### Transcription of L1 elements in the human somatic tissue

Group Meeting 2017 Fabio Navarro

#### What and how they are usually find in the genome



Chuong, E. B., Elde, N. C., & Feschotte, C. (2016). Regulatory activities of transposable elements: from conflicts to benefits. *Nature Reviews. Genetics*. [http://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.](http://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2016.139) [2016.139](http://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2016.139)

2

# L1 Life cycle



- Preferentially retrotranspose the mRNA used during translation (cis-preference)
- Copy and paste mechanism

Non-LTR retrotransposons encode noncanonical RRM domains in their first open reading frame. E. Khazina, et al. PNAS, 2009. <sup>3</sup>

### TEs in the human genome



## L1 Subfamilies



Ohshima, K., Hattori, M., Yada, T., Gojobori, T., Sakaki, Y., & Okada, N. (2003). Whole-genome screening indicates a possible burst of formation of processed pseudogenes and Alu repeats by particular L1 subfamilies in ancestral primates. *Genome Biology*, *4*(11), R74. <http://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2003-4-11-r74>

5



Ohshima, K., Hattori, M., Yada, T., Gojobori, T., Sakaki, Y., & Okada, N. (2003). Whole-genome screening indicates a possible burst of formation of processed pseudogenes and Alu repeats by particular L1 subfamilies in ancestral primates. *Genome Biology*, 4(11), R74. <http://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2003-4-11-r74> formation of processed pseudogenes and Alu C

## L1 Subfamilies

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Konkel, M. K., Walker, J. A., & Batzer, M. A. (2011). LINEs and SINEs of primate evolution. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*, *19*(6), 236–249. <u>[http://doi.org/](http://doi.org/10.1002/evan.20283)</u> 7<br>[10.1002/evan.20283](http://doi.org/10.1002/evan.20283)

#### L1Hs is active in germiline (and mostly L1Hs)

• dbRIP - Database of Transposable Elements presence/absence polymorphism: **> 90% of polymorphic sites are L1Hs**

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Wang, J., Song, L., Grover, D., Azrak, S., Batzer, M. A., & Liang, P. (2006). dbRIP: a highly integrated database of retrotransposon insertion polymorphisms in humans. *Human Mutation*, *27*(4), 323–329. <http://doi.org/10.1002/humu.20307>

Rishishwar, L., Tellez Villa, C. E., & Jordan, I. K. (2015). Transposable element polymorphisms recapitulate human evolution. *Mobile DNA*, *6*(1), 21. [http://doi.org/10.1186/](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13100-015-0052-6) [s13100-015-0052-6](http://doi.org/10.1186/s13100-015-0052-6) 8

#### L1 as a **somatic** mutagenic factor

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

9

 $12$ 

# Tumorigenic L1

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

Scott, E. C., Gardner, E. J., Masood, A., Chuang, N. T., Vertino, P. M., & Devine, S. E. (2016). A hot L1 retrotransposon evades somatic repression and initiates human colorectal 10 cancer. *Genome Research*, *26*(6), 745–755. <http://doi.org/10.1101/gr.201814.115>

#### L1 is associated to other genetic diseases

![](_page_10_Picture_54.jpeg)

## Detecting the activity of L1

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Transcriptional landscape of repetitive elements in normal and cancer human

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Criscione, S. W., Zhang, Y., Thompson, W., Sedivy, J. M., & Neretti, N. (2014). Transcriptional landscape of repetitive elements in normal and cancer human cells. *BMC Genomics*, 13 *15*(1), 583–17.<http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-583>

#### Activation of individual L1 retrotransposon instances is restricted to cell-type dependent permissive loci

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Philippe, C., Vargas-Landin, D. B., Doucet, A. J., van Essen, D., Vera-Otarola, J., Kuciak, M., et al. (2016). Activation of individual L1 retrotransposon instances is restricted to cell-<br>type dependent permissive loci. *e* 

## Somatic Variation

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Nature Reviews | Genetics** 

- The total number of mutations that can be expected to arise in the soma as a consequence of mitotic divisions is a function of two basic parameters: the number of cell divisions that occurred after conception and the mutation rate per cell division.
- Long interspersed nuclear element 1 retrotransposition have been shown to cause DNA copy-number alterations during embryogenesis, in neural precursors and in the adult brain.
- Alu element retrotransposition has been detected in human embryonic stem cells, as well as in the brain and myocardium.

#### The method: TeXP

## RNA-Seq and L1s

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Every RNA sequencing experiment has on average 200 thousand L1 reads.
- Or.. on average 0.5% of the reads in a RNA-seq reads map to L1 instances.
- With Cerebellum, Testis and a few other tissues showing higher levels of L1 levels.

