RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS FOR "INTENSIFICATION: A RESOURCE FOR AMPLIFYING POPULATION-GENETIC SIGNALS WITH PROTEIN REPEATS"

RESPONSE LETTER

Overall comment

We want to thank the reviewers for endorsing our manuscript for publication, recognizing the novelty and importance of our resource and study, and offering insightful comments. We have majorly revised the manuscript to address their concerns. In particular, we have made the web resource more accessible to the less technical users and included more analyses of the motif-MSAs of the 12 RPDs, to make the manuscript more informative and complete. Additionally, in order to better portray the idea of variant amplification, we have also changed the name of the resource from "MotifVar" to "Intensification".

The specific reviewers' comments are further addressed below.

Reviewer #1

-- Ref1.1 – Endorsement for publication --

Reviewer	This MS shows a new way of increasing the variant			
Comment	statistics for a specific type of protein structure called			
	repeat protein domain. While recommend its publication,			
Author	We thank the reviewer for acknowledging the novelty of our study,			
Response	recommending it for publication, and for his/her thorough			
	examination of our manuscript			

-- Ref1.2 -- Variations in motif-MSA and species-MSA --

Reviewer	t have a fundamental question regarding the justification
Comment	of obtaining variations from motif-MSA. The usual species-
	MSA has an underlying assumption is that one species'
	variations are independent of other species' variations
	and the aligned proteins perform the same function,
	whereas in this MS, the repeated motifs are not
	necessarily mutated independently and their functions
	inside the same protein might not be exactly the same
	(thus requires a slight variation).
Author	We thank the reviewer for the comment. Indeed, the variants
Response	occurring in a species-MSA are based on the interrogation of $\sqrt{2}$
	consensus protein sequences from multiple, independent species
	over a long evolutionary time-scale (orthologs). On the other hand,
	the variants occurring in a motif-MSA are based on a shorter

> REALLY?

	evolutionary time-scale, by observing the polymorphisms of multiple individuals within the protein sequence of a <u>single</u> species, in our case, the human population. There are two categories of 'variations' – (1) variations stemming from the functionally distinct repeat motif <u>sequences</u> in the human reference genome, and (2) genetic <u>polymorphisms</u> found in the collection of individuals representing the human population. Since they are found in a single species, the repeated motif sequences in the same protein within the single species would have been stably conserved across the individuals. Consequently, most polymorphisms, including those that might co-occur in certain individuals within a population, would be at very low frequencies, driven mainly by negative selection and/or random drift; or polymorphic, driven mainly by adaptive and/or balancing selection. Since our main aim is to identify important sites that may or may not be independent, we can analyze, for each motif position, the distributions of frequencies of aggregated SNVs in the human population. For example, our ΔDAF analysis was meant to identify sites that have an accumulation of highly polymorphic variants between human sub-populations, and the rare-to-common-ratio (R/C) analysis was meant to calculate the enrichment of rare variants relative to common ones in the human population. Thus, even though some variants might be co-dependent or co-evolving in two or more aligned motifs, they can still be used by motif-MSA to improve population-genetic statistics and signal-to-noise ratio, to identify important sites within the motif, which may or may not be independent.	NON ROSA
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	Please refer to the 'Discussion' section. "While there is independence for each aligned orthologous sequence, the functional similarity of the sequences gives rise to widespread conservation across the species- MSA. On the other hand, in motif-MSA, while aligned motif sequences can be co- dependent because they come from the same protein, the functional dissimilarity and structural similarity give rise to differential conservation across the MSA. Moreover, we can systematically aggregate variants from similar protein regions within the genome of a single species in a reasonable manner to identify important sites, regardless of whether the sites are independent of one another. This aggregation is key to achieving the variant statistics required to perform analyses that are meaningful, especially in light of the observation that even a combined set of 1000GP and ESP6500 variant data, derived from almost 7,600 exomes, was not sufficient to yield immediately-interpretable results (Figure 2c and Supplementary Table 1). At this point, it is also important to note that the motif-MSA contains two categories of 'variations', namely variations found in the repeat motif sequences of the human reference genome and genomic variant information from a representative human population. Motif sequence variation can stem from the duplication and divergence of the same class of repeat motifs within the	

