Transcription Factor Coassociation & its Effect

Anshul Kundaje

Manoj Hariharan

- Background
- Earlier Work
- Value Addition
- Current Feb 2011
- Future March 2011

Logic of Transcription Factor Occupancy



Earlier work

Correlation of co-association among TFs



Transcription Factor Occupancy as a "predictor"



Defining the regulatory region for TF Occupancy





Earlier work

- E2F6- related combinations are enriched in K562
- Myc, Max, Jun- related combinations are enriched in HeLa
- There are combinations of TFs specific to cell-type

nTF Combinations present in HeLa, but absent in K562: 985

nTF Combinations present in K562, but absent in HeLa: 983

Example:

The E2F4 – E2F6 combination is present upstream of **158 genes in K562**, but **absent** upstream of any gene in HeLa

In HeLa:

- 16 of 158 genes have E2F4-E2F6 combinations, but with other factors, mainly Max and Pol2
- 7 genes have E2F4, not E2F6 and in combination with other factors, mainly Pol2
- 52 genes have E2F6, not E2F4 and in combination with other factors, mainly Pol2
- 90 genes do not have both E2F4 or E2F6, other combination of factors are present

- Datasets K562: Then,-42 TFs; Now: 78 TFs
- Use of ranked signals*
- Use of pre-normalization
- Use of biclustering
- Predictive Discriminant analysis

Use of ranked signals – Why?

Not all peaks are equally "good" Read-depth varies between datasets **Preview: Initial analysis**



Gata1 – Hierarchical Clustering

First TF-wise, then Peak-wise

b)

a) TF Cluster members

| K562Atf3 | 1 | K562Bcl3 | 2 | K562Cfos | 6 |
|-------------|---|-------------|---|------------|----|
| K562Chd2 | 1 | K562Bclaf1m | 2 | K562Cjun | 6 |
| K562E2f4 | 1 | K562Cmyc | 2 | K562Efo | 6 |
| K562E2f6 | 1 | K562Egr1 | 2 | K562Ejunb | 6 |
| K562Gtf2b | 1 | K562Elf1 | 2 | K562Ejund | 6 |
| K562Gtf2f1 | 1 | K562Ets1 | 2 | K562Fosl1 | 6 |
| K562Hey1 | 1 | K562Max | 2 | K562Jund | 6 |
| K562Nelfe | 1 | K562Mxi1 | 2 | K562Mafk | 6 |
| K562Nrf1 | 1 | K562Pu1 | 2 | K562Nfe2 | 6 |
| K562Sin3ak2 | 1 | K562Sp1 | 2 | | |
| K562Six5 | 1 | K562Zbtb7a | 2 | K562Ctcf | 7 |
| K562Srf | 1 | | | K562Rad21 | 7 |
| K562Taf1 | 1 | K562Brg1 | 5 | K562Smc3 | 7 |
| K562Taf7 | 1 | K562Ccnt2 | 5 | | |
| K562Tbp | 1 | K562Cebpb | 5 | K562Ehdac8 | 8 |
| K562Thap1 | 1 | K562Egata2 | 5 | K562Enr4a1 | 8 |
| K562Yy1 | 1 | K562Gabp | 5 | K562Znf263 | 8 |
| K562Zbtb33 | 1 | K562Gata1 | 5 | | |
| | | K562Gata2 | 5 | K562lrf1 | 9 |
| K562Bdp1 | 3 | K562Hdac2 | 5 | K562Nfya | 9 |
| K562Brf1 | 3 | K562Hmgn3 | 5 | K562Nfvb | 9 |
| K562Pol3 | 3 | K562Ini1 | 5 | K562Sp2 | 9 |
| K562Rpc155 | 3 | K562Nrsf | 5 | K562Usf1 | 9 |
| K562Tf3c | 3 | K562P300f4 | 5 | K562Usf2 | 9 |
| | | K562Pol2 | 5 | | |
| K562Brf2 | 4 | K562Sirt6 | 5 | K562Tr4 | 10 |
| K562Kap1 | 4 | K562Stat1 | 5 | K562Xrcc4 | 10 |
| K562Setdb1 | 4 | K562Stat2 | 5 | | |
| | | K562Tal1 | 5 | K562Znf274 | 11 |



