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**Abstract:**

Structure has historically been interrelated with sequence, usually in the framework of comparing sequences across species within a common structural fold. However, the nature of information within the sequence and structure databases is changing leading to a modification in the kind of comparisons being made. In particular, we now have a vast amount of personal-genomic sequencing of human populations and a larger percentage of newly resolved structures contain interacting proteins within large complexes. Consequently, we have to recast the way we think about sequence conservation (thinking more about selection within the human population) and its relation to structure (now thinking more about interacting surfaces rather than folds). We cover this changing mindset here.

The amount of genomic information is growing at an astonishing pace due to rapid improvements in next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology (Figure 1A) \cite{PMID:26151137}. Essential goals of these efforts include the realization of personalized medicine by identifying pathological disease-associated variants \cite{PMID:21706342,PMID:21383744}. A large number of medically-relevant mutations occur within proteins, some of which are available through databases such as the Online Database of Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) \cite{PMID:15608251}, the Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD) \cite{PMID:19348700}, Humsavar \cite{PMID:19843607}, and ClinVar \cite{PMID:24234437}. It is essential to utilize structural information to rationalize the evolutionary pressure on these proteins as well as for developing drugs to combat the effects of disease-causing variants. However, it remains challenging to annotate the physical effects of these mutations on proteins due to the assortment of functional constraints on a protein family, incomplete knowledge of these constraints, and how individual variants can be benign but disease-causing in specific combinations. A protein-coding variant may cause local perturbations, or global changes in structure, or it may have a substantial impact on the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network, and each type of change adds functional constraints on the protein. Moreover, as the amount of genomic data continues to grow, we envision a future in which biologists will utilize genetic variation within human population(s) to help interpret their structural data \cite{PMID:22691493}. Population genetic analysis within human proteins has already been used to identify novel species-specific functional constraints within a protein family \cite{PMID:16494531}. In addition, a number of fundamental insights about biological pathways can be garnered by analyzing newly-discovered loci associated with a disease \cite{PMID:19812666}.

The nature of biological information stored within biological databases is undergoing a transformation (Figure 1). Before the completion of the human genome project in 2003, we had a large amount of genomic sequence information from different species as well as structural data. Since the technological advances in next-generation sequencing, the amount of human sequence information has grown at an astonishing pace. Meanwhile, while structural biologists continue to deposit new structures in the PDB database, the pace of identifying new folds has slowed down indicating that very new folds remain undiscovered. However, the complexity of the structure in the PDB database continues to grow indicating that there is an increasing emphasis among structural biologists to treat biomolecules not as individual folds but rather as complex molecular machines that interact and regulate each another as they function within the cellular environment. Together, these trends suggest that the stage is set to utilize structural information to rationalize the effect of variants on protein function.

**Classical Sequence Comparison:**

Typically, structural biologists identify functionally constrained regions within a protein family by comparing homologous sequences from different species (Figure 2a) \cite{PMID:3709526, Book:Biological Sequence Analysis}. They focus on changes that take place over longer evolutionary timescales by comparing the dominant sequence within each species rather than focusing on intra-species changes. Nucleotides that do not change across different species are conserved over millions of years and are hence considered to be functionally important. Due to redundancy within the genetic code, some of the changes in the coding regions are silent as they occur without a corresponding change in the protein sequence (synonymous changes). While exceptions do exist, all synonymous changes and a majority of the nonsynonymous changes are expected to be neutral. A small fraction of the nonsynonymous changes can, however, either be harmful (deleterious) or beneficial to the fitness of the species. The ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous variants (dN/dS) is commonly utilized to characterize the selection pressure on the coding regions of the genome (Figure 2) \cite{PMID:19081788}. If the dN/dS ratio for a coding region is less than 1, it indicates that a few of these mutations are harmful or deleterious and that these changes are under negative selection. On the other hand, a dN/dS ratio exceeding unity indicates that evolution is promoting a change in the protein sequence and that this protein is under positive selection \cite{PMID:16494531}. Proteins undergoing positive selection may improve the fitness of an organism in different environments.

**Introduction to Population Sequencing:**

The vast amounts of genomic and exome sequences available is providing unique opportunities to characterize genetic variation within the human population. The exome comprises the coding sequences of all protein-coding genes and constitutes approximately 1% of the total genomic sequence \cite{PMID:19684571}. Due to the reduced cost of exome sequencing and better-characterized clinical relevance of variation within the coding regions of the genome, it is more widely used for genetic diagnosis. Variants within an individual’s genome are either acquired at birth (germline mutations) or during the person’s lifetime (somatic mutations) as a consequence of errors during cell division. While germline mutations are typically present in every cell of the person, somatic mutations only affect certain cells and are typically not passed on to the next generation. There are approximately 74 *de novo* (new)variants that occur during each generation \cite{PMID:22805709}. As only germline mutations are passed on to the next generation, somatic mutations are not under conventional evolutionary selection.