genome, and can be of long and short evolutionary timescales (before and after
speciation). In contrast, the genomic variant catalogue corresponds to the possible
polymorphisms found in the human population, representing a shorter evolutionary
timescale of a single species. Thus, the biological interpretation of selective constraints
in metrics such as log(NS/S) is a confluence of evolutionary timescales and mutation
processes."

-- Ref1.3 - Clarification for repeat protein domains --

Reviewer	The authors claim there is one RPD in every three human	
Comment	proteins. What is the reason their data only covers < 1000	
	proteins and what are the qualitative criteria in their	
	manual selection of data?	
Author	We agree with the reviewer that we were not sufficiently clear in	
Response	our description. The one-in-three statistic was derived from a	
	previous publication by Pellegrini <i>et al.</i> [1], which included a wide	
	range of classes of repeat protein domains (RPDs), such as the	
	highly degenerate homopolymeric repeat proteins like	
	polyglutamine, and RPDs with repeat structures so large that they	
	can fold independently like titin [2]. We specifically chose a	
	category of RPDs on which motif-MSA has previously been	
	successfully implemented [3] These classes of RPDs mediate	
	protein-protein interactions and the repeat units in each RPD	
	require one another to maintain their structural fold. Each repeat	
	upit is also relatively short with length of 12.60 emine soids	
	We have removed the statement to prevent confusion, and clarified	
	our selection criteria in the manuscript	<i>C</i> ,
		P2
	[1] Pellegrini M. et al. (1999) Proteins 35(4):440-6	
	[2] Kajava Λ (2012) [Struct Bio] 179(3):270-88	1.5.1
	[2] Majava A. (2012). $0.011001010101., 119(0).213-00$	11650
		JBU
Excerpt From	Please refer to the 'Introduction' and 'Methods' section.	
Revised		
Manuscript	"There is a wide range of repeat protein domains (RPDs). ^{11,12} Each RPD is made up of	
1	modular repeat motifs of the same class. We focus on a category of RPDs that explicitly	
	mediates protein-protein interactions (PPI), and in which the repeat motifs in each RPD	
	require each other to maintain their structural fold. Each repeat unit is also relatively	
	snori wiin iengin 0j 12-00 amino acias.	
	"The 12 RPDs were semi-manually curated from the domains found in the SMART	
	database for species, Homo sapiens (downloaded Oct 25, 2013), ⁴⁰ and selected for those	
	that are known to mediate protein-protein interactions and have at least 20 unique	
	repeat motifs in the human genome as annotated by SMART database (Supplementary Table 1)."	

Reviewer	SIFT as well as many other annotation approaches has very			
Comment	high false positive rate (SIFT has ~ 40% false positive			
	rate), it might be better using approaches such as FATHMM,			
	ENTPRISE methods that have much lower false positive rate.			
Author	We thank the reviewer for the suggestion of using other annotation			
Response	approaches. SIFT is not meant to be a fixture, rather an example,			
	to demonstrate variant aggregation in motif-MSA. In fact, all the			
	population-genetic metrics shown in this study are meant to be			
	examples. Other similar variant approaches can definitely be			
	implemented with motif-MSA. We have made this glearer in the			
	manuscript.			
		5		
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	Please refer to the 'Discussion' section.	T		
r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
	"Potentially, motif-MSA is amenable to the entire reperiore of genomic metrics. We			
	used four metrics as examples to demonstrate how motif positions and residues that			
	show evidence for clinical and disease relevance can be identified beyond the use of the			
	more conventional species conservation (Figure 3)."			

