCC exhibits mutational heterogeneity in both nucleotide	
83	context and genome location, indicating underlying vibrant mutational processes interplay. We	
84	found methylation is the leading factor influencing mutation landscape. Methylation status drives	
85	the intra-sample mutation variation by promoting more C-to-T mutations in the CpG context.	
86	APOBEC activity, although infrequently observed, leaves an unequivocal mutation signature in a	
87	pRCC genome but not in ccRCC. Last, we discovered samples with chromatin remodeler	
88	alternations accumulate more mutations in open chromatin regions.	
89		
90	Results	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
91	1. An exonic SNP in <i>MET</i> , rs11762213, predicts prognosis in type 2 pRCC.	
92	We begin with coding variants in the long known driver MET. The TCGA study of 161	

93 pRCC patients found 15 samples carrying somatic, nonsynonymous single nucleotide variant

Shantao 1/23/2017 5:32 PM Formatted: Font:Italic

Shantao 1/24/2017 2:29 AM Deleted: 3

(SNV) in MET. By analyzing 117 extra WXS samples (see Methods), we found six more 95 nonsynonymous somatic mutations in six samples (Table S1). V1110I and M1268T were two 96 97 recurrent mutations in this extra set. Both of them were observed in the TCGA study as well. Additionally, we found two samples carrying H112Y and Y1248C respectively. H1112Y has 98 been observed in two patients the original TCGA study cohort and H1118R is a long-known 99 germline mutation associated with hereditary papillary renal carcinoma (HPRC, 13). Y1248C 100 has been observed in type 1 pRCC before (rs121913246) and the TCGA cohort has a case 101 carrying Y1248H. All mutations occur in the hypermutated tyrosine kinase catalytic domain of 102 MET. Two out of these six samples were identified as type 1 pRCC while the subtypes of the rest 103 104 four were unknown.

Although many MET somatic mutations are believed to play a central role in pRCC, 105 some germline MET mutations have also been associated with the disease. In particular, a 106 germline SNP, rs11762213, has been discovered to predict recurrence and survival in a mixed 107 108 RCC cohort (14). ccRCC predominated the initial discovery RCC cohort. This conclusion was 109 later validated in a ccRCC cohort but never in pRCC (9). We wondered whether this SNP has a prognostic effect in pRCC. Using an extensive WXS set of 277 patients (see Methods; Figure S1 110 111 and Table S1;), we found 14 patients carry one risk allele of rs11762213 (G/A, Table 1, minor allele frequency (MAF) = 2.53%). No homozygous A/A was observed. Cancer specific deceases 112 are concentrated in type 2 pRCC. Among 96 type 2 pRCC cases, seven patients carry the minor 113 A allele (MAF = 3.65%, Table 1). Survival is significantly worse in type 2 patients carrying the 114 115 risk allele of rs11762213 (p = 0.034, Figure 1B). But we did not find significant association of this germline SNP with survival in type 1 patients. We did not find statistically significant 116 association of rs11762213 with MET RNA expression in either tumor samples or normal controls 117

- 118 (p > 0.1, two-sided rank-sum test). *Met* pY1235 levels in tumor samples, as measured by Reverse
- 119 phase protein array (RPPA), were not significantly different in patients carrying the minor G

allele compared to patients with A/A genotype (p > 0.1, two-sided rank-sum test).

Characteristic	G/A (n = 7)	A/A (n = 89)
Sex, No. (%)		
Male (%)	4 (57)	25 (28)
Female (%)	3 (43)	64 (72)
Age, median (IQR), y	54 (47-61)	65 (57-73)
Race, No. (%)		
White	6 (86)	65 (73)
Black	1 (14)	16 (18)
Asian	0	4 (4)
NA	0	4 (4)
T stage, No. (%)		
T1	4 (57)	47 (53)
Τ2	1 (14)	10 (11)
Т3	2 (29)	31 (35)
Τ4	0	1 (1)
N stage, No. (%)		
N0	3 (43)	20 (22)
N1	0	15 (17)
N2	1 (14)	2 (2)
NX	3 (43)	52 (58)
M stage, No. (%)		
M0	3 (43)	54 (61)
M1	1 (14)	4 (4)
MX/NA	3 (43)	31 (35)
AJCC stage, No. (%)		
Ι	4 (57)	43 (48)
II	0	7 (8)
III	1 (14)	29 (33)
IV	2 (29)	6 (7)
NA	0	4 (4)
Median follow-up for surviving patients, days (IQR)	243 (132-354)	579 (219-1247)

124 because of rounding.