# Number per L1 subfamily

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Number per L1 subfamily

(genome-transcriptome correlation)

Pervasive transcription?

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

What is a potential source of this signal?

# Pervasive transcription

- The phenomena known as pervasive transcription is defined as the transcription of regions well beyond the boundaries of known genes.
- Pervasive transcription does not affect the transcription level quantification of the transcription level of protein coding genes since they protein coding genes are present either as a single copy or low copy numbers in the genome. On the other hand, the transcription level quantification of L1 transposable elements, including L1 elements, transcription level is specially affected by pervasive transcription due to its multi-copy nature.

#### Most of RNA-seq samples have high genome-transcriptome correlation

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Most of GTEx samples have high genome-transcriptome correlation

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Model

- Read counts in L1 is a combination of **Pervasive transcription signal** and:
	- **L1Hs** autonomous transcription signal
	- L1PA2, L1PA3, etc. autonomous transcription signals

(BUT, in theory, older L1 subfamilies are not expected to be active (they are > 8My old and degraded) - plus, we have no evidence of recent retrotransposition of their transcripts.)

## Model

#### **Ni=t\*(Pj\*Si,j)**

- Ni = Number of reads overlapping subfamily i;
- Pi = Signal Proportion of subfamily or pervasive transcription j;
- Si, $i =$  Proportion of signal  $i$  mapped to subfamily i;
- $\bullet$  t = Total number of reads overlapping all i subfamilies;
- The vector P is the hidden variable

#### Signature matrix (mappability fingerprint)

1. Proportion of bases annotated as each subfamily is assumed as the **Pervasive Transcription** signal.

2. Based on simulations of reads originating from putative subfamily mature transcript, subfamily signal is defined by the Proportion of reads mapped to each subfamily. L1HS\_hg38\_Ref\_bases

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

(1)

L1hg88Ret

#### On the L1 transcripts simulation (2)

- 1. Select putative full-length L1 transcripts;
- 2. Simulate reads of N base pairs and 0.1% error rate;
- 3. Align to the reference genome and;
- 4. Count the number of reads overlapping L1 subfamilies

ps. randomly picking one of the best alignments (counting the alignment multiple times yielded similar results).

## Model

#### **Ni=t\*(Pj\*Si,j)**

- Now this becomes a simple regression problem:
	- Least Squares with Equalities and Inequalities  $\left($  lsei $\right)$
	- Mixed Membership (mixedMem Erosheva et al (2004))
	- LASSO (penalized)

LASSO regression end up being the best method due to the expected sparsity.

## Aligner assessment

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Read length assessment

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

## TeXP

- Is a tool to simulate reads compatible to a RNA-seq experiment and calculate the mappability fingerprint for L1 elements;
- It maps RNA-seq reads to a reference genome and uniformly quantify the L1 subfamily read counts;
- Finally, TeXP estimates the rate of pervasive transcription and autonomous transcription of L1 subfamilies;

#### TeXP

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

- TeXP can be used as a Makefile or as a Docker Image (cloud compatible).
- Source code is (not) available on GitHub. github.com/fabiocpn/TeXP
- And (not) available in DockerHub (fnavarro/texp)

# TeXP in cancer cell lines

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

33

#### Analysis of ENCODE cell-lines (MCF7)

3e+05

L1HS L<sub>1P1</sub> L<sub>1PA2</sub>  $L1PA3$ L1PA4

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_336.jpeg)

Background L1HS L1P1 L<sub>1</sub>PA<sub>2</sub> L<sub>1</sub>PA<sub>3</sub>

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Analysis of ENCODE cell-lines

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

L1Hs autonomous transcription in healthy tissues

## GTEx processed samples

![](_page_36_Picture_236.jpeg)

### GTEx cell lines

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

### L1Hs activity in the soma

Sample Age

**The Contract of Second** 

#### 1Hs RPKM 30 20  $10$

∎ 0

Heart\_-\_Atrial\_Appendage Esophagus\_-\_Gastroesophageal\_Junction Muscle\_-\_Skeletal Lung Stomach Colon\_-\_Transverse Thyroid Ovary Colon\_-\_Sigmoid Pituitary Cells\_-\_Transformed\_fibroblasts Adrenal\_Gland Artery\_-\_Coronary Brain - Caudate (basal ganglia) Brain - Putamen (basal ganglia) Small Intestine - Terminal Ileum Whole\_Blood Spleer Pancreas Liver Cells\_-\_EBV-transformed\_lymphocytes Brain - Substantia nigra Brain\_-\_Spinal\_cord\_(cervical\_c-1) Brain\_-\_Nucleus\_accumbens\_(basal\_ganglia) Brain\_-\_Hypothalamus Brain\_-\_Hippocampus Brain\_-\_Frontal\_Cortex\_(BA9) Brain\_-\_Cortex Brain - Cerebellum Brain\_-\_Cerebellar\_Hemisphere Brain\_-\_Anterior\_cingulate\_cortex\_(BA24) Brain\_-\_Amygdala