-- Ref1.5 - Interface residues --

Reviewer Comment Author Response	Can the authors also show the interface residues participating protein-protein interactions? We thank the reviewer for this question. It has been shown previously that many hypervariable sites in motif-MSA are associated with peptide or protein binding, due to the fact that the motifs in motif-MSA bind to different partners [1]. However, hypervariable sites can be confounded by unimportant sites that can better accommodate random mutations. Hence, in this study, we have used several layers of population genetic information to	< 1+2 Jul
	We have used several layers of population genetic information to complement the identification of potentially important sites, including among hypervariable sites. Unfortunately, the combination of population genetic information and motif-MSA does not seem to identify hypervariable positions very well, even though the most hypervariable site of position 2 was picked out by the Δ DAF analysis. Thus, while we cannot definitively inform the reader of interface residues participating in protein-protein interactions, the motif-MSA still holds potential for identifying these positions. We have modified part of the 'Discussion' section to better illustrate this. [1] Magliery T. and Regan L. (2005). <i>BMC Bioinformatics</i> , 6:240.	S to us area N Dr I r
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	Please refer to 'Discussion' section.	

"In addition, it has been suggested that because motifs in motif-MSA are from a
myriad of proteins with diverse binding partners, positions that are low in sequence
conservation, or 'hypervariable', are found in the binding pockets of the
corresponding domains.24,38 We noticed few hypervariable positions harbor a large
number of disease-related variants, for example, position 2 in TPR motifs, which has
been identified by the ?DAF analysis. Hence, while we cannot definitively identify
interface residues that participate in protein interactions, motif-MSA does still hold
potential in facilitating such an endeavor."

Reviewer #2

-- Ref2.1 - Positive comment --

Reviewer	This manuscript presents a very interesting idea to					
Comment	generate multiple alignments of protein motifs					
	(particularly those involved in Protein-protein					
	interactions) to identify positions that are conserved					
	within the motifs that may not be identified from using					
	full length sequences, with the aim of identifying					
	positions where variants are likely to be associated with					
	disease.					
	Overall the research is well thought out and an elegant					
	idea for considering the effect of variants present in					
	motifs. However, I have a number of comments for the					
	authors to address.					
Author	We thank the reviewer for the thorough examination of our					
Response	manuscript. We have provided additional analyses and updated					
1.00000100	the website to address the reviewer's comments					

-- Ref2.2 - High level quantification --

Reviewer	My main concern is that the authors present results solely					
Comment	for a single example. There is a lack of quantification.					
	Users of this resource, may be interested in variants in					
	particular regions of a motif and to have an idea of how					
	strong a correlation there is between the conservation					
	observed in the motif and associated with disease.					
	Quantification of the following form should be included:					
Author	We agree with the reviewer that it would be useful to provide high-					
Response	level quantifications of all the 12 motifs. We have included new					
	results and analyses for all 12 motifs. For users to get a better					
	sense of the resource, Supplementary Table xxx now shows an					
	overview of the characteristics of the motif-MSA across 12 motifs,					
	including the correlation of the conserved and disease-associated					
	sites in motif-MSA. We will address the individual points in detail in					
	Sites in moti-mon. We will address the individual points in detail in					
	the next few sections.					
	At this point, we would also like to further emphasize that motif-					
	MSA is a good platform to both (1) visualize conserved positions					
	that seem to be more structurally important and (2) amplify					
	that seem to be more structurary important, and (2) amplify					
	population genetic signals by the accumulation of variants, so that					
	they may be used to help identify, more generally, important					
	positions on the repeat motif. Hence, the approach is not limited to					
	only detecting only conserved sites but also (hyper)variable sites					
	which can be not antially important					
Excerpt From	·					
Kevised Manuscript						
	""					

-- Ref2.3 - Conservation in motif-MSA vs species-MSA -

Reviewer Comment	It is proposed that the motif-MSAs are better at revealing conservation that species-MSA (example shown in Figure 2). For example the authors could consider over all of the motifs how many positions are highly conserved in motif- MSAs compared to species-MSAs.
Author Response	We have defined a threshold for conservation and computed the number of positions that are highly conserved in motif-MSA versus species-MSA for all 12 RPDs and added this information to Supplementary Table xxx.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	,