The human genome exhibits extensive variation \cite{PMID:20981092,PMID:22604720,PMID:23128226,PMID:24092746}. On average, any individual genome contains 20,000-25,000 coding variants (Table 1), of which 9,000-11,000 are nonsynonymous. As deleterious variants are under negative selection, the frequency with which a particular variant or allele occurs in a particular population can be used as a proxy to characterize the evolutionary pressure on it. Although most of the variants within any particular individual are common (defined as having a minor allele frequency greater than 5%), most coding variants manifest as distinct single nucleotide variants (SNVs), each of which occur very rarely within the human population (defined as having a minor allele frequency less than 0.5%). About 25-50% of the rare non-synonymous variants within healthy individuals are estimated to be deleterious, suggesting that the human proteome is highly robust to a large number of non-specific perturbations and because most rare deleterious variants are heterozygous implying that the cell also contains a functional copy of the gene \cite{PMID:23128226,PMID:24092746}. Despite the fact that new genomic data is still being produced, about 200,000-500,000 previously unobserved SNVs are still discovered after each personal genome is sequenced, suggesting that there is not yet a saturation in data on human polymorphism \cite{PMID:23128226,PMID:24092746}. Indeed, the number of rare variants continues to grow even after the 1000 Genomes Consortium and Exome Aggregation Consortium data (60,706 individuals) \cite{http://exac.broadinstitute.org} data has become available. As deleterious mutations tend to occur at very low frequencies, we need to continue sequencing a large number of individuals to characterize and catalog these variants and their frequencies within the human population.

As such, we can turn to intra-human comparisons to uncover more human- or domain-specific features (Figure 2). There is, however, an important distinction between interpreting inter- and intra-species conservation due to the huge disparities in the associated evolutionary timescales. While performing such an analysis, one can also align homologous coding regions not only between individuals, but also within a single human genome (i.e., paralogs), such as proteins originating from the same structural domain family. In particular, this can be used to elucidate domain-specific features (Figure 2b).

Similar to the dN/dS ratio in cross-species comparisons, selective pressure on coding regions can be quantified using fraction of synonymous to nonsynonymous polymorphisms (pN/pS) at any site (Figure 2). In addition, evolutionary pressure can also be quantified during intra-species comparison using the ratio of rare to common variants at each site as rare variants are under negative selection. A statistically significant depletion of common variants as compared to rare variants implies that the site is under higher selective pressure.Furthermore, genomic variants that are increasing in frequency within a human population (positive selection) may help identify a novel gain-of-function event (such as a new protein-protein interaction). Some of these domain-specific events may be beneficial to the species. Comparative genetics/genomics studies have already uncovered a growing list of genes that might have experienced positive selection during the evolution of human and/or primates \cite{PMID:16494531}. These genes offer valuable inroads into understanding the biological processes specific to humans, as well as the evolutionary forces that gave rise to them. It is also important to note that some

 variants occur in a correlated fashion within the population and these variants are said to be under linkage disequilibrium. Linkage disequilibrium is statistically easier to observe for common variants than for rare variants.

. Genes associated with a disease are identified by detecting deleterious variants that are affecting genes within diseased individuals more often than in healthy populations. This might be misleading, however, because the variants associated with this gene might be correlated with other unanalyzed variants in the genome. Hence, all variants (including the variants within a gene) statistically associated with a disease might not be causative and additional analysis may be required to identify the real disease-causing mutations. We need to annotate the effect of individual variants, however, before we can predict the outcome of a large number of variants.

**Deleterious Effects of Variations on Protein Function:**

Each protein has several evolutionary constraints imposed upon it based on its biological function. The effect of a deleterious variant can only be understood when all these functional constraints acting on a protein are known and can be considered. The fibroblast growth factor receptor provides a case-in-point (Figure 3). This protein has been shown to host well-documented disease-causing variants that manifest in craniofacial defects in humans. However, several of the disease variants have no clear mechanism of pathogenicity in that they do not fall in any of the protein regions known to be sensitive to amino acid changes. Certainly, a sequence change should not hinder a protein from folding to its native state, bind to a specific ligand, and perform its function \cite{PMID:11295823}, but the determining the effects of a given variant is often non-trivial. This determination can sometimes be made when a sufficient number of homologues are available, along with variants known to be harmful in such homologues.