Cluster Dendrogram

Cluster nPeaks

Gata 1 targets



0.648

- Map nearest gene to peak in the cluster
- Average Expression
- Functional Annotation

| | Cluster | nPeaks | nGenes | Av(avRPKM) |
|--------------|---------|--------|--------|------------|
| | 1 | 883 | 352 | 11.1 |
| | 2 | 1207 | 462 | 9.8 |
| | 3 | 108 | 47 | 11.8 |
| | 4 | 93 | 35 | 21.0 |
| Nrsf Effect? | 5 | 90 | 36 | 9.5 |
| | 6 | 241 | 74 | 5.1 |
| | 7 | 93 | 78 | 27.0 |
| | 8 | 33 | 30 | 18.9 |
| | 9 | 20 | 12 | 23.3 |
| | 10 | 5 | 4 | 11.2 |
| • | 11 | 9 | 6 | 32.0 |

Gata1 – Sub-cluster1 – functional categories

| Ontology | # Term Name | Binom Rank | Binom Raw P-Value | Binom FDR Q-Val | Binom Fold Enrichment | Binom Observed Region Hits | Binom Region Set Coverage | Hyper Rank | Hyper FDR Q-Val | Hyper Fold Enrichment | Hyper Observed Gene Hits | Hyper Total Genes | Hyper Gene Set Coverage |
|----------------------------|---|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| GO Molecular Function | No results meet your chosen criteria. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GO Biological Process | negative regulation of striated muscle cell differentiation | 50 | 2.4584e-4 | 3.5253e-2 | 9.2318 | 5 | 0.57% | 9 | 3.3987e-2 | 12.3615 | 4 | 4 | 0.28% |
| GO Cellular Component | No results meet your chosen criteria. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MSigDB Cancer Neighborhood | Neighborhood of MAP2K3 | 5 | 1.2013e-6 | 1.0259e-4 | 3.9934 | 18 | 2.04% | 1 | 1.9815e-2 | 2.8165 | 18 | 79 | 1.27% |
| | Neighborhood of SPTA1 | 14 | 2.0302e-5 | 6.1922e-4 | 3.3729 | 17 | 1.93% | 4 | 4.9138e-2 | 2.4435 | 17 | 86 | 1.20% |
| | Neighborhood of RAD23A | 15 | 2.2888e-5 | 6.5153e-4 | 3.4985 | 16 | 1.81% | 3 | 2.7850e-2 | 2.7094 | 16 | 73 | 1.13% |
| | Neighborhood of CDC27 | 20 | 7.0909e-5 | 1.5139e-3 | 3.5069 | 14 | 1.59% | 2 | 1.9017e-2 | 3.1466 | 14 | 55 | 0.98% |
| PANTHER Pathway | PDGF signaling pathway | 2 | 8.5285e-5 | 5.9700e-3 | 2.1509 | 31 | 3.51% | 2 | 2.2399e-2 | 2.1703 | 23 | 131 | 1.62% |
| · | Heterotrimeric G-protein signaling pathway-Gq alpha and Go alpha mediated pathway | 3 | 1.0816e-4 | 5.0476e-3 | 2.1867 | 29 | 3.28% | 1 | 3.5081e-4 | 2.7593 | 25 | 112 | 1.76% |
| | Histamine H1 receptor mediated signaling pathway | 4 | 2.3269e-4 | 8.1442e-3 | 3.1205 | 14 | 1.59% | 4 | 5.4404e-2 | 2.9432 | 10 | 42 | 0.70% |
| | Alpha adrenergic receptor signaling pathway | 6 | 1.0870e-3 | 2.5364e-2 | 3.6140 | 9 | 1.02% | 3 | 6.0072e-2 | 3.9332 | 7 | 22 | 0.49% |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pathway Commons | S1P1 pathway | 11 | 8.2322e-5 | 1.0477e-2 | 3.0050 | 17 | 1.93% | 14 | 7.1682e-3 | 3.2048 | 14 | 54 | 0.98% |
| | Sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) pathway | 12 | 1.3601e-4 | 1.5868e-2 | 2.2699 | 26 | 2.94% | 23 | 3.2436e-2 | 2.1814 | 21 | 119 | 1.48% |
| | Signaling events mediated by HDAC Class II | 23 | 4.3617e-4 | 2.6550e-2 | 3.0773 | 13 | 1.47% | 26 | 4.5173e-2 | 3.1696 | 10 | 39 | 0.70% |
| BioCyc Pathway | No results meet your chosen criteria. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | и — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — | 1 | 12 | 1 |