-- Ref2.4 – Correlation analyses for population genetic metrics --

Reviewer Comment	The authors then consider four population genetic metrics and show data referring to a single motif. The authors should present a rigorous analysis of these metrics with their motif-MSAs compared to show how useful this resource is.	•	- <u>p</u> en
Author Response	We have performed correlation analyses of the population-genetic metrics with the sequence conservation for all 12 motif-MSAs (Supplementary Table xxx). In order to show the utility of the resource, we have also used the results in the database to identify important positions across the 12 motif-MSAs using a similar approach implemented on the TPRs.		
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	, ,,		

-- Ref2.5 - ExAC dataset --

Reviewer	The authors state that only the ExAC dataset is sufficient
Comment	to yield useful data and refer to figure 2C. this should
	be expanded across all of the 12 motifs in the resource.
	Additionally the information shown in Figure 2c is not
	clearly presented, The figure legends states " We can see
	that there are only subtle differences in log(NS/S) for
	each position along the TPR motif when using variant
	datasets from 1000GP to 1000GP+ESP6500. We were only able
	to make meaningful interpretations only when we use
	variant data from ExAC". This needs to be clarified -
	looking at the figure there seems to be greater variation
	for the smaller datasets.
Author	We agree with the reviewer that the description was unclear. The
Response	comparison was meant to show that the ExAC variant catalog
	made the log(NS/S) ratio more apparent. This is because owing
	to employ numbers of CNIVe in 40000 and 40000 ECOCO
	to smaller numbers of Sinvs in 1000G and 1000G+ESP6500
	datasets, the log ratios of the smaller datasets are largely skewed



		A 1
	by a large denominator, leading greater variation. Consequently,	/A
	in these smaller datasets, while highly conserved positions in	U VI
	motif-MSA have consistently low log ratios, most other positions	
	also have very low or negative log ratios in the motif, making	
	interpretations difficult. However, with the ExAC database, and an	
	almost four-fold increase in the number of SNVs in TPRs, there is	
	less noise as log ratios in the other positions become less skewed.	
	As a result, the signals become more apparent and interpretable,	
	with only the conserved positions being prominently lower or	
	negative than the rest of the positions. We have modified the	
	description to better convey what we mean. To make such	
	comparisons, we have also added the numbers of SNVs in all three	
	datasets for all 12 motifs in the Supplementary material	
	(Supplementary Table vy).	
Excerpt From		
Revised Manuscript		
	"	

M

-- Ref2.6 - Clinically-relevant mutations in conserved sites --

Reviewer	The authors also consider clinically relevant and disease-	
Comment	related mutations. Again this should be quantified - are	
	the highly conserved motif-MSA positions enriched in such	
	variants? How does this compare with the species-MSA?	
Author	We have defined a threshold for conservation and use a Mann-	
Response	Whitney test to compare the mean number of clinically-relevant	
•	and disease-related mutations between sites that are conserved	
	and non-conserved (Supplementary Figure xx) Because most	
	sites in species-MSA are highly conserved, it is not amonable to	
		\leq
	such an analysis.	
Excerpt From		
Revised Manuscript		
	""	
		1

-- Ref2.7 – Web resource --

Reviewer	Additionally this manuscript has been submitted to a
Comment	specific biological resource issue of the journal.
	Reviewing the associated website limited information is
	available and data is purely available as download of data
	files for each of the repeats considered. This means that
	the resource will largely only be used by computational
	biologists performing analysis or developing methods.
	While this is useful is makes the resource of limited to
	use to other non specialists who may be interested in
	investigating a small set or a particular variant that
	they have identified in a study.
Author	We have taken this comment to heart and revamp our web
Response	resource to include a query tool for the non-specialists, who may

	be interested in specific variants, proteins or motifs. The query
	page now allows the user to input an SNV position or PDB ID or
	Ensembl Protein ID, or choose from the 12 motifs available to view
	our results. This will indeed accommodate a wider audience, and
	increase the usability of the web resource.
Excerpt From	
Revised Manuscript	«»