We can utilize the structural information in the PDB database to assess the effect of mutations on a protein’s stability as nonsynonymous changes that occur within the core of the protein or variants that disrupt the secondary structure of the protein could reduce its stability. Several computational tools based on sequence conservation (inter-species or intra-species) and/or several structural features (the physicochemical characteristics of the amino acid change, solvent accessibility, secondary structure, active site annotations, and protein-protein interfaces) were developed to predict the deleterious effect of sequence variations on a protein’s function \cite{PMID:19561590, PMID:20354512, PMID:17526529, PMID:19734154}. Disease-associated mutations are highly enriched for residues in the interior of proteins (22% of all mutations in HGMD and OMIM), and active sites of proteins \cite{PMID:20981092,PMID:22604720,PMID:23128226,PMID:24092746}.

Mutations may not only affect the native state of the protein but could also affect the stability of intermediates within the folding pathway. Such considerations typically ignored while assessing the effect of mutations on a protein’s structure. In addition, mechanistic insight into the mutation- induced structural changes requires knowledge of the folding kinetics, which still remains elusive in these models. Finally, while mutations that occur on the active site of the protein reduce efficiency or ablate function entirely, mutations that are distant from an active site may also affect protein efficiency \cite{PMID:25525255}. Such mutations that affect the thermodynamic stability of different allosteric states of a protein are typically ignored while predicting the deleteriousness of a putative variant.

**Networks as a Framework for Understanding Deleterious Variants:**

While structural and sequence information are invaluable in providing a rationale for the deleterious effects of certain disease-causing and rare variations, it is often difficult to interpret the phenotypic effects of an individual variant without considering the broader cellular context. As proteins are extensively involved in protein-DNA interactions (gene regulatory network), protein-RNA interactions (post-transcriptional regulation), and protein-protein interactions (PPI) within the cellular milieu, variants that disrupt these interactions could potentially affect the viability of the cell they are present in. We refer the reader to comprehensive essays on the phenotypic effect of noncoding variation \cite{PMID:23138309, PMID:25707927} }, and focus here on deleterious effects that variants may have on the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network here.

Various experimental and computational approaches have been applied to characterize the PPI network in several model organisms and human beings \cite{PMID:16189514, PMID:25416956} and these networks have been invaluable in interpreting the role of evolutionary constraints on a protein family. In the PPI network, a node represents a protein, while an edge represents an interaction between the two proteins connected by the edge. Proteins that are highly interconnected in PPI networks (hubs) are under strong negative selection constraints while proteins at the periphery of the network are under positive selection in humans \cite{PMID:18077332}. Proteins that are more central in an integrated “multinet” formed by pooling biological networks from different context (PPI, metabolic, post-translational modification, gene regulatory network, etc.) are under negative selection within human populations \cite{PMID:23505346}. In agreement with this, perturbations to hub proteins are more likely to be associated with diseases than non-hub proteins \cite{PMID:17502601}. The PPI networks are organized in a modular fashion as proteins associated with the same function are more likely to interact with one another \cite{PMID:17353930} and proteins associated with similar diseases tend to occur within the same module \cite{PMID:17502601}. The system properties of the network have also been useful in interpreting how the human proteome is robust even in the presence of a large number of deleterious variants within healthy individuals. Most deleterious variants observed in healthy individuals occur in peripheral regions of the interactome. Such limited effects may result as a consequence of compensatory mutations or functional redundancy \cite{PMID:25261458}. On the other hand, cancer-associated somatic deleterious variations occur in the internal regions of the interactome and tend to have larger structural consequences on the PPI network.

The interactome provides a convenient platform to measure the impact of a deleterious variant on the cell, as a deleterious variant would have a larger effect on the structure of the PPI network if it occurs on a hub. As shown in Fig. 4, A deleterious variant can either remove a protein (such a node effect would naturally also result in the removal of all the associated edges) from the PPI network by making a protein nonfunctional or it could lead to the loss of just one or more of its interactions (edgetic effects). Mutations at a PPI interface can have drastic effects on the biomolecular binding constant and several sequence and structure-based methods have been proposed to identify these interaction hotspots \cite{PMID:17630824, PMID:15855251}. While the discovery of structural folds has saturated, the discovery of new domain-domain interactions continues to grow (Figure 1). Even though we have incomplete information, it has been predicted that about 12% of all the HGMD and OMIM mutations occur at a PPI interaction \cite{PMID:26027735} while approximately 28% of experimentally-tested HGMD missense mutations affect one or more interactions, thus underscoring the importance of these interactions for annotating rare variants and disease-associated mutations \cite{PMID:25910212}.