a) TF Cluster members

| K562Atf3 K562Ets1 K562Hey1 | 1 1 1 | K562Bcl3 K562Bclaf1m K562Gtf2b | 2 | K562Bdp1 K562Brf1 | 000 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----|
| K562Ets1 K562Hey1 | 1 | K562Bclaf1m K562Gtf2b | 2 | K562Brf1 | 3 |
| K562Hey1 | 1 | K562Gtf2b | - | | |
| | 1 | | 2 | K562Cebpb | 3 |
| K562Pol2 | | K562Gtf2f1 | 2 | K562Jund | 3 |
| K562Sin3ak2 | 1 | K562Kap1 | 2 | K562Pol3 | 3 |
| K562Srf | 1 | K562Nelfe | 2 | K562Rpc155 | 3 |
| K562Taf1 | 1 | K562Nrsf | 2 | K562Tf3c | 3 |
| K562Tbp | 1 | K562Taf7 | 2 | | |
| K562Thap1 | 1 | | | K562Ccnt2 | 6 |
| K562Yy1 | 1 | K562Brg1 | 5 | K562Egr1 | 6 |
| K562Znf274 | 1 | K562Cjun | 5 | K562Hmgn3 | 6 |
| | | K562Efo | 5 | K562Zbtb7a | 6 |
| K562Brf2 | 4 | K562Egata2 | 5 | | |
| K562Chd2 | 4 | K562Ehdac8 | 5 | K562Cmyc | 8 |
| K562Six5 | 4 | K562Ejunb | 5 | K562Ctcf | 8 |
| K562Xrcc4 | 4 | K562Ejund | 5 | K562E2f6 | 8 |
| K562Zbtb33 | 4 | K562Enr4a1 | 5 | K562Max | 8 |
| | | K562Fosl1 | 5 | K562Rad21 | 8 |
| K562Cfos | 7 | K562Gata1 | 5 | K562Setdb1 | 8 |
| K562lrf1 | 7 | K562Gata2 | 5 | K562Smc3 | 8 |
| K562Nfya | 7 | K562Hdac2 | 5 | | |
| K562Nfyb | 7 | K562Ini1 | 5 | K562E2f4 | 9 |
| K562Sp1 | 7 | K562Mafk | 5 | K562Nrf1 | 9 |
| K562Sp2 | 7 | K562Nfe2 | 5 | K562Tr4 | g |
| | | K562P300f4 | 5 | K562Elf1 | 10 |
| K562Sirt6 | 11 | K562Tal1 | 5 | K562Gabp | 10 |
| K562Usf1 | 11 | K562Znf263 | 5 | K562Mxi1 | 10 |
| K562Usf2 | 11 | | | K562Pu1 | 10 |
| | | | | K562Stat1 | 10 |
| | | | | K562Stat2 | 10 |

b) Cluster Dendrogram

E2f4 – Hierarchical Clustering

First TF-wise, then Peak-wise



c) Clustered Peaks

| Cluster | nPeaks |
|---------|--------|
| 1 | 836 |
| 2 | 2402 |
| 3 | 1131 |
| 4 | 143 |
| 5 | 75 |
| 6 | 125 |
| 7 | 64 |
| 8 | 30 |
| 9 | 25 |
| 10 | 29 |
| 11 | 3 |

E2f6 targets

K562Sif





- Map nearest gene to peak in the cluster
- Average Expression
- Functional Annotation

| | Cluster | nPeaks | nGenes | Av(av(RPKM)) |
|--------------|---------|--------|--------|--------------|
| | 1 | 836 | 729 | 20.3 |
| Nrsf Effect? | 2 | 2402 | 2055 | 15.5 |
| | 3 | 1131 | 815 | 11.6 |
| | 4 | 143 | 131 | 24.1 |
| | 5 | 75 | 47 | 30.2 |
| | 6 | 125 | 51 | 13.6 |
| | 7 | 64 | 61 | 13.8 |
| | 8 | 30 | 23 | 49.9 |
| | 9 | 25 | 7 | 7.3 |
| | 10 | 29 | 22 | 29.2 |
| | 11 | 3 | 2 | 8.6 |