-- Ref2.8 -- Figure 1b --

Reviewer	Figure 1b is missing.
Comment	
Author	We have made the label and boundary for Figure 1b more evident.
Response	
Excerpt From	Please refer to Figure 1b.
Revised Manuscript	

-- Ref2.9 -- names of the 12 RPDs --

Reviewer	It would be useful if the 12 PPI RPDs were listed at least
Comment	once in the manuscript.
Author	We have included the names of the RPDs in the revised
Response	manuscript.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	Please refer to the 'Methods' section under 'Intensification database'.
	"Our publicly available Intensification database
	(http://intensification.gersteinlab.org) provides data files for 12 RPDs, namely
	ankyrins (ANK), annexins (ANX), armadillos (ARM), cadherin repeats (CA),
	fibronectin type 2 domains (FN2), fibronectin type 3 domains (FN3), leucine-rich
	repeats (LRR_TYP), spectrin repeats (SPEC), tetratricopeptide repeats (TPR),
	ubiquitin-interacting motifs (UIM), WD40 repeats (WD40), and WW domains (WW)."

Reviewer #3

-- Ref3.1 – Endorsement for publication --

Reviewer	The authors are doing a great job to increase the ability
Comment	of using large scale genome sequencing data to analyze
	intra-species population-genetic signals without
	experimentally increasing the pool of sequenced
	individuals. Their method can overcome the difficulties of
	the extremely conservations in high-impact protein domains
	and the sparsely locations of variants, by selecting and
	combining useful information together and extracting
	meaningful signals. I think the article is valuable and
	suitable for Journal of Molecular Biology after revition.
Author	We thank the reviewer for the endorsement for publication and the
Response	thorough examination of the manuscript.

-- Ref3.2 - Increasing the number of proteins --

Reviewer	The MotifVar database encompass 971 proteins in human
Comment	genome. However, we know that the total human proteome is more than 20,000 proteins. The authors should include more proteins in the analysis to give more universal information and conclusions. Please provide more information and discussion regarding extension of the number of proteins and motifs of the database and generate
	more concrete results. For example, the newly published
	SRMatlas database is providing more than 99.7% human
Author	We thank the reviewer for his/her suggestion on increasing the
Response	annotation of proteins in the human proteome. Currently, our resource is meant for identifying important motif positions and annotating variants corresponding to protein positions in 12 classes of RPDs. Motif-MSA is also more appropriately constructed by considering only a single gene product per gene. Hence, while we are limited by the proteins we used, we can definitely annotate any gene product positions (both transcripts and proteins included) that can be back-transcribed or back- translated to their corresponding genomic positions found in our study.

HT WAS

-- Ref3.3 – Compare AS calls with existing studies --

Reviewer	In Figure 2, the authors compared sequence motif
Comment	conservations between species-MSA and motif-MSA. We can see
	clearly that the results are different, and we do believe it
	is important and holds significant biological mechanism.
	Please provide some further discussion on the biological
	meaning of the differences between inter-species and intra-
	species MSA.

