THE HUCK INSTITUTES OF THE LIFE SCIENCES



Modeling Reproducibility of High Throughput Sequencing Experiment with Tail Dependences

Tao Yang txy146@psu.edu Bioinformatics and Genomics Penn State 9/18/2015

Pearson and Spearman correlations are misleading



2

Why Pearson and Spearman correlations fail?



Pearson correlation is easily dominated by outliers

(Remove top 25, Pearson = 0.086)

Large amount of rank ties confound the true signal

Method

≻Copula

- Copulas are tools modelling dependence of several random variables
- Copula models the cumulative density of random variables

Example:

Joint distribution function -

X: number of reads of a 200bp bins

Copula -

U: F(X), cumulative density $\left(\frac{\# \ of \ reads \ in \ a \ bin}{Total \ \# \ of \ reads}\right)$



Method

Gumbel-Clayton mixture model

$$C^{CG} = \pi C^{C}_{\beta}(u, v) + (1 - \pi) C^{G}_{\theta}(u, v) \qquad \pi \in [0, 1]$$



Result

> Our measure tells true difference for TF binding sites data



SydhTfbs_Hepg2_Srebp2

Pearson	0.881
Spearman	0.834



SydhTfbs_Gm12878_Jund

Pearson	0.865
Spearman	0.842

 $\lambda_{u} = 0.326$

 $\lambda_u = 0.611$

> Our measure better characterizes the histone modification data



BroadHistone_H1hesc_H3k4me3

Pearson	0.885
Spearman	0.824

$$\lambda_{\rm H} = 0.396$$



BroadHistone_Hepg2_H3k79me2

Pearson	0.883
Spearman	0.817

 $\lambda_{u} = 0.700$

Our measure better characterizes the histone modification data



Comparison with NSC score and RSC score using ENCODE TF data

- NSC score less than 1.1 is considered as poorly-enriched with peaks
- RSC score is categorized into 5 Qtag values, the quality increases from -2 B to 2
- NSC and RSC are measures for a single replicate, to make it comparable to our measure, we take the average score of the two replicates



Comparison with IDR Rescue Ratio Strategy

 IDR Rescue Ratio Strategy first generates a pair of pseudo replicates, then uses IDR to call reproducible peaks for both pseudo replicates and biological replicates. The dataset with a ratio greater than 2 will be considered irreproducible





Our measure performs well on RNA-seq data



Rank scatterplot

Pairs of Human universal reference RNA samples sequenced by two institutes

SEQC/MAQC-III consortium. Nature Biotechnology 32, 903–914 (2014)

Our measure captures the transcriptome complexity



A, B, C, D are four samples used by the SEQC consortium for evaluating the RNA-seq data quality

A: Universal Human Reference RNA B: Human Brain Reference RNA C: C = $\frac{3}{4}$ A + $\frac{1}{4}$ B D: D = $\frac{1}{4}$ A + $\frac{3}{4}$ B

SEQC/MAQC-III consortium. Nature Biotechnology 32, 903–914 (2014)

Conclusions

- Pearson and Spearman correlations could be misleading when measuring the reproducibility of high-throughput data
- Our method models the correlation in noise and signal separately, and is not sensitive to outliers and ties
- Our method is suitable for different kinds of sequencing data (ChIP-seq, RNA-seq)
- R package will be soon released

Hi-C reproducibility

Data: Output of Fit-hi-c from Noble lab, only show non-zero counts on Chr22



> Without adjusting for distance, counts look more reproducible than p-values

Scatterplot of counts

Scatterplot of P values



Stratify contacts according to the distance between the two coordinates

- Calculate the Pearson and Spearman correlations based on counts
- Compare true replicates (A549-A549) and fake replicates (A549 G401)



Distance between coordinates (X 80kb)

17

- Same setting as the previous slide
- Calculate the Pearson and Spearman correlation based on –log10(P values)



Distance between coordinates (X 80kb)

Conclusion

- Reproducibility in Hi-C data depends on the distance between coordinates
- Some preprocessing may be necessary before assessing reproducibility, especially for counts
- The correction of random looping effect in p-value helps establish true reproducibility

Acknowledgement

- Dr. Qunhua Li
- Dr. Feng Yue
- Dr. Ross Hardison's Lab