¹²¹

¹²² Table 1. Patient clinical profiles of the type 2 pRCC cohort in rs11762213 survival analysis. AJCC: American

¹²³ Joint Committee on Cancer; IQR: interquartile range; NA: not available. Percentages may not add up to 100%

125

2. Epigenetic alterations and mutation hotspots in non-coding regions 126 127 The TCGA study has identified a *MET* alternative translation isoform as a driver event (3). However, the etiology of this new isoform is unknown. We identified this isoform results 128 from the usage of a cryptic promoter from an L1 element, likely due to a local loss of 129 methylation (REF). This event was reported in several other cancer types (REF). To test its 130 relationship with methylation, we found a closet probe (cg06985664, ~3kb downstream) on the 131 Methylation array show marginally statistically significant (p=0.055, one-side rank-sum test). 132 133 Additionally, as expected, this event is associated with methylation group 1 (odds ration (OR)= 4.54, 95%CI: 1.07-19.34, p<0.041), indicating genome-wide methylation dysfunction. This 134 association is stronger in type 2 pRCC and it shows a significant association with the C2b cluster 135 (OR= 17.5, 95%CI: 1.72-32.6, p<0.007). 136 Despite the fact MET is the most common driver alteration, about 20% presumably MET-137 138 driven yet MET wild-type pRCC samples were still left unexplained (3). Therefore, we scanned 139 the MET non-coding regions. We observed one mutation in MET promoter region in a type 1 pRCC sample (Figure 2A and Table S2). This sample shows no evidence of a nonsynonymous 140 mutation in MET gene but it has copy number gain of MET. Additionally, we observed 6/35 141 Shantao 1/24/2017 12:09 AM Deleted: 32 (17.1%) samples carry mutations in the intronic regions between exon 1-3 of MET (Figure 2A 142 Shantao 1/24/2017 12:09 AM Deleted: 18.8 143 and Table S2). Previously it is been established that alternative splicing of these exons is a driver event (3). Therefore we speculated that these non-coding variants might correlate with the 144 alternative splicing. However, likely being hindered by a small size, we were not able to find 145 statistically significant association between the alternative splicing event and these intronic 146 mutations. 147

ntao 1/23/2017 5:41 Pl Formatted: Font:Bold, Not Italic Shantao 1/23/2017 5:40 PM Deleted: M

151	We further expanded our scope and ran FunSeq (4-5) to identify potentially high-impact,	
152	non-coding variants in pRCC. First, we identified a high-impact mutation hotspot on	
153	chromosome 1. 6/35 (17.1%) samples have mutations within this 6.5kb region (Figure 2B and	
154	Table S2). This hotspot locates at the upstream of ERRFI1 (ERBB Receptor Feedback Inhibitor	
155	1) and overlaps with the predicted promoter region. ERRFI1 is a negative regulator of EGFR	l
156	family members, including EGFR, HER2 and HER3, all have been implicated in cancer. Due to	
157	a very limited sample size here, our test power was inevitably low. We didn't observe	
158	statistically significant changes among mutated samples in mRNA expression level, protein level	
159	and phosphorylation level of EGFR, HER2 and HER3.	
160	Another potentially impactful mutation hotspot is in NEAT1. We saw mutations inside	
161	this nuclear long non-coding RNA in $\frac{6}{35(17.1\%)}$ samples (Figure 2C and Table S2). Several	
162	studies indicated NEAT1 is associated in many other cancers (15-16). It promotes cell	
163	proliferation in hypoxia (17) and alters the epigenetic landscape, increasing transcription of	
164	target genes (18).	
165	All the mutations we found fell into a putative promoter region of <i>NEAT1</i> . We noticed	
166	<i>NEAT1</i> mutations were associated with higher <i>NEAT1</i> expression (Figure 2D, $p < 0.044$, two-	
167	sided rank sum test). We also found NEAT1 mutations were associated with worse prognosis	
168	(Figure 2E, p < 0.022, log-rank test). <u>However, without mutation status, <i>NEAT1</i> expression level</u>	
169	is not significantly linked with pRCC survival. Nonetheless, NEAT1 is overexpressed in about	
170	6% ccRCC samples from the TCGA cohort. NEAT1 overexpression is significantly associated	
171	with shorted overall survival (Fig SXX). MALATI, another noticeable lncRNA in cancer, is	
172	tightly co-expressed with NEAT1 in both pRCC and ccRCC. Overexpression of MALAT1 is	
173	reported to be associated with cancer progression (REF).	

Shantao 1/24/2017 12:05 AM Deleted: 2 Shantao 1/24/2017 12:05 AM Deleted: 8.8

Shantao 1/24/2017 12:04 AM Deleted: 5 Shantao 1/24/2017 12:05 AM Deleted: 2 Shantao 1/24/2017 12:05 AM Deleted: 15.6

Shantao 1/24/2017 12:15 AM Formatted: Font:Italic Shantao 1/24/2017 12:17 AM Formatted: Font:Italic Shantao 1/24/2017 12:18 AM Formatted: Font:Italic Shantao 1/24/2017 12:19 AM Formatted: Font:Not Italic Shantao 1/24/2017 12:18 AM Formatted: Font:Italic Shantao 1/24/2017 12:20 AM Formatted: Font:Italic