Author	We thank the reviewer for his/her comment. We have discussed the different timescales that the species- and motif-MSA operate on. We further included a short discussion about the different levels of variations that are being considered in motif-MSA, namely variation from motif sequences and variation information from aggregating genetic polymorphisms in the human population.
Response	Perhaps we were not clear in our discussion. We have added more text to bolster the 'Discussion' section about this.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	Please refer to the 'Discussion' section. "While there is independence for each aligned orthologous sequence, the functional similarity of the sequences gives rise to widespread conservation across the species-MSA. On the other hand, in motif-MSA, while aligned motif sequences can be co-dependent because they come from the same protein, the functional dissimilarity and structural similarity give rise to differential conservation across the MSA. Moreover, we can systematically aggregate variants from similar protein regions within the genome of a single species in a reasonable manner to identify important sites, regardless of whether the sites are independent of one another. This aggregation is key to achieving the variant statistics required to perform analyses that are meaningful, especially in light of the observation that even a combined set of 1000GP and ESP6500 variant data, derived from almost 7,600 exomes, was not sufficient to yield immediately-interpretable results (Figure 2c and Supplementary Table 1). At this point, it is also important to note that the motif ¹ MSA contains two categories of 'variations', namely variations found in the repeat motif sequences of the human reference genome and genomic variant information from a representative human population. Motif sequence variation can stem from the duplication and divergence of the same class of repeat motifs within the genome, and can be of long and short evolutionary timescales (before and after speciation). In contrast, the genomic variant catalogue corresponds to the possible polymorphisms found in the human population, representing a shorter evolutionary timescale of a single species. Thus, the biological interpretation of selective constraints in metrics such as log(NS/S) is a confluence of evolutionary timescales and mutation processes."

-- Ref3.4 – Correlation analyses for motif-MSA conservation --

Reviewer	The author could do some statistical analysis about the
Comment	correlation between the occurrences of clinically-relevant
	and disease-related mutations and the highest sequence
	conservation motif-MSA combined with lowest median SIFT
	scores and NS/S ratio, to point out their significant
	correlated with each other. This will make their
	conclusion more statistical meaningful.
Author	We have performed a series of correlation analyses of the
Response	population-genetic metrics with the sequence conservation for all
C. Jal	12 motif-MSAs (Supplementary Table xxx) in the revised
	manuscript and summarized the results in a new Table xxx
- (R) - 2	manuscript and summarized the results in a new Table xxx.
THE P	manuscript and summarized the results in a new Table xxx.
THE REAL	Manuscript and summarized the results in a new Table xxx. At this point, we would also like to further emphasize that motif-
AL AL	Manuscript and summarized the results in a new Table xxx. At this point, we would also like to further emphasize that motif- MSA is a good platform to both (1) visualize conserved positions

	population genetic signals by the accumulation of variants, so that they may be used to help identify, more generally; important positions on the repeat motif. Hence, the approach is not limited to only detecting only conserved sites, but also (hyper)variable sites, which can be potentially important.
Excerpt From Revised Manuscript	Please refer to the 'Results' section.

-- Ref3.5 - Sentence structure --

Reviewer	The authors need to improve their English writing in the
Comment	article. For example, "The fact that only the largest
	dataset with more than 60K exomes and 7M SNVs yields
	interpretable results underscores the importance of
	amplification and still having more genome sequences." in
	the first paragraph of page 6 is not correct.
Author	We have modified this sentence to better clarify way we mean.
Response	
Excerpt From	Please refer to 'Results' section under 'Computing population genetic
Revised Manuscript	metrics and amplification by motif-MSA'
	metres and amprineation by motir more.
	This further underscores the value of amplification, and exemplifies the fact that more
	genomes are necessary to yield better statistics for such analyses."

-- Ref3.6 – Ambiguous parentheses --

Reviewer	There are several ambiguous parentheses in the text, i.e.
Comment	the first pair in "we were able to identify some TPR
	residue positions that seem to harbor more (non-
	synonymous) variants that are highly differentiated
	between populations than other positions (Figure 3f)." in
	line 41 page 7. The author would better use more words to
	explain whether there were more variants, or more non-
	synonymous variants, or both.
Author	We have altered this sentence to better clarify what we mean.
Response	
Excerpt From	Please refer to 'Results' section under 'Computing population genetic
Revised Manuscript	metrics and amplification by motif-MSA' and ' ΔDAF (pop)'.
	"More interestingly, we were able to identify some TPR residue positions that seem to
	harbor more variants that are highly differentiated between populations than other
	nositions (Figure 3f) High differentiation can be indicative of positive selection and
	adaptive evolution among the human populations."