Chromatin structure and function changes in re-arranged genomes

1

Dekker Lab, Gilbert lab, Yue Lab, Ferhat Ay

Vishnu Dileep (Graduate student, Gilbert lab)

Goals

> Pipeline to identify translocations from Hi-C datasets: Using Hi-C from cancer cell lines

Caki2 (Kidney epithelial carcinoma)

Strong off-diagonal interaction indicates translocation

Ferhat Ay and

Noam Kaplan (Dekker lab)

>Irys system to make physical genome maps

>Selected 2 cell lines with maximum number of strong off-diagonal interactions (Caki2, T47D)

>Jie Xu (Yue Lab) will talk about the technology and the data we have so far

- Structural and functional changes due to the breakpoints 4
- What happens to the TAD structure when it is split by a translocation?

How does translocations affect function? Replication Timing Program (RT)

DNA replicates in a spatio-temporal order

5

- **Distinct domains that replicate earlier or later in S-phase**
- **Early/Late replicating DNA corresponds to A/B compartment.**
- **50% of genome changes RT during mouse and human development**
- **Changes in RT during differentiation occur in units of 400-800kb which** have a one-to-one correspondence with TADs.
- A/B compartments and TADs are established along with the **establishment of RT in early G1**

Ryba et al. 2010; Naumova et al. 2013 ; Pope et al. 2014; Dileep et al. 2015

Replication timing at translocation in leukemia cell lines

6

Ryba et al. 2012

Re-arranged human Chr21 in mouse

Mouse model for down syndrome

7

Chr21 got re-arranged in mouse cells

Re-arranged human Chr₂₁ in mouse

Pope et al. 2012

Data generation

HiC on Re-arranged human Chr21 in mouse Suzana Hadjur (University of London)

- TAD structure at translocations
- Will Human Chr21 maintain human TADs in mouse cells?
- Extra validation for the pipeline for calling breakpoints from HiC data.

Noam Kaplan Dekker Lab **Finding breakpoints from simulated translocations**

Translocation simulation

- Pick random bins b_1,b_2 on different chromosomes.
- Remove chromosomes $chr(b_1)$,chr(b₂) from data.
- Add 2 new chromosomes with translocations.

Original data **Data** With translocations

Finding breakpoints

- Strategy: identify adjacent rows/columns that are different from each other.
	- 1. Edge-preserving smoothing: median filter (9x9 bins)
	- 2. Perform test between every 2 adjacent rows, shifted
	- 3. Use test statistic as metric

Re-scaffolding

- Breakpoints partition the genome into large contigs.
- Contigs can be scaffolded (rearranged) using Hi-C.
- We search for an arrangement that maximizes the likelihood of the data, given an expected interaction model.

Kaplan N, Dekker J. (2013). High-throughput genome scaffolding from in vivo DNA interaction frequency. *Nature Biotechnology*, 31:1143-1147.

Detecting structural variation by Bionano Irys

Jie Xu (PhD student) Feng Yue Lab **Penn State University**

Flowchart of how Bionano Irys system works

High Throughput, High Resolution Imaging Gives Contiguous Molecules up to Mb Length

http://www.bionanogenomics.com/technology/irys-technology/

Running Scan of Caki2 DNA

Running Scan of T47D DNA

Cancer-specific deletions and insertions detected by Irys

* Note: above results is from our recent run in thyroid cancer samples that have 30X coverage. For T47D and Caki2 cell lines, we achieved 10X coverage and need to sequence deeper to generate the genome assembly.

Summery of structure variations detected in thyroid cancer by Irys

Ferhat Ay **Identifying breakpoints from Hi-C data**

A TAD splitting translocation **on chr9**

Translocations in NCIH460

NCI-H460 [H460] (ATCC[®] HTB-177[™])

Karyotype

modal numbr = 57 ; range = 53 to 65. This is a hypotriploid human cell line. The modal chromosome number is 57 although cells with 58 chromosomes occurred with a comparable frequency. The frequency of higher ploidies was 1.7%. Seven marker chromosomes, der(9)t(1;9)(q21;p24), der(9)t(7;9)(p11;p22), $t(10q14q)$, der $(16)t(7;16)(q11.23;q22)$, a small ring (about 1/2 the size of a G chromosome) and two others, were common to all cells. Three other markers were found in some cells only. The markers, $t(7,9)$ and $t(7,16)$ were mostly paired. Normal N9 was absent, and N7 and N16 had only a single copy per cell. Two copies each of the X and the Y were present in all cells.

- "Normal chr9 was absent" \rightarrow Translocations will show up in each and every copy of chr9
- "Normal chr7 had only single copy per cell" \rightarrow No translocations for one copy of chr7

Translocations in NCIH460 – chr9

Breakpoint is very clear visually and will be easy to identify with any method

What happens to each piece? Chr9-R

Significant inter-chr contacts from chr9-R leftmost bin

All copies of chr9-R join with chr7:49.94Mb

What happens to each piece? Chr9-L

Significant inter-chr contacts from chr9-L rightmost bin

All copies of chr9-L join with chr7:92.58 Mb

What if we look from chr7?

chr7:49.94Mb region that joins with chr9-R

Breakpoint is not very clear visually because of the one normal chr7 that does not have it. It will not be as easy to identify.

Challenges ahead

- Copy number differences of translocation partners either at the whole chromosome level or smaller
- Normalization carried out before copy numbers are accounted for is likely to create biases
- Heterogeneity of translocations and breakpoints among the cell population
- Identification of intra-chromosomal translocations will require taking genomic distance into effect (Are they abundant?)
- Other routine challenges:
	- Non-mappable regions (e.g., centromeres)
	- Partially mappable regions (e.g., only one half of a bin)