E2f4 – Sub-cluster 3 – functional categories

| Ontology | # Term Name | Binom Rank | Binom Raw P-Value | Binom FDR Q-Val | Binom Fold Enrichment | Binom Observed Region Hits | Binom Region Set Coverage | Hyper Rank | Hyper FDR Q-Val | Hyper Fold Enrichment | Hyper Observed Gene Hits | Hyper Total Genes | Hyper Gene Set Coverage |
|-----------------------|---|---------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| GO Biological Process | one-carbon metabolic process | 4 | 4.1643e-9 | 7.4645e-6 | 2.8805 | 41 | 3.63% | 70 | 1.9329e-2 | 2.1262 | 26 | 150 | 1.81% |
| | covalent chromatin modification | 5 | 2.0931e-8 | 3.0015e-5 | 2.7144 | 41 | 3.63% | 77 | 3.3304e-2 | 2.0444 | 26 | 156 | 1.81% |
| | base-excision repair | 39 | 2.6597e-4 | 4.8898e-2 | 4.4182 | 9 | 0.80% | 64 | 1.5137e-2 | 4.2461 | 9 | 26 | 0.63% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GO Cellular Component | No results meet your chosen criteria. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mouse Phenotype | No results meet your chosen criteria. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PANTHER Pathway | No results meet your chosen criteria. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pathway Commons | E2F transcription factor network | 1 | 2.7202e-10 | 3.8083e-7 | 4.3268 | 28 | 2.48% | 9 | 1.5470e-4 | 3.4786 | 19 | 67 | 1.33% |
| | HIV Life Cycle | 2 | 5.7963e-10 | 4.0574e-7 | 3.9327 | 30 | 2.65% | 8 | 1.7125e-4 | 2.8931 | 25 | 106 | 1.74% |
| | Reverse Transcription of HIV RNA | 14 | 1.1310e-6 | 1.1310e-4 | 8.9664 | 9 | 0.80% | 12 | 2.6507e-4 | 8.5866 | 7 | 10 | 0.49% |
| | Minus-strand DNA synthesis | 14 | 1.1310e-6 | 1.1310e-4 | 8.9664 | 9 | 0.80% | 12 | 2.6507e-4 | 8.5866 | 7 | 10 | 0.49% |
| | Plus-strand DNA synthesis | 14 | 1.1310e-6 | 1.1310e-4 | 8.9664 | 9 | 0.80% | 12 | 2.6507e-4 | 8.5866 | 7 | 10 | 0.49% |
| | Uncoating of the HIV Virion | 14 | 1.1310e-6 | 1.1310e-4 | 8.9664 | 9 | 0.80% | 12 | 2.6507e-4 | 8.5866 | 7 | 10 | 0.49% |
| | DNA Repair | 18 | 1.1461e-6 | 8.9144e-5 | 2.5638 | 34 | 3.01% | 29 | 1.8329e-3 | 2.1218 | 32 | 185 | 2.23% |
| | Nuclear import of Rev protein | 25 | 1.4358e-6 | 8.0405e-5 | 5.4277 | 13 | 1.15% | 26 | 1.9697e-3 | 4.0889 | 11 | 33 | 0.77% |
| | Rev-mediated nuclear export of HIV-1 RNA | 25 | 1.4358e-6 | 8.0405e-5 | 5.4277 | 13 | 1.15% | 26 | 1.9697e-3 | 4.0889 | 11 | 33 | 0.77% |
| | Interactions of Rev with host cellular proteins | 25 | 1.4358e-6 | 8.0405e-5 | 5.4277 | 13 | 1.15% | 26 | 1.9697e-3 | 4.0889 | 11 | 33 | 0.77% |
| | Mitotic G2-G2/M phases | 28 | 1.5454e-6 | 7.7269e-5 | 2.0578 | 52 | 4.60% | 35 | 5.0502e-3 | 1.7813 | 44 | 303 | 3.07% |
| | Mitotic G1-G1/S phases | 28 | 1.5454e-6 | 7.7269e-5 | 2.0578 | 52 | 4.60% | 35 | 5.0502e-3 | 1.7813 | 44 | 303 | 3.07% |
| | Mitotic M-M/G1 phases | 28 | 1.5454e-6 | 7.7269e-5 | 2.0578 | 52 | 4.60% | 35 | 5.0502e-3 | 1.7813 | 44 | 303 | 3.07% |
| | DNA Replication | 28 | 1.5454e-6 | 7.7269e-5 | 2.0578 | 52 | 4.60% | 35 | 5.0502e-3 | 1.7813 | 44 | 303 | 3.07% |
| | Basigin interactions | 32 | 1.9929e-6 | 8.7188e-5 | 16.9544 | 6 | 0.53% | 95 | 3.4723e-2 | 6.1333 | 4 | 8 | 0.28% |
| | Late Phase of HIV Life Cycle | 33 | 2.2630e-6 | 9.6006e-5 | 3.3795 | 21 | 1.86% | 53 | 1.3772e-2 | 2.3489 | 18 | 94 | 1.26% |
| | Resolution of AP sites via the single-nucleotide replacement pathway | 36 | 3.2000e-6 | 1.2444e-4 | 11.6904 | 7 | 0.62% | 91 | 3.5207e-2 | 4.0889 | 6 | 18 | 0.42% |
| | Base-free sugar-phosphate removal via the single- nucleotide replacement pathway | 36 | 3.2000e-6 | 1.2444e-4 | 11.6904 | 7 | 0.62% | 91 | 3.5207e-2 | 4.0889 | 6 | 18 | 0.42% |
| | Resolution of Abasic Sites (AP sites) | 38 | 3.2959e-6 | 1.2143e-4 | 11.6373 | 7 | 0.62% | 103 | 4.2359e-2 | 3.8737 | 6 | 19 | 0.42% |
| | Removal of DNA patch containing abasic residue | 38 | 3.2959e-6 | 1.2143e-4 | 11.6373 | 7 | 0.62% | 103 | 4.2359e-2 | 3.8737 | 6 | 19 | 0.42% |
| BioCyc Pathway | No results meet your chosen criteria. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | ļ | | <u> </u> | | ļ | ļ | <u> </u> | 4.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 15 |

