## Temporal dynamics of collaborative networks driven by large scientific consortia

Daifeng Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Koon-Kiu Yan<sup>1,2</sup>, Joel Rozowsky<sup>1,2</sup>, Eric Pan<sup>3</sup>, Mark Gerstein<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Program in Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. <sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Science, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. \*Correspondence to: pi@gersteinlab.org

The emergence of creative enterprise is a unique feature in modern scientific research [1, 2]. For the published papers indexed by PubMed, the number of consortia-related papers have increased much faster than all papers in recent years (Fig. 1), which also implies the number of researchers have participated in scientific consortia is rapidly increasing, because the number of authors on consortia-related papers is typically much more than that of other papers. Recent scientific consortium examples include the international collaboration leading to the discovery of Higgs boson in CMS and ATLAS consortia [3, 4], and the ENCyclopedia Of DNA Elements (ENCODE) consortium aiming for annotating the human genome [5]. Though the scientific community should not be entirely dominated by consortium projects, many fields in science indeed are benefited by such large collaborative efforts. For instance, the ENCODE consortium has generated an extensive amount of data and developed uniform annotations [5] for the genomics community. To ensure that the scientific community can greatly benefit from various consortium efforts, it is important to understand the connections between consortium members and researchers outside of the consortium. To address the issue, we examined the ENCODE and modENCODE consortia as case studies.

Using publication data related to the ENCODE consortium [6], we identified 1,786 members and 8,211 non-members. To obtain the set of members, we first obtained the set of authors,  $S_1$ , who have co-authored at least one of the major ENCODE consortium papers. We also obtained the set of authors,  $S_2$ , who have co-authored at least one paper in which the corresponding author was part of  $S_1$ . The set of members is then defined as  $S_1 \cup S_2$ . The non-members are thus defined as those who have co-authored papers using ENCODE data, but are not in the set of members. We constructed temporal co-authorship networks for ENCODE members and non-members cumulatively from 2004 to 2014 (Fig. 2A). The networks visualized how the information from the consortium has diffused out through specific individuals. Fig. 2B shows the number of co-authorship modules (right y-axis) along with network modularity over time (left y-axis) [7]. One can see how initially the consortium members coalesced into a tightly-connected single module from 2004 to 2007 for the initial ENCODE publication, and then broke up a little, but still steadily retained a unified modular structure for their subsequent publication rollout in 2012. Conversely, the users of the ENCODE data and annotations (non-members) tended to form independent modules whose number was growing but without forming a unified structure. Of particular interest are a number of Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: most

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: facilitated

## Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

**Deleted:** 3,240 members that have coauthored at least one publication funded directly by the consortium, and 7,058 nonmembers who have used the data and annotation sets developed by the consortium in their publications.

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted:

key individuals that joined at least one ENCODE member to <u>40</u> non-members (Fig. 2D). These individuals, having strong connectivity between members and non-members, serve as brokers between the consortium and outside researchers.

We also analyzed another large scientific consortium, the Model Organism ENCyclopedia Of DNA Elements (modENCODE), which studied the genomes of two model organisms, D. melanogaster and C. elegans. Our investigation of the modENCODE consortium had similar results. We identified 716 members and 959 nonmembers. Using the same method as above, we obtained the set of authors,  $S_1$ , who have co-authored at least one of the modENCODE consortium major papers published by the moden consortium. We also obtained the set of authors,  $S_2$ , who have coauthored at least one paper in which the corresponding author was part of  $S_1$ . The set of members is defined as  $S_1 \cup S_2$ . We constructed temporal co-authorship networks for the modENCODE members and non-members cumulatively for the years from 2007 to 2014 (Fig. 3A). As before, the networks show how the information from the consortium diffused out through specific individuals. Fig. 3B shows the number of co-authorship modules (right y-axis) and the network modularity (left y-axis) over time. Initially, the consortium members formed a tightly-connected single module in the years 2007 to 2010 in the first few years, and continued to maintain a generally unified modular structure in later years. On the other hand, the non-members tended to form independent modules, whose numbers were increasing, but without forming a unified structure. Of particular interest are authors who joined at least one modENCODE member to 10 non-members (Fig. 3D). These people, having strong connectivity between both members and non-members, served as brokers between the consortium and outside researchers.