179	We used DELLY (10) to perform structural variants (SVs) discovery from WGS reads	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:29 AM
180	information (see Methods and Table S3). The SV discovery approach has higher sensitivity and	Formatted: Highlight
181	resolution than array-based methods, which were employed in the TCGA analysis. In the end we	
182	found 343 somatic SV events, includes deletions, duplications, inversions and translocations. We	
183	confirmed three cases carrying deletions affecting CDKN2A called by TCGA array-based	
184	methods but not the other two cases, possibly due to large-scale events (aneuploidy). One	
185	sample, TCGA-B9-4116, which has extensive amplification of MET, showed multiple SVs of	
186	various classes hitting MET regions. However, surprisingly, we did not find SVs affecting MET	
187	except this one example. We postulate trisomy/polysomy 7 is the main mechanism of MET	
188	structural alteration rather than duplication in a smaller scale. Besides duplication, we did not	
189	expect to find deletion, inversion or translocation disrupting oncogene MET. These SVs are	
190	likely to cause loss-of-function rather than gain-of-function mutations. This is consistent with the	
191	putative role of MET as an oncogene, rather than a tumor suppressor. (Will work on this after	
192	the SV results come back)	
193		
194	3. Mutation spectra and mutation processes of pRCC	
174	5. Multion speetra and multion processes of prece	
195	To further get a high-order overview of the mutation landscape, we summarized the	
196	mutation spectra of 35, whole genome sequenced pRCC samples (Figure 3A). C-to-T in CpGs	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:27 AM
197	showed the highest mutation rates, which were roughly ten to twenty-fold higher than mutation	Deleted: 32
198	rates in other nucleotide contexts.	
199	We used principle components analysis (PCA) to reveal factors that explain the most	
200	inter-sample variation. The loadings on the first principle component (which explained 12.5% of	
201	the variation) demonstrated C-to-T in CpGs contributed the most to inter-sample variation	

203	(Figure 3B). C-to-T in CpGs is highly associated with methylation. It reflects the spontaneous	
204	deamination of cytosines in CpGs, which is much more frequent in 5-methyl-cytosines (REF).	
205	So we further explored the association between C-to-T in CpGs and tumor methylation status.	
206	First we validated the TCGA identified methylation cluster 1 showed higher methylation lever	
207	than cluster 2 in all annotation regions (Figure S2, see Methods), prominently in CpG Islands	
208	(OR of sites being differentially hypermethylated: 1.29, 95%CI: 1.20-1.39, p<0.0001). We	
209	confirmed this association by showing samples from methylation cluster 1 had higher PC1 scores	Shantao 1/23/2017 6:07 PM
210	as well as higher C-to-T mutation counts and mutation percentages in CpGs (Figure 3C). This	Deleted: (hypermethylated group, Figure S2)
211	trend was further validated using a larger WXS dataset as well. Especially, the most	
212	hypermethylated group, CpG island methylation phenotype (CIMP), showed the greatest C-to-T	
213	in CpGs (Figure S2). As expected, C-to-T mutations in CpGs in group 1 showed higher but not	
214	statistically significant percentage overlapping with CpG islands compared with group 2 (1.8%	
215	versus 1.4%, p=0.14). Therefore, methylation status is the most prominent factor shaping the	
216	mutation spectra across patients. We further tried to explore the functional impact of the	
217	excessive mutations driven by methylation. C-to-T mutations in CpGs were more likely to be in	
218	the coding region (OR=1.54, 95%CI: 1.27-1.85, p<0.0001) and nonsynonymous (OR=1.47,	
219	95%CI: 1.17-1.84, p<0.001). Yet, C-to-T mutations in CpGs did not show functional bias	
220	between two methylation groups nor in non-coding regions (Figure SXX).	
221	Recently, several somatic mutation signatures were identified. Many have putative	Shantao 1/23/2017 9:09 PM
222	etiology, revealing the underlying mutation processes (7). We used a LASSO-based approach	Deleted: .
223	(see Methods) to decompose mutations into a linear combination of these canonical mutation	
224	signatures in both WGS and WXS samples (Figure S3). The leading signature was signature 5,	
225	which is consistent with previous studies (7). Interestingly, we found one type 2 pRCC case out	

of 155 somatic WXS sequenced samples exhibited APOBEC-associated mutation signature 2
 and 13. APOBEC mutation pattern enrichment analysis (see Method) further confirmed the
 presence of APOBEC activity (Figure 3D). This sample was statistically enriched of APOBEC
 mutations (adjusted p-value < 0.0003).

Prominent APOBEC activities were also incidentally detected in three upper track
 urothelial cancer (UC) samples sequenced and processed in the same pipeline with pRCC
 samples. UC often carries APOBEC mutation signatures and our result is consistent with TCGA
 bladder urothelial cancer study (19).

236 The APOBEC-signature carrying pRCC case was centrally reviewed by six pathologists in the original study and confirmed to be type 2 pRCC (3). Thus this tumor is likely a special 237 case of type 2 with genomic alterations share some similarities with UC. It has non-silent 238 239 mutations in ARID1A and MLL2 and a synonymous mutation in RXRA, all are identified as significantly mutated genes in UC but not in pRCC. Potential pRCC driver events, for example 240 241 low expression of CDKN2A and nonsynonymous alternations in significantly mutated genes of pRCC, are absent in this sample. 242 Noticeably, all four samples with APOBEC activities showed significantly higher 243

APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B mRNA expression level (p < 0.0022 and p < 0.0039 respectively,
one-side rank sum test, Figure S4). This is in concordance with previous studies of APOBEC
mutagenesis in various types of cancer (12).

Consistent with previous studies (12), we failed to detect statistically significant
 APOBEC activities in an extensive WXS dataset consisting of 418 clear cell RCC (ccRCC)
 samples, even after resampling to avoid p-value adjustment eroding the power. Very low levels

250 of APOBEC signatures (<15%) was found in less than 1%(4/418) samples. With a much larger

sample size, this result was unlikely to be confounded by detecting power.