Value addition

Use of pre-processed signals – Why?

Not all peaks are equally "good" Read-depth varies between datasets

Approaches for Pre-processing

- 1 Raw-signal
- 2 Rank Normalized Raw-signal
- 3 Z-score of Raw-signal
- 4 Robust Z-score of Raw-signal
- 5 Quantile Binning of Raw-signal

Raw-signal Matrix

Stage 1: Generate TF association rules

- Get n(peaks) of any TF overlapping with each peak of the candidate TF
- Generate matrix

Stage 2: Generate TF occupancy containing "raw" signal values

- Identify occupancy of TFs in promoter region, peak-wise
- For every TF peak in the region, obtain the normalized signal at 99th quantile
- Aggregate signals if more than one peak of same TF is found (sum of signals)
- If none of the TFs have a peak in the region, assign signal of genomic region

Rank Normalized Raw-signal Matrix

- Convert all raw-signal values to lie between 0 and 1, TF-wise
- Generate matrix

Z-Score of Raw-signal Matrix

- Convert all raw-signal values to z-score values, TF-wise
- Generate matrix

Robust Z-Score of Raw-signal Matrix

MAD = median (|x - median|); MAD = Median Absolute Deviation Robust z-score = (x - median) / MAD

- Convert all raw-signal values to robust z-score values, TF-wise
- Generate matrix

Quantile Binning of Raw-signal Matrix

- Get 10 bins based on quantile values of raw-signal, TF-wise
- Replace raw-signal values with bin number (0 to 10)
- Generate matrix

Biclustering – why?

Certain combination of TFs would be co-associated only in specific sets of peak regions

Predictive model for gene expression

- Build generalized sparse linear models OR non-linear boosted decision trees
 - Y = F(X)
 - Y = expression of target genes of a particular TF
 - X = all TFs binding affinity in the regulatory regions of above genes
- Can generate general rules for TF coassociation
 - eg., TF1 = 2*TF6 + 2*TF7 + 2*TF8 + 2*TF9 + 2*TF10 + 4*TF15 + 4*TF16 + 6*TF26
- Can build predictive model
 - eg., given a cell-type, predict expression of genes in specific functional classes

Acknowledgement

Michael Snyder Mark Gerstein

"Elements" for inputs during earlier presentations