In summary, our analysis revealed that the consortium members work closely as a community whereas non-members collaborate in the scale of a few laboratories. We found that there are a few brokers playing an important role by initiating the connections between the consortium and non-members, thus we suggest that the large scientific consortia set up formal outreach groups or individuals to communicate with outside researchers. The outside researchers are encouraged to contact those outreach groups to find potential collaborators in consortia. The consortia members also should establish strong connections; e.g., together publishing consortia-related papers, to facilitate collaborations with both inside and outside communities. From the trends observed in Fig. 2B and Fig. 3B, we believe that stronger links between two sides will be established in the near future. Large collaborative efforts and traditional collaborations will continue to complement each other, benefiting the scientific community as a whole.

**Fig. 1**. Numbers of PubMed indexed papers from 1993-2013. The dashed curve with blue-diamond marks displays the numbers of consortia papers indexed by PubMed (i.e., the paper's author names appear "consortium" or "consortia") per year from 1993-2013. The solid curve with black-square marks displays the numbers of total papers indexed by PubMed per year from 1993-2013 in PubMed.

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: many

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted:

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted:

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: 854

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: that have

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

**Deleted:** publication funded directly

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

**Deleted:** modENCODE, and 877 non-

members

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

**Deleted:** publications using the data and annotation sets developed by the consortium.

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: The modENCODE

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

**Deleted:** tended to be clustered together

in one

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: , while

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: . Again, there

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: individuals

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: serve as brokers

Fig. 2. Visualization and analysis of co-authorship networks driven by ENCODE consortium. (A) Temporal co-authorship networks for ENCODE members (yellow, green) and non-members (red, dark-red) cumulatively from 2004 to 2014. Nodes are authors who were connected by number of co-authored publications. Green nodes are brokers in ENCODE members. Dark-red nodes are brokers in non-members. (B) Number of co-authorship modules (squares + dashed line, right y-axis) and network modularity over time (circles + solid line, left y-axis) for temporal networks in Fig. 2A. (C) Number of brokers who are ENCODE members or non-members over time. (D) Number of ENCODE member neighbors (y-axis) vs. the number of non-member neighbors (x-axis) for all authors up to 2014. Brokers (dark-red, green) have at least one ENCODE member neighbor and 40 non-member neighbors.

Fig. 3. Visualization and analysis of co-authorship networks driven by modENCODE consortium. (A) Temporal co-authorship networks for modENCODE members (yellow, green) and non-members (red, dark-red) cumulatively from 2007 to 2014. Nodes are authors connected by the number of co-authored publications. Green nodes are brokers among the modENCODE members, and dark-red nodes are brokers among the non-members. (B), Number of co-authorship modules (squares + dashed line, right-y-axis) and network modularity over time (circles + solid line, left y-axis) for temporal networks in Fig. 3A. (C) Number of brokers who are modENCODE members or non-members over time. (D) Number of modENCODE member neighbors (y-axis) vs. the number of non-member neighbors (x-axis) for all authors up to 2014. Brokers (dark-red, green) have at least one modENCODE member neighbor and 10 non-member neighbors.

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted: 2013

Daifeng Wang 4/1/15 11:27 AM

Deleted:

## References:

- 1 Guimera, R., et al. (2005) Team assembly mechanisms determine collaboration network structure and team performance. Science 308, 697-702
- 2 Barabasi, A.L. (2005) Sociology. Network theory--the emergence of the creative enterprise. *Science* 308, 639-641
- 3 Collaboration, C.M.S. (2012) A new boson with a mass of 125 GeV observed with the CMS experiment at the Large Hadron Collider. *Science* 338, 1569-1575
- 4 Collaboration, A. (2012) A particle consistent with the Higgs boson observed with the ATLAS detector at the Large Hadron Collider. *Science* 338, 1576-1582
- 5 Consortium, E.P., et al. (2012) An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature* 489, 57-74
- 6 ENCODE-related publication data are obtained from pages: http://genome.ucsc.edu/ENCODE/pubsEncode.html, http://encodeproject.org/ENCODE/pubsOther.html.
- 7 Clauset, A., *et al.* (2004) Finding community structure in very large networks. *Physical Review* E 70, 066111