- 252
- 253

254 4. Defects in chromatin remodeling affects mutation landscape

255	Chromatin remodeling genes are frequently mutated in pRCC and many other cancers
256	including ccRCC (20). Defects in chromatin remodeling cause dysregulation of chromatin
257	environment. Open chromatin regions show lower mutation rate, presumably due to more
258	effective DNA repair (21). Thus chromatin remodeler alternations could possibly alter the
259	mutation landscape, specifically increase mutation rate in previously open chromatin regions. To
260	test this hypothesis, we tallied the number of mutations inside DNase I hypersensitive sites
261	(DHS) in HEK293, a cell line derived from human embryonic kidney cells, the closest match we
262	could find in the ENCODE DHS database. 12/32 samples with non-silent mutations in eleven
263	chromatin remodeling, cancer associated genes show higher genome-wide mutation counts (p $\!<\!$
264	0.032, one-side rank-sum test), partially driven by higher mutation counts in DHS region (p $\!<\!$
265	0.003, one-side rank-sum test). The median number of mutations in DHS region considerably
266	increases by about 50% (75.5 versus 112) in samples carrying chromatin remodeling defects.
267	The effect is significant after normalizing against the total mutation counts ($p < 0.015$, one-side
268	rank-sum test, Figure 3E).
269	Replication time is known to correlate greatly with mutation rate. Early replicating
270	ragions have lower mutation rate compared to late replicating and Decembers reason

- regions have lower mutation rate compared to late replicating ones. Researchers reason
- 271 replication errors are more likely to be corrected by DNA repair system in early replicating

regions. With defects in mutated chromatin remodeling, we observed this trend became less pronounced (Figure S5). This is likely because dysregulation of the chromatin environment hinders replication error repair by changing the accessibility of newly synthesized DNA chains. However, a non-parametric permutation Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (see Methods) failed to detect a statistical significance (p > 0.05), likely because of the small number of samples and the prudence of our conserved test.

278

279 Discussion

280 We comprehensively analyzed both WGS and an extensive set of WXS of pRCC, scrutinizing local high-impact events as well as giving a macro overlook of the mutation 281 282 landscape. Our work further completed the genomic alteration landscape of pRCC (Figure 4). Beyond traditionally driver events, we suggested several novel noncoding alterations potentially 283 drive tumorigenesis. 284 First, we elaborated on previous results of the long known driver MET. In an extended 285 117 WXS dataset, we found six additional nonsynonymous somatic mutations in the 286 hypermutated tyrosine kinase catalytic domain. These somatic mutations are highly recurrent, 287 concentrated on a few critical amino acids. This is in line with MET being an oncogene and 288 289 supports the central role of MET in pRCC. Then we found an exonic SNP in MET, rs11762213, to be a prognostic germline variance in type 2 pRCC. Previously, rs11762213 was found to 290 predict outcome in a mixed RCC samples, predominated by ccRCC (14). Later, the result is 291 292 confirmed in a large ccRCC cohort (9). However, it is never clear whether rs11762213 only 293 predicts the outcome in ccRCC or other histological types as well. In this study, we concluded 294 that the minor alternative allele of rs11762213 also forecasts unfavorable outcome in type 2

295	pRCC patients	. The mechanism of thi	s exonic germline SNF	P remains unsettled.	Remarkably,

similar to ccRCC, type 2 pRCC is not primarily driven by MET. Not significantly mutated in

297 ccRCC and type 2 pRCC, *MET* nonetheless seems to play a role in cancer development. This

finding is potentially meaningful in clinical management of patients with the more aggressive

type 2 pRCC. rs11762213 genotyping could become a reliable, low-cost risk stratification tool

300 for these patients. <u>Theoretically, the subgroup of patients with rs11762213 might benefit from</u>

301 MET inhibitors.

Interestingly, rs11762213 is prevalent mostly in European and American populations but 302 not in African populations and rare in populations in Asia. MAF of rs11762213 among African 303 American patients in our cohort is 2.73%, higher than MAFs in general African populations 304 observed in 1000 Genome phase 3 dataset (0.2%, 0% in Americans with African ancestry 305 (ASW))) and the ExAC dataset (1.1%, excluding TCGA cohorts). This implies a possible effect 306 of rs11762213 on pRCC incidence among African Americans that is worth further investigation. 307 308 Besides, in MET non-coding regions, we also discovered mutations associated with MET promoter and first two introns. Although the implication is unknown, our analysis suggests there 309 is a mutation hotspot in MET that calls for further research. 310 Expanding our scope from coding to non-coding, we found several potentially significant 311

non-coding mutation hotspots relevant to tumorigenesis throughout the entire genome. A
mutation hotspot was found upstream of *ERRF11*, an important regulator of the EGFR pathway,
which may serve as a potential tumor suppressor. EGFR inhibitors have been used in papillary
kidney cancer with an 11% response rate observed (22). These mutations potentially disrupt
regulatory elements of *ERRF11* and thus play a role in tumorigenesis. However, likely limited by

a small sample size, we were not able to detect statistically significant functional changes in

Shantao 1/24/2017 1:54 AM Deleted: central Shantao 1/24/2017 1:55 AM Deleted: of

Shantao 1/24/2017 1:55 AM Deleted: Potentially Shantao 1/24/2017 2:32 AM Deleted: also

Shantao 1/24/2017 2:11 AN

Deleted: Perhaps this variant could play a role in the significant racial disparities are known to exist in the overall incidence, histologic distribution, and survival of African Americans with kidney cancer.