In an effort to bridge the information gained from individual structures with network properties of the interactome, Kim, et al., \cite{PMID:17185604} combined the experimentally determined interactome with structural information from the iPfam database to form the structural interaction network (SIN) and were able to obtain a higher-resolution understanding of the selection constraints on the hubs. Using structural information, the hubs were classified into different groups based on the number of distinct interfaces utilized for biomolecular complex formation and they showed that the number of distinct interfaces is a better proxy for evolutionary pressure acting on the hub rather than the number of edges in the PPI network. Consistent with this interpretation, hub proteins in the PPI network contain a higher fraction of disease-causing mutations on their solvent exposed surface, as compared to non-hub proteins suggesting that a larger fraction of a hub’s disease-associated mutations could affect its interactions \cite{PMID:17185604}.

Hub proteins interact with a large number of partners and tend to be more flexible and conformationally heterogenous than non-hub proteins \cite{PMID:21826754}. Furthermore, the number of distinct interfaces in hub proteins is correlated with degrees of conformational heterogeneity \cite{PMID:21826754}. To the extent that variants may enable or disable certain conformational states from being visited, such mutations could potentially affect protein complex formation and signaling pathways, and this has not yet been examined very closely. As deleterious mutations that affect hubs in networks tend to have a larger effect on the structures, they would also cause large changes in the PPI network. Proteins can utilize different interfaces for different (sets of) interactions, so multiple mutations on the same protein can be associated with drastically different diseases depending on the afflicted interface. Such mutations would have different edgetic effects on the protein’s interaction network - by breaking or weakening one of its interactions while the rest of its interactions remain intact - and a large proportion of HGMD and OMIM mutations are predicted to have edgetic effects on the PPI network \cite{PMID:22252508,PMID:25910212}.

Ultimately, we want to understand the effects of deleterious variants on the phenotype of the cell. However, a mutation typically displays tissue-specific phenotypic effects, hence an understanding of functional constraints on a protein should also incorporate tissue-specific information. While the gene regulatory network is being mapped out in a developmental time point and cell type-dependent fashion by several international consortia \cite{PMID:22955616, PMID:25693563} the PPI network is largely treated in a static fashion. Recent work has tried to integrate proteome and gene expression profiles with PPI networks to create tissue-specific networks \cite{PMID:23028288}. However, these studies typically neglect the protein isoform even though the protein’s interactions are dependent on its isoform \cite{PMID:22749401, PMID:22749400}. A structural study on the effect of sequence variations on isoform-dependent PPI complexes has not been performed and would improve the prediction of phenotypic effects due to missense mutations. However, it is likely that the high costs in resources associated with studying isoform-specific assays in various cell types have impeded these types of studies. We anticipate that isoform-specific protein-protein interaction network annotation will become easier and more accessible in the near future, which will present new opportunities to better annotate such networks.

**Effect of Mutations on Disordered Regions:**

The discovery and prominent role (>30% of eukaryotic proteome) of intrinsically disordered regions has challenged the paradigm that structure determines the function \cite{PMID:11381529}. The hubs in PPI networks tend to contain higher degrees of disordered regions, and these regions typically become well-ordered upon ligand or protein binding \cite{PMID:18364713,PMID24606139}. The assessment of a mutation on the activity of an intrinsically disordered protein is even more challenging because it would be dependent upon the effects of these mutations upon the unfolded ensemble or the structure gained in the presence of its interaction partner. Due to their flexibility, the unfolded ensembles of disordered proteins are especially difficult to characterize using either experimental and computational techniques \cite{PMID:19162471,PMID:22947936}, making variant annotation in the context of disordered proteins an uphill task. However, the phenotypic effect of mutations on the functional viability of a disordered protein is important because a number of proteins also change their interaction partners in a tissue-specific manner based upon the dominant isoform of the protein in that tissue. Recent evidence suggests that many mutations occurring on these alternatively-spliced disordered motifs may drive cancer \cite{PMID:23633940}. Therefore it is important to understand the phenotypic effects of sequence variations in the disordered regions.

**Conclusions:**

The exponential growth in genomic data has demonstrated that a surprisingly large amount of genomic variation is present within the human population, and this data has also helped identify a vast number of rare variants and disease-associated variants. Though the motivation of developing methods to annotate the effects of variants that cause human disease are clear, it remains challenging to do so as it requires bridging disparate sources of information together to understand the functional constraints on a protein family. It is essential to utilize structural information to rationalize the effect of variants. The network properties of the protein in addition to sequence and structural information regarding the nonsynonymous amino acid changes need to be considered within a single framework before predicting the phenotypic impact of an amino acid change.