Nerve\_-\_Tibial

Skin\_-\_Not\_Sun\_Exposed\_(Suprapubic) Skin\_-\_Sun\_Exposed\_(Lower\_leg)

> Breast\_-\_Mammary\_Tissue Adipose\_-\_Visceral\_(Omentum)

Testis Vagina

Prostate Uterus L1Hs RPKM

![](_page_38_Picture_130.jpeg)

 $10$ 

70 0

30

20

## L1 activity with Age

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_347.jpeg)

# L1 activity with BMI

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_358.jpeg)

#### Sun effect on L1Hs activation

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Pervasive transcription index

- Intuitively this is the number of reads originating from pervasive transcription normalized by reads from RNA sequencing experiments
- Equivalent to RPM

**Testis** Brain\_-\_Cerebellum Brain\_-\_Gerebellar\_Hemisphere Ovary Thyroid Pituitary Nerve - Tibial Prostate Small\_Intestine\_-\_Terminal\_lleum Uterus Bladder Vagina Skin\_-\_Not\_Sun\_Exposed\_(Suprapubic) Lung Skin - Sun Exposed (Lower leg) Breast\_-\_Mammary\_Tissue Spleen Colon\_-\_Transverse Brain\_-\_Cortex Colon\_-\_Sigmoid Esophagus\_-\_Gastroesophageal\_Junction Brain\_-\_Nucleus\_accumbens\_(basal\_ganglia) Adipose\_-\_Visceral\_(Omentum) Liver Artery - Coronary Brain\_-\_Caudate\_(basal\_ganglia) Brain - Frontal Cortex (BA9) Kidney - Cortex Adrenal Gland Brain\_-\_Anterior\_cingulate\_cortex\_(BA24) Brain\_-\_Hypothalamus Stomach Heart - Atrial Appendage Pancreas Brain\_-\_Hippocampus Brain\_-\_Putamen\_(basal\_ganglia) Brain\_-\_Spinal\_cord\_(cervical\_c-1) Brain\_-\_Amygdala Brain\_-\_Substantia\_nigra Muscle\_-\_Skeletal Whole Blood

2000

4000

 $^{\circ}$ 

# TARs diversity

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

L1Hs autonomous transcription in tumors

#### LINE endonuclease activity

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

46

#### L1 Endonuclease promotes DSB

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Further- more, overexpression of the LINE-1 ORF2 induced a marked increase in both intra- and interchromosomal translocations, whereas the endonuclease-inactive mutant partially blocked DHT+IRinduced translocations.
- To our surprise, even in the absence of genotoxic stress, the ORF2 endonuclease appears to be capable of targeting DNA breakage, […] generating DSBs at the translocation sites.

Lin, C., Yang, L., Tanasa, B., Hutt, K., Ju, B.-G., Ohgi, K., et al. (2009). Nuclear receptor-induced chromosomal proximity and DNA breaks underlie specific translocations in cancer. *Cell*, *139*(6), 1069–1083. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.030>

Gasior, S. L., Wakeman, T. P., Xu, B., & Deininger, P. L. (2006). The human LINE-1 retrotransposon creates DNA double-strand breaks. *Journal of Molecular Biology, 357*(5), 1383–47<br>1393. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2006.0

## NHEJ is error prone

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

Lin, C., Yang, L., Tanasa, B., Hutt, K., Ju, B.-G., Ohgi, K., et al. (2009). Nuclear receptor-induced chromosomal proximity and DNA breaks underlie specific translocations in cancer. *Cell*, *139*(6), 1069–1083. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.030>

#### Tumor vs Normal L1Hs autonomous transcription level

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

## L1Hs Vs indels counts

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### L1Hs correlates to indels counts

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Enrichment of L1 endonuclease motif close to indels

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### TTTAA is enriched in the actual index

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- TeXP is a method to decouple the signal of pervasive and autonomous transcription on RNA sequencing experiments;
- There is autonomous transcription of L1Hs (and only L1Hs) in healthy somatic tissue;
- In a few tissues, this expression correlates to Age and BMI;
- Using a pervasive transcription index, we ranked tissues based on their level of pervasive transcription;
- L1 endonuclease might be a source of genome instability creating double strand breaks and, therefore, translocations (not shown) and indels in the tumor genome.

# Acknowledgements

- Timur Galeev
- Jinrui Xu
- Joel Rozowsky
- Arif Harmanci
- Sushant Kumar
- Shantao Li
- Mark Gerstein

Thank you!