ERRFI1 and related pathways. Another non-coding hotpot is in *NEAT1*, a long non-coding RNA that has been speculated to involve in cancer. All mutations locate in a putative regulatory region of the gene. Patients carrying mutations in *NEAT1* have significantly higher *NEAT1* expression and worse prognosis. *NEAT1* has been shown to be hypermutated in other cancers and some studies also linked high *NEAT1* association with unfavorable prognosis in several other tumors (23-24).

Last, focusing on the high-level landscape of mutations in pRCC, we identified mutation 333 rate dispersion of C-to-T in CpG motif contributes the most to the inter-sample mutation spectra 334 variations. We further pinned down the cause of dispersion by showing the hypermethylated 335 cluster, identified in the previous TCGA study (3), has higher C-to-T rate in CpGs. This 336 hypermethylated cluster is associated with later stage, type 2 pRCC, SETD2 mutation and worse 337 prognosis (3). Although increased C-to-T in CpG is likely the result of hypermethylation, we 338 cannot rule out the possibility the change of mutation landscape plays a role in cancer 339 340 development. For example, C-to-T in methylated CpG causes loss of methylation, which could 341 have effects on local chromatin environment, trans-elements recruitment and gene expression 342 regulation. In our study, we observed C-to-Ts in CpG are enriched in coding regions, which 343 supports their roles in cancer development. 344 Significant APOBEC activities and consequential mutation signatures were observed in one type 2 pRCC case. APOBEC activities were known to be prevalent in UCs (12, 19). We also 345 successfully detected prominent APOBEC signatures in all three UC samples processed in the 346 347 same pipeline as pRCCs. Intriguingly, despite being considered to have the same cellular origin 348 with pRCC, we were not able to detect significant APOBEC activities in ccRCC. This is in

349 agreement with previous studies (12). APOBEC mutation signature was also found in a small

350	percentage of chromophobe renal cell carcinoma (25), although they are believed to have a
351	different cellular origin. APOBEC activities have been linked with genetic predisposition and
352	viral infection (26). Given a statistically robust signal in our conservative algorithm, it is
353	plausible that a small fraction of otherwise driver mutation absent type 2 pRCCs might share
354	some etiologically and gnomically similarity with UC. Standard treatment for UC involves
355	cytotoxic chemotherapy and radiation while RCC shows low response rate to cytotoxic therapy.
356	Pending further research, this, finding might lead to actionably clinical implications, (still too
357	strong?),

Chromatin remodeling pathway is highly mutated in pRCC (3). Several chromatin 358 remodelers, for example SETD2, BAP1 and PBRM1, have been identified as cancer drivers in 359 pRCC. We investigate the relationship between samples with mutated chromatin remodelers and 360 those without such mutations in terms of overall mutational spectrum. We demonstrated pRCC 361 with defects in chromatin remodeling genes shows higher mutation rate in general, driven by an 362 even stronger mutation rate increase in putative open chromatin regions. This is likely because 363 364 chromatin remodeling defects affect open chromatin environment and impede DNA repairing in these regions. 365

It is known that replication time strongly governs local mutation rate. Early replication regions have fewer mutations. But the difference dissipates when DNA mismatch repair becomes defective (21). In our study, we found this correlation weakened in chromatin remodeling genes mutated samples, presumably caused by failure of replication error repair in an abnormal chromatin environment. By adapting defects in chromatin remodeling genes, tumor alters its mutation rate and landscape, which might further provide advantage in cancer evolution. Yet, high mutation burden in functional important open chromatin regions also raises the chance that

	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:18 AM
	Deleted: b
_	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:18 AM
	Deleted: e
$\langle \rangle$	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:18 AM
	Deleted: genomically
$\langle \rangle$	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:19 AM
	Deleted: to
$\left(\right)$	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:19 AM
	Deleted: ince s
$\left(\right)$	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:22 AM
	Formatted: Highlight
()	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:19 AM
	Deleted: ,
	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:19 AM
	Deleted: this
	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:20 AM
	Deleted: could have
	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:20 AM
	Deleted: a very
	Shantao 1/24/2017 2:20 AM
	Deleted: meaningful clinical impact.

Shantao 1/24/2017 2:24 AM **Deleted:** due to

tumor antigens activate host immune system. Researchers found tumors with DNA mismatch
repair deficiency response better to PD-1 blockage (27). Thus chromatin remodeler alterations
might as well correlate with higher response rate of immunotherapy,

In this first whole genome study of pRCC, we found several novel non-coding alterations that might have meaningful clinical impacts. However, due to a limited sample size, our statistical tests were underpowered. As the cost of sequencing keeps dropping, we expect to have more pRCC whole genome sequenced in the near future (28). With a larger cohort, we hope to gain enough power to test the hypotheses we formed as well as further explore the noncoding regions of pRCC.

