

Summary of Upcoming Work in the Rubin-Gerstein Collaboration

Lucas Lochovsky
gTech subgroup
Stardate 2011.179

Outline

- LL's work: Regulatory Network Disruptions in Cancer
- R01 Grant
- Indel work

Outline

- LL's work: Regulatory Network Disruptions in Cancer
- R01 Grant
- Indel work

Previously on LL's work

- Finding mutated hubs and bottlenecks in HPRD, and later the ENCODE TF network
- **Mutation data sources**
 - COSMIC: Dumping ground for a large number of experiments over the past 20 years
 - ICGC simple somatic mutation data (Better organized than COSMIC)
- **On the last episode:** Constructed a matrix of ENCODE TF inhubs vs. ICGC cancers, and indicated which inhubs had a simple somatic mutation in which cancer
 - Ditto outhubs and bottlenecks

Current Plan

Start with:

1. ENCODE Intergenic Annotations

- Enhancers
- Promoters
- ncRNA

2. Cancer variant data

- Prostate data from the Rubin lab
- Other cancers from ICGC
- Must be certain of what types of analyses produced the data, and how many samples were involved

Current Plan

Cancers...

ENCODE Intergenic Annotations...	Prostate	Small cell prostate	Other small cell cancers	Non small cell	Other cancers...
	0	1	::		
.					
.					
.					

1 = mutated
0 = not mutated

Current Plan

- For genes whose regulatory sites have been mutated, find genes that are downstream in the ENCODE TF network
- See what effect this has on expression of gene with the mutation, and on downstream genes' expression
 - Requires RNA-seq data for the genes involved (TCGA and ICGC)
 - Do we see an expression change between cancer and normal samples in the gene with the mutation, and is there a corresponding fold change in downstream genes' expression?

Other Questions

- Do we see significant enrichment/depletion of cancer variants in ENCODE intergenic annotations compared to the whole genome?
- Is there a significant enrichment/depletion of prostate cancer variants in certain regions compared to all cancer variants?
- Visualize intergenic region mutations in the ENCODE TF network as disrupted edges
 - Find which TFs tend to have its sites knocked out most often across cancer types
 - Which TFs have the most knocked out edges?
- Investigate ratio of (number of mutated inhubs/outhubs/bottlenecks in top 100):(total number of mutated genes) for each cancer
 - If we expand the inhub, outhub, and bottleneck matrices to the top 200 on the ENCODE TF network, does this ratio stay the same?

ASIDE: Birney file

- Used ICGC sample database to determine how many samples are part of each dataset
 - Mix of tumor tissue, xenografts, and cell line samples
- US datasets only display mutations in gene coding regions and UTRs
 - All other datasets have mutations labelled “upstream”, “downstream”, “ncRNA”, and “intergenic”
- Downloaded all simple mutation data from ICGC data portal and did Unix diff on Birney file
 - The two files are completely identical

Outline

- LL's work: Regulatory Network Disruptions in Cancer
- **R01 Grant**
- Indel work

R01 Grant

- Starting Aug 1, will start resequencing prostate cancer and benign prostate
- Sequencing targeted at regions that can serve as biomarkers for prostate cancer
 - Novel TARs
 - Other mutations

Outline

- LL's work: Regulatory Network Disruptions in Cancer
- R01 Grant
- Indel work

Indel Plans

- **Good News:** No one's studied indels like we have
- **Bad News:** The indel data we do have is weird
 - **Operational definition of “weird” here:** Many indels are in repetitive regions, hence we're not completely confident in our indel calls
- **Solution:** Targeted indel calling on a calibration set to estimate the error rates of indel callers

Indel Plans

- Mark Rubin would like to prioritize characterization of important genes
- LH to find genes to prioritize for this characterization
 - Regions that affect expression (promoters, enhancers, etc.)
 - Pathways (PTEN, PI3K)
 - Tyrosine kinases (RTKs)—mostly upstream activators
 - DNA binding domains
 - Knocked out genes in prostate cancer
 - Tumor suppressors
 - Pseudo~~genes~~ genes

Indel Plans

- Rubin lab responsibilities
 - # of indels
 - # of samples
 - Comparison with small cell cancers
 - Validation assay throughput
- Gerstein lab responsibilities
 - Find out what was used in 1KG for experimental validation of indels (is it Sequenom?)

Other Questions

- Conduct validation in cell lines?
- Look for statistically significant enrichment/depletion of indels in certain genes

Acknowledgements

- Lukas Habegger (Lukas 1.0)
- Andrea Sboner
- Mark Gerstein
- Mark Rubin + lab
- UCs