393

394 Materials and Methods

395 Data acquisition

396 We downloaded pRCC and ccRCC WXS and pRCC WGS variation calls from TCGA

397 Data Portal (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/tcgaDownload.jsp) and TCGA Jamboree

respectively. pRCC RNAseq, RPPA and methylation data were downloaded from TCGA Data

399 Portal as well. Repli-seq and DHS data were obtained from ENCODE

400 (https://www.encodeproject.org/).

401

402 Testing rs11762213 on prognosis and exploring somatic mutations in MET

- 403 We downloaded pRCC clinical outcomes from TCGA Data Portal (https://tcga-
- 404 data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/tcgaDownload.jsp). pRCC samples that failed the histopathological review
- 405 were excluded (3). In total, we included 277 patients in our analyses (Figure S1, Table S1). For

germline calls, the majority of samples, 163 out of 277, were supported by SNV callings from at 406 407 least two centers (102 from three centers). 100% genotype concordance rate was observed. Also, 408 162 curated rs11762213 genotypes were in agreement with automated callsets. With proved high confidence in accuracy of genotyping rs11762213 in germline, we recruited additional 114 409 samples from single-center (BCM), automated calls to form an extensive patients set (Figure S1). 410 For somatic SNVs in MET, after excluding cases that were recruited in the TCGA study, we 411 formed an additional set encompassing 117 patients. Five callings were supported by two 412 centers. The rest were supported by single-center (BCM) automated calls. 413 Cancer-specific survival was defined using the same criteria as described in a ccRCC 414 study (9). Deaths were considered as cancer-specific if the "Personal Neoplasm Cancer Status" is 415 "With Tumor". If "Tumor Status" is not available, then the deceased patients were classified as 416 cancer-specific death if they had metastasis (M1) or lymp node involvement (>= N1) or died 417 within two years of diagnosis. An R package, "survival", was used for the survival analysis. 418 419 SV calling precedure 420 We use DELLY2 (10) with default parameters for somatic SV calling. To avoid sample 421

423 dataset, regardless of sample match or pathological reviews. Lastly, we discharge all callings that

contamination or germline SVs, we filtered our callsets against the entire TCGA pRCC WGS

424 were marked "LowQual" (PE/SR support below 3 or mapping quality below 20).

425

422

426 Mutation spectra study

427	WGS Mutations were extracted from flanking 5' and 3' nucleotide context. The raw
-----	--

428 mutation counts were normalized by trinucleotide frequencies in the whole genome.

To identify signatures in the mutation spectra, we used a robust, objective LASSO-based
 method. First, 30 known signatures were downloaded from COSMIC

(http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/signatures). Then we solve a positive, zero-intercept linear
regression problem with L1 regularizer to obtain signatures and corresponding weights for each
genome. Specifically, we solve the problem:

$$\min(\|SW - M\|_2 + \lambda \|W\|)$$

Where M is the mutation matrix, containing the mutations of each sample in 96
nucleotide contexts. S is the 96×30 signature matrix, representing the mutation probability in 96
nucleotide contexts of the 30 signatures. W is the weighting matrix, representing the contribution
of 30 signatures to each sample.

438 The penalty parameter lambda (λ) was determined empirically using 10-fold cross-

439 validation individually for every sample. λ was chosen to maximize sparsity and constrained to

440 keep mean-square error (MSE) within one standard error of its minimum. Last, we discharged

signatures that composite less than 5% of the total detectable signatures.

442

443 Methylation association analysis

In total, we collected HumanMethylation450 BeadChip array data for 139 samples that are either methylation cluster 1 or 2. We used an R package "IMA" to facilitate analysis (11). After discharging sites with missing values or on sex chromosomes, we obtained beta-values on 366,158 CpG sites in total. Then we test beta-values of each site by Wilcoxon rank sum test

between two methylation clusters. After adjusting p-value using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure,
we called 9,324(2.55%) hypermethylation sites. These sites have an adjusted p-value of less than
0.05 and mean beta-values in methylation cluster 1 are 0.2 or higher than the ones in methylation
cluster 2.

452

453 APOBEC enrichment analysis

454 We used the method described by Roberts et al. (12). For every $C>\{T,G\}$ and $G>\{A,C\}$ 455 mutation we obtained 20bp sequence both upstream and downstream. Then enrichment fold was 456 defined as:

 $Enrichment Fold = \frac{Mutation_{TCW/WGA} \times Context_{C/G}}{Mutation_{C/G} \times Context_{TCW/WGA}}$

457 Here TCW/WGA stands for T[C>{T,G}]W and W[G>{A,C}]A. W stands for A or T. p-

458 value for enrichment were calculated using one-side Fisher-exact test. To adjust for multiple

459 hypothesis testing, p-values were corrected using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

460 WXS data for APOBEC enrichment and signature analysis was obtained from a high

461 quality somatic callset: hgsc.bcm.edu_KIRP.IlluminaGA_DNASeq.1.protected.maf. This dataset

462 includes 155 pRCC samples and three UC samples. We use

463 hgsc.bcm.edu_KIRC.Mixed_DNASeq.1.protected.maf for ccRCC analyses.

464

465 Chromatin remodeling genes and replication time association

We identified chromatin remodeling genes based on its significance in pRCC and
function. Our gene list included eleven genes. They are *ARID1A*, *ARID2*, *BAP1*, *DNMT3A*, *KDM6A*, *MLL2*, *MLL3*, *MLL4*, *PBRM1*, *SETD2*, *SMARCB1*.

469 In order to avoid cell type redundancy, we only kept GM12878 as the representative of all lymphoblastoid cell lines. Eleven cell types were included in our analysis: BG02ES, BJ, 470 GM12878, HeLaS3, HEPG2, HUVEC, IMR90, K562, MCF7, NHEK, SK-NSH. Wave 471 smoothed replication time signal was averaged in a ± 10 kb region from every mutation. To avoid 472 potential selection effects, we removed mutations in exome and flanking 2bp. Regions overlap 473 474 with reference genome gaps and DAC blacklist (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) were removed as well. Last, we picked the median number from 11 cell types at each mutation position for further 475 analysis. 476

To test the significance of replication time of non-coding mutations between two groups, we adapted a conservative non-parametric Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (K-S test) using empirical p-value. We assigned all the mutation with its percentile among all mutations replication time shifted ± 100 kb from the origin (represents the background replication time). Then we calculate the K-S test statistics in two groups and compare. To obtain the empirical p-value, we randomly permutated the chromatin remodeling genes mutation labels for 1,000 times to estimate the test statistics distribution under null hypothesis.

484

485	Author contributions: SL, BMS and MG conceived and designed the study. SL carried out the			
486	computation and data analysis, SL, BMS and MG interpreted the results. SL wrote the			
487	manuscript. BMS and MG co-directed this work. All authors have read and approved the final			
488	manuscript. Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.			
489	Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health, AL Williams			
490	Professorship, and in part by the facilities and staffs of the Yale University Faculty of Arts and			
491	Sciences High Performance Computing Center. We thank Patrick Mcgillivray for his help in			
492	manuscript preparation.			
493				
494	References			
495				
496	1. Siegel, R, Naishadham, D, Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA: a cancer journal for			
497	clinicians. 2015; 65(1), 5-29.			
498	2. Shuch B, Amin A, Armstrong AJ, Eble JN, Ficarra V, Lopez-Beltran A, et al.			
499	Understanding pathologic variants of renal cell carcinoma: distilling therapeutic			
500	opportunities from biologic complexity. European urology. 2015;67(1):85-97.			
501	3. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of			
502	papillary renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2016;2016(374):135-45.			
503	4. Khurana E, Fu Y, Colonna V, Mu XJ, Kang HM, Lappalainen T, et al. Integrative			
504	annotation of variants from 1092 humans: application to cancer genomics. Science.			
505	2013;342(6154):1235587.			
506	5. Fu Y, Liu Z, Lou S, Bedford J, Mu XJ, Yip KY, et al. FunSeq2: a framework for			
507	prioritizing noncoding regulatory variants in cancer. Genome biology. 2014;15(10):1.			

508	6.	Huang FW, Hodis E, Xu MJ, Kryukov GV, Chin L, Garraway LA. Highly recurrent
509		TERT promoter mutations in human melanoma. Science. 2013;339(6122):957-9.
510	7.	Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, Campbell PJ, Stratton MR. Deciphering
511		signatures of mutational processes operative in human cancer. Cell reports.
512		2013;3(1):246-59.
513	8.	Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Siu HC, Leung SY, Stratton MR. A mutational signature
514		in gastric cancer suggests therapeutic strategies. Nature communications. 2015;6.
515	9.	Hakimi AA, Ostrovnaya I, Jacobsen A, Susztak K, Coleman JA, Russo P, et al.
516		Validation and genomic interrogation of the MET variant rs11762213 as a predictor of
517		adverse outcomes in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Cancer. 2016;122(3):402-10.
518	10.	Rausch T, Zichner T, Schlattl A, Stütz AM, Benes V, Korbel JO. DELLY: structural
519		variant discovery by integrated paired-end and split-read analysis. Bioinformatics.
520		2012;28(18):i333-9.
521	11.	Wang D, Yan L, Hu Q, Sucheston LE, Higgins MJ, Ambrosone CB, et al. IMA: an R
522		package for high-throughput analysis of Illumina's 450K Infinium methylation data.
523		Bioinformatics. 2012;28(5):729-30.
524	12.	Roberts SA, Lawrence MS, Klimczak LJ, Grimm SA, Fargo D, Stojanov P, et al. An
525		APOBEC cytidine deaminase mutagenesis pattern is widespread in human cancers.
526		Nature genetics. 2013;45(9):970-6.
527	13.	Schmidt L, Junker K, Weirich G, Glenn G, Choyke P, Lubensky I, et al. Two North
528		American families with hereditary papillary renal carcinoma and identical novel
529		mutations in the MET proto-oncogene. Cancer research. 1998;58(8):1719-22.

- 530 14. Schutz FA, Pomerantz MM, Gray KP, Atkins MB, Rosenberg JE, Hirsch MS, et al.
- Single nucleotide polymorphisms and risk of recurrence of renal-cell carcinoma: a cohort
 study. The lancet oncology. 2013;14(1):81-7.
- 533 15. Guo S, Chen W, Luo Y, Ren F, Zhong T, Rong M, et al. Clinical implication of long noncoding RNA NEAT1 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. International
 journal of clinical and experimental pathology. 2015;8(5):5395.
- 536 16. Nik-Zainal S, Davies H, Staaf J, Ramakrishna M, Glodzik D, Zou X, et al. Landscape of
 537 somatic mutations in 560 breast cancer whole-genome sequences. Nature.

538 2016;534(7605):47-54.

- 539 17. Choudhry H, Albukhari A, Morotti M, Haider S, Moralli D, Smythies J, et al. Tumor
 540 hypoxia induces nuclear paraspeckle formation through HIF-2α dependent transcriptional
 541 activation of NEAT1 leading to cancer cell survival. Oncogene. 2015;34(34):4482-90.
- 542 18. Chakravarty D, Sboner A, Nair SS, Giannopoulou E, Li R, Hennig S, et al. The oestrogen
 543 receptor alpha-regulated lncRNA NEAT1 is a critical modulator of prostate cancer.

544 Nature communications. 2014;5.

- 545 19. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of
 546 urothelial bladder carcinoma. Nature. 2014;507(7492):315-22.
- 547 20. Sato Y, Yoshizato T, Shiraishi Y, Maekawa S, Okuno Y, Kamura T, et al. Integrated
 548 molecular analysis of clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. Nature genetics. 2013;45(8):860-7.
- 549 21. Supek F, Lehner B. Differential DNA mismatch repair underlies mutation rate variation
- across the human genome. Nature. 2015;521(7550):81-4.

551	22. Gordon MS, Hussey M, Nagle RB, Lara PN, Mack PC, Dutcher J, et al. Phase II study of	
552	erlotinib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic papillary histology renal cell	
553	cancer: SWOG S0317. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2009;27(34):5788-93.	
554	23. Li Y, Li Y, Chen W, He F, Tan Z, Zheng J, et al. NEAT expression is associated with	
555	tumor recurrence and unfavorable prognosis in colorectal cancer. Oncotarget.	
556	2015;6(29):27641.	
557	24. He C, Jiang B, Ma J, Li Q. Aberrant NEAT1 expression is associated with clinical	
558	outcome in high grade glioma patients. Apmis. 2016;124(3):169-74.	
559	25. Davis CF, Ricketts CJ, Wang M, Yang L, Cherniack AD, Shen H, et al. The somatic	
560	genomic landscape of chromophobe renal cell carcinoma. Cancer cell. 2014;26(3):319-	
561	30.	
562	26. Henderson S, Chakravarthy A, Su X, Boshoff C, Fenton TR. APOBEC-mediated	
563	cytosine deamination links PIK3CA helical domain mutations to human papillomavirus-	
564	driven tumor development. Cell reports. 2014;7(6):1833-41.	
565	27. Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, Bartlett BR, Kemberling H, Eyring AD, et al. PD-1 blockade	
566	in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. New England Journal of Medicine.	
567	2015;372(26):2509-20.	
568	28. Muir P, Li S, Lou S, Wang D, Spakowicz DJ, Salichos L, et al. The real cost of	
569	sequencing: scaling computation to keep pace with data generation. Genome biology.	
570	2016;17(1):1.	
571		
572		
573		
574	29.	

575 Figure 1. Survival analysis of rs11762213 in pRCC patients.

- 576 Genotypes are shown in the legend. Peto & Peto modification of the Gehan-Wilcoxon test.
- 577

578 Figure 2. Noncoding alterations in pRCC.

- 579 (A) A schematics diagram of non-coding mutations on MET. The germline SNP, rs11762213, is also shown. (B) A
- 580 schematics diagram of non-coding mutations on ERRFI1. (C) A schematics diagram of non-coding mutations on
- 581 NEATI. One tumor carries two mutations on NEATI. (D) Tumors with mutations on NEATI show higher NEATI
- 582 expression. (E) Survival analysis shows mutations in NEAT1 are associated with worse prognosis. To avoid potential
- 583 confounding effects, we removed one subject who carries rs11762213 but not NEAT1 mutation. Log-rank test.
- 584

585 Figure 3. Mutation spectra and mutation processes in pRCC.

- 586 (A) The mutation spectrum of all pRCC WGS samples. Mutations are ordered in alphabetical order of the reference
- 587 trinucleotides (with the mutated nucleotide in the middle, from A[C>A]A to T[T>G]T) from left to right. (B) We
- 588 use PCA to maximize inter-sample variation. The loadings on the first principle component is strongly dominated by
- 589 C>T in CpGs. (C) PC1, along with C>T in CpGs mutation counts and the fractions of such mutations among total
- 590 mutations are significantly different between two methylation groups. (D) APOBEC mutation signatures are shown
- 591 for both pRCC (along with three UC sampels, which have blue outer circles) and ccRCC TCGA cohorts. Red
- 592 dashed line represents the median APOBEC enrichment. (E) Comparison of total mutation counts, mutations counts
- 593 in open chromatin regions and percentages of mutations in open chromatin regions of total mutations between
- 594 tumors with chromatin remodeling genes alterations and the ones without.
- 595
- 596 Figure 4. The genomic alteration landscape of 32 whole genome sequenced pRCC samples.
- 597 Grey cells represent genomic alterations. CN: copy number. Index: patient index, see